

## Statistics 583, Problem Set 8 Solutions

Wellner; 6/2/2000

1. Problem 20.4, page 295, Efron and Tibshirani: Show that for linear statistics the jackknife and bootstrap estimates of bias are zero. (Note that Efron and Tibshirani's definition (20.11) of a linear statistic corresponds to a functional  $T(F)$  of the form  $T(F) = \int \psi dF$  for some function  $\psi$  for a distribution function  $F$  on  $R$ , or  $T(P) = \int \psi dP$  for a probability distribution  $P$  on a general sample space  $\mathcal{X}$ .)

**Solution:** First note that for a linear statistic, assuming that  $E_F|\psi(X)| = \int |\psi(x)|dF(x) < \infty$ , we have

$$E_F T(\mathbb{F}_n) = E \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \psi(X_i) \right) = E \psi(X_1) = \int \psi dF = T(F),$$

so, with  $T_n \equiv T(\mathbb{F}_n)$ ,

$$\text{bias}(F) \equiv E_F(T_n) - T(F) = 0.$$

The bootstrap estimator of  $\text{bias}(F)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{bias}(\mathbb{F}_n) &= E_{\mathbb{F}_n}(T_n^*) - T(\mathbb{F}_n) \\ &= E_{\mathbb{F}_n} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \psi(X_i^*) \right) - T(\mathbb{F}_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E_{\mathbb{F}_n} \{ \psi(X_i^*) \} - T(\mathbb{F}_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \psi(X_j) - T(\mathbb{F}_n) \\ &= T(\mathbb{F}_n) - T(\mathbb{F}_n) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

so the bootstrap estimator of bias is correct. For the jackknife, we first note that the pseudo-values,  $T_{n,i}^*$  are given by

$$T_{n,i}^* \equiv nT_n - (n-1)T_{n,i} = \psi(X_i)$$

and hence

$$\bar{T}_n^* \equiv n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n T_{n,i}^* = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \psi(X_i) = T_n \equiv T(\mathbb{F}_n).$$

Thus the jackknife estimate of bias is

$$\widehat{\text{bias}}_n \equiv T_n - \bar{T}_n^* = 0.$$

Thus the jackknife estimate of bias is also correct.

2. Problem 19.4, page 281, Efron and Tibshirani:

(a) Given  $n$  distinct data items, show that the probability that a given data item does not appear in a bootstrap sample is  $e_n = (1 - 1/n)^n$

(b) Show that  $e_n \rightarrow e^{-1} \approx .368$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(c) Hence show that the probability that each of  $B$  bootstrap samples contains an item  $i$  is  $(1 - e_n)^B$ . Evaluate this quantity for  $n = 10, 20, 50, 100$  and  $B = 10, 20, 50, 100$ .

(d) Let  $N_n \equiv \sum_{j=1}^n 1_{[M_j=0]}$  where  $\underline{M} \equiv (M_1, \dots, M_n) \sim \text{Mult}_n(n, \underline{1}/n)$ . Show that  $E(n^{-1}N_n) = e_n$  as computed in (a).

**Solution:** (a) The probability that  $X_i$  does not appear in a bootstrap sample  $X_1^*, \dots, X_n^*$  from  $\mathbb{F}_n$  is just  $e_n = P(M_i = 0)$  where  $M_i \sim \text{Binomial}(n, 1/n)$ . Thus we have  $e_n = P(M_i = 0) = \binom{n}{0} (1/n)^0 (1 - 1/n)^n = (1 - 1/n)^n$ .

(b) Since  $(1 + x/n)^n \rightarrow e^x$  for any  $x$ , it follows immediately that  $e_n \rightarrow e^{-1} \approx .368$ .

(c) The probability that each of  $B$  bootstrap samples contains  $X_i$  is clearly  $(1 - e_n)^B$ . The following table gives values of this for  $n = 10, 20, 50, 100$  and  $B = 10, 20, 50, 100$ .

B/n	10	20	50	100
10	.0137	.0118	.0108	.0105
20	.000189	.000139	.000117	.000110
50	$4.89 \times 10^{-10}$	$2.29 \times 10^{-10}$	$1.47 \times 10^{-10}$	$1.27 \times 10^{-10}$
100	$2.39 \times 10^{-19}$	$5.26 \times 10^{-20}$	$2.16 \times 10^{-20}$	$1.61 \times 10^{-20}$

(d)  $N_n/n$  is the proportion of the original sample not appearing in the bootstrap sample. Since  $N_n \equiv \sum_{j=1}^n 1_{[M_j=0]}$  where each  $M_j$  is marginally Binomial( $n, 1/n$ ), it follows immediately that

$$E(N_n/n) = P(M_1 = 0) = (1 - 1/n)^n \rightarrow e^{-1}.$$

Furthermore, from occupancy theory for urn models,

$$\sqrt{n}(n^{-1}N_n - (1 - 1/n)^n) \rightarrow_d N(0, e^{-1}(1 - 2e^{-1}));$$

see e.g. Johnson and Kotz (1977), page 317.

3. Suppose that  $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{P_\theta : \theta \in \Theta \subset R^k\}$  is a regular parametric model, and let  $\dot{\mathbf{i}}_\theta = \dot{\mathbf{i}}_\theta(\cdot; \theta)$  be the vector of score functions. Usually, to find the maximum likelihood estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n$  of  $\theta \in \Theta$ , we assume that the true distribution  $P \in \mathcal{P}_0$  and we solve

$$(1) \quad 0 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{\mathbf{i}}_\theta(X_i; \theta) = \mathbb{P}_n \dot{\mathbf{i}}_\theta(x; \theta)$$

for  $\theta$  to find the MLE. Now suppose that the true  $P \notin \mathcal{P}_0$ , but we still define an estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n$  by solving (1). Then under reasonable regularity conditions we can prove that  $\hat{\theta}_n$  converges in probability to  $\theta(P)$  defined as the solution to

$$(2) \quad 0 = P(\dot{\mathbf{i}}_\theta(X; \theta(P))) = \int \dot{\mathbf{i}}_\theta(x; \theta(P)) dP(x).$$

(a) Making any regularity assumptions you want, relate  $\theta(P)$  as defined in (2) to the Kullback-Leibler distance  $K(P, P_\theta)$  for  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

(b) Find the influence function (it will be a  $k$ -vector of influence functions of the components) of  $\theta(P)$ : i.e. for  $P_t \equiv (1-t)P + tQ$  and  $Q = \delta_x$ , compute

$$\frac{d}{dt}\theta(P_t)|_{t=0}.$$

(c) Use the result of (b) to “guess” the asymptotic variance of  $\theta(\mathbb{P}_n) \equiv \widehat{\theta}_n$  for  $P \notin \mathcal{P}_0$ .

(d) How would you estimate the asymptotic variance you found in (c)?

**Solution:** (a) The Kullback-Leibler distance is

$$\begin{aligned} K(P, P_\theta) &= \int \log \frac{p(x)}{p(x; \theta)} dP(x) \\ &= \int \log p(x) dP(x) - \int \log p(x; \theta) dP(x). \end{aligned}$$

To minimize this as a function of  $\theta$  we differentiate with respect to  $\theta_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . Under the assumption that the interchange of integration and differentiation is permissible,

$$(3) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} K(P, P_\theta) = - \int \dot{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta_j}(x; \theta) dP(x),$$

so that

$$\nabla_\theta K(P, P_\theta) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_k} \right)^T K(P, P_\theta) = - \int \dot{\mathbf{i}}_\theta(x; \theta) dP(x)$$

while the Hessian (matrix of second derivatives) is, assuming a second interchange of integration and differentiation is permissible,

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} K(P, P_\theta) \right) = \left( - \int \ddot{\mathbf{i}}_{ij}(x; \theta) dP(x) \right).$$

If we assume that the latter matrix  $B = B(\theta)$  is positive definite at  $\theta = \theta(P)$  solving  $0 = \int \dot{\mathbf{i}}_\theta(x; \theta) dP(x)$ , then  $\theta(P)$  minimizes  $K(P, P_\theta)$  over  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

(b) For  $P_t = (1-t)P + tQ$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int \dot{\mathbf{i}}_\theta(x; \theta(P_t)) dP_t(x) \\ &= \int \dot{\mathbf{i}}_\theta(x; \theta(P_t)) dP(x) + t \int \dot{\mathbf{i}}_\theta(x; \theta(P_t)) d(Q - P)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating across this identity with respect to  $t$ , and setting  $t = 0$  yields

$$(4) \quad 0 = \int \ddot{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta\theta}(x; \theta(P)) \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} \theta(P_t) \Big|_{t=0} \right\} dP(x) + \int \dot{\mathbf{i}}_\theta(x; \theta(P)) d(Q - P)(x) + 0,$$

or

$$\int \ddot{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta\theta}(x; \theta(P)) dP(x) \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} \theta(P_t) \Big|_{t=0} \right\} = - \int \dot{\mathbf{i}}_\theta(x; \theta(P)) d(Q - P)(x),$$

or, assuming that

$$B \equiv - \int \ddot{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta\theta}(x; \theta(P)) dP(x)$$

is positive definite,

$$\left\{ \frac{d}{dt} \theta(P_t) \Big|_{t=0} \right\} = B^{-1} \int \dot{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta}(x; \theta(P)) d(Q - P)(x).$$

To find the influence function we take  $Q = \delta_{x_0}$  to obtain

$$IC(x_0; \theta, P) = B^{-1} \dot{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta}(x_0; \theta(P)).$$

(c) Hence a reasonable guess at the asymptotic variance-covariance matrix of  $\theta(\mathbb{P}_n)$  is:

$$(5) \quad E_P[IC(X; \theta, P)^{\otimes 2}] = B^{-1} E_P[\dot{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta}(X; \theta(P))^{\otimes 2}] B^{-1} \equiv \Sigma.$$

Note that this is a “sandwich” form for the asymptotic variance-covariance matrix, and when  $P \notin \mathcal{P}$  we will in general have  $B \neq E_P[\dot{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta}(X; \theta(P))^{\otimes 2}] \equiv A$ .

(d) A natural estimator of  $\Sigma$  in (5) is given by  $\hat{\Sigma} = \hat{B}^{-1} \hat{A} \hat{B}^{-1}$  where

$$\hat{B} \equiv -\mathbb{P}_n \left( \ddot{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta\theta}(X; \theta(\mathbb{P}_n)) \right) = -n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \ddot{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta\theta}(X_i; \theta(\mathbb{P}_n))$$

and

$$\hat{A} \equiv \mathbb{P}_n [\dot{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta}(X; \theta(\mathbb{P}_n))^{\otimes 2}] = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n [\dot{\mathbf{i}}_{\theta}(X_i; \theta(\mathbb{P}_n))^{\otimes 2}].$$

4. Suppose that  $T(F) = Var_F(X)$  so that  $T_n \equiv T(\mathbb{F}_n) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$ . Show that the jackknife estimate of the variance  $\sigma_n^2(F) \equiv Var_F(T_n)$  is

$$\widehat{Var} = \frac{n^2}{(n-1)^3} (\hat{\mu}_4 - \hat{\mu}_2^2)$$

where  $\hat{\mu}_k \equiv n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence, assuming that  $EX^4 < \infty$ , the jackknife estimate of variance is consistent for this  $T$ :

$$n \widehat{Var} \rightarrow_p \mu_4 - \mu_2^2 = \mu_2^2 \left\{ 2 + \frac{\mu_4}{\mu_2^2} - 3 \right\} = T_2(F)(2 + \gamma_2).$$

**Solution:** If  $T_n = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \bar{X})^2$ , then some algebra yields

$$T_{n,i}^* = nT_n - (n-1)T_{n,i} = \frac{n}{n-1} (X_i - \bar{X})^2$$

and hence

$$\bar{T}_n^* = \frac{n}{n-1} \hat{\mu}_2.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\text{Var}}_n &= \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^n (T_{n,i}^* - \overline{T}_n^*)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^n T_{n,i}^{*2} - \frac{1}{n-1} (\overline{T}_n^*)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{n}{n-1} (X_i - \overline{X})^2 \right)^2 - \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \frac{n}{n-1} \widehat{\mu}_2 \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{n^2}{(n-1)^2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X})^4 - \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{n^2}{(n-1)^2} \widehat{\mu}_2^2 \\
&= \frac{n^2}{(n-1)^3} (\widehat{\mu}_4 - \widehat{\mu}_2^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$n\widehat{\text{Var}}_n = \frac{n^3}{(n-1)^3} (\widehat{\mu}_4 - \widehat{\mu}_2^2) \xrightarrow{p} \mu_4 - \mu_2^2 = \mu_2^2 \left\{ 2 + \frac{\mu_4}{\mu_2^2} - 3 \right\} = T_2(F)(2 + \gamma_2).$$

where the (excess of) kurtosis is

$$\gamma_2 \equiv \frac{\mu_4}{\mu_2^2} - 3.$$