

**Statistics 583, Problem Set 6 Solutions**

Wellner; 5/3/2000

1. Suppose that  $X_{ijk}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, I$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, J$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, K$  satisfy the general linear model with  $\xi_{ijk} = \xi + \mu_i + \eta_j + \delta_{ij}$  where  $\sum_i \mu_i = 0$ ,  $\sum_j \eta_j = 0$ ,  $\sum_j \delta_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i$ , and  $\sum_i \delta_{ij} = 0$  for all  $j$ . ( $\delta_{ij}$  is called the interaction effect of the  $i$ th row and the  $j$ th column.)
- (a) Show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 S^2 &= \sum \sum \sum (X_{ijk} - \xi - \mu_i - \eta_j - \delta_{ij})^2 \\
 &= \sum \sum \sum (X_{ijk} - \bar{X}_{ij\cdot})^2 \\
 &\quad + \sum \sum \sum (\bar{X}_{ij\cdot} - \bar{X}_{i\cdot\cdot} - \bar{X}_{\cdot j\cdot} + \bar{X}_{\dots})^2 \\
 &\quad + \sum \sum \sum (\bar{X}_{i\cdot\cdot} - \bar{X}_{\dots} - \mu_i)^2 \\
 &\quad + \sum \sum \sum (\bar{X}_{\cdot j\cdot} - \bar{X}_{\dots} - \eta_j)^2 \\
 &\quad + \sum \sum \sum (\bar{X}_{\dots} - \xi)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{X}_{ij\cdot} = \sum_k X_{ijk}/K$ , and so on.

(b) Find the UMP invariant test of the hypothesis of no row effect  $H_0 : \mu_1 = \dots = \mu_I = 0$ . What is the distribution of the test statistic under the general linear hypothesis – including the noncentrality parameter?

(c) Find the UMP invariant test of the hypothesis of no interaction effect  $H_0 : \delta_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i, j$ . What is the distribution of the test statistic under the general linear hypothesis?

**Solution:** (a) This goes by straightforward addition, and subtraction, followed by algebra:

$$\begin{aligned}
 S^2 &= \sum \sum \sum (X_{ijk} - \xi - \mu_i - \eta_j - \delta_{ij})^2 \\
 &= \sum \sum \sum (X_{ijk} - \bar{X}_{ij\cdot} \\
 &\quad + \bar{X}_{ij\cdot} - \bar{X}_{i\cdot\cdot} - \bar{X}_{\cdot j\cdot} + \bar{X}_{\dots} - \delta_{ij} \\
 &\quad + \bar{X}_{i\cdot\cdot} - \bar{X}_{\dots} - \mu_i \\
 &\quad + \bar{X}_{\cdot j\cdot} - \bar{X}_{\dots} - \eta_j \\
 &\quad + \bar{X}_{\dots} - \xi)^2 \\
 &= \sum \sum \sum (X_{ijk} - \bar{X}_{ij\cdot})^2 \\
 &\quad + \sum \sum \sum (\bar{X}_{ij\cdot} - \bar{X}_{i\cdot\cdot} - \bar{X}_{\cdot j\cdot} + \bar{X}_{\dots} - \delta_{ij})^2 \\
 &\quad + \sum \sum \sum (\bar{X}_{i\cdot\cdot} - \bar{X}_{\dots} - \mu_i)^2 \\
 &\quad + \sum \sum \sum (\bar{X}_{\cdot j\cdot} - \bar{X}_{\dots} - \eta_j)^2 \\
 &\quad + \sum \sum \sum (\bar{X}_{\dots} - \xi)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Since the cross terms all vanish.

(b) For testing the hypothesis of no row effect, the numerator of the  $F$ -statistic is

$$\begin{aligned}\sum \sum \sum \hat{\mu}_i^2 &= \sum \sum \sum (\bar{X}_{i..} - \bar{X}_{...})^2 \\ &= JK \sum_{i=1}^I (\bar{X}_{i..} - \bar{X}_{...})^2\end{aligned}$$

divided by its degrees of freedom,  $I - 1$ . The denominator of the  $F$ -statistic is the error sum of squares

$$SS_e \equiv \sum \sum \sum (X_{ijk} - \bar{X}_{ij.})^2$$

divided by its degrees of freedom,  $IJK - IJ = IJ(K - 1)$ . Thus the  $F$ -statistic for testing no row effect is

$$\begin{aligned}F &\equiv \frac{JK \sum_{i=1}^I (\bar{X}_{i..} - \bar{X}_{...})^2 / (I - 1)}{\sum \sum \sum (X_{ijk} - \bar{X}_{ij.})^2 / IJ(K - 1)} \\ &\sim F_{I-1, IJ(K-1)}\end{aligned}$$

under  $H_0$ . Under the general hypothesis  $F \sim F_{I-1, IJ(K-1)}(\delta^2)$  where the non-centrality parameter

$$\delta^2 = \frac{JK \sum_{i=1}^I \mu_i^2}{\sigma^2}.$$

(c) For testing the hypothesis of no interactions, the numerator of the  $F$ -statistic is

$$\begin{aligned}\sum \sum \sum \hat{\delta}_{ij}^2 &= \sum \sum \sum (\bar{X}_{ij.} - \bar{X}_{i..} - \bar{X}_{.j.} + \bar{X}_{...} - \delta_{ij})^2 \\ &= K \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J (\bar{X}_{ij.} - \bar{X}_{i..} - \bar{X}_{.j.} + \bar{X}_{...} - \delta_{ij})^2\end{aligned}$$

divided by its degrees of freedom,  $(I-1)(J-1)$ . The denominator of the  $F$ -statistic is the error sum of squares

$$SS_e \equiv \sum \sum \sum (X_{ijk} - \bar{X}_{ij.})^2$$

divided by its degrees of freedom,  $IJK - IJ = IJ(K - 1)$ . Thus the  $F$ -statistic for testing no row effect is

$$\begin{aligned}F &\equiv \frac{K \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J (\bar{X}_{ij.} - \bar{X}_{i..} - \bar{X}_{.j.} + \bar{X}_{...} - \delta_{ij})^2 / (I - 1)(J - 1)}{\sum \sum \sum (X_{ijk} - \bar{X}_{ij.})^2 / IJ(K - 1)} \\ &\sim F_{(I-1)(J-1), IJ(K-1)}\end{aligned}$$

under  $H_0$ . Under the general hypothesis  $F \sim F_{(I-1)(J-1), IJ(K-1)}(\delta^2)$  where the non-centrality parameter

$$\delta^2 = \frac{K \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J \delta_{ij}^2}{\sigma^2}.$$

2. Suppose that  $X_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, I$  and  $Y_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, J$  satisfy the general linear hypothesis with  $E(X_i) = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 u_i$  and  $E(Y_j) = \alpha_2 + \beta_2 v_j$  where the  $u_i$  and  $v_j$  are known and  $\sum u_i = \sum v_j = 0$ ,  $\sum u_i^2 = I$ ,  $\sum v_j^2 = J$ . Find the UMP invariant test of  $H : \beta_1 = \beta_2$  versus  $K : \beta_1 \neq \beta_2$ . What is the noncentrality parameter  $\delta^2$  for your test?

**Solution:** Here  $\underline{Z}^T = (\underline{X}^T, \underline{Y}^T)^T \sim N_n(\underline{\xi}, \sigma^2 I)$  with  $n = I + J$ ,  $k = 4$ , and

$$\underline{\xi} = E(\underline{Z}) = A\underline{\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_1 & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & u_I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & v_1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & v_J \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The least squares estimator of  $\theta$  is

$$\hat{\underline{\theta}}_n = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T \underline{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha}_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_1 \\ \hat{\alpha}_2 \\ \hat{\beta}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{X} \\ \frac{u\overline{X}}{\overline{Y}} \\ \overline{Y} \\ \frac{v\overline{Y}}{\overline{Y}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (a) Here the hypothesis is  $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2$ , or  $(0, 1, 0, -1)\underline{\theta} = 0$ , so  $r = 1$ . In this case

$$\underline{\xi} = E(\underline{Z}) = A\underline{\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & u_1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & 0 & u_I \\ 0 & 1 & v_1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 1 & v_J \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore the least squares estimator of  $\theta$  under the hypothesis is

$$\hat{\underline{\theta}}_n = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T \underline{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha}_1 \\ \hat{\alpha}_2 \\ \hat{\beta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{X} \\ \overline{Y} \\ \frac{I}{I+J}\overline{uX} + \frac{J}{I+J}\overline{vY} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We then compute

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{\underline{\xi}} - \hat{\underline{\xi}}\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^I (\hat{\alpha}_1 + \hat{\beta}_1 u_i - \hat{\alpha}_1 - \hat{\beta}_1 u_i)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J (\hat{\alpha}_2 + \hat{\beta}_2 v_j - \hat{\alpha}_2 - \hat{\beta}_2 v_j)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^I u_i^2 (\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_1)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J v_j^2 (\hat{\beta}_2 - \hat{\beta}_2)^2 \\ &= I(\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta})^2 + J(\hat{\beta}_2 - \hat{\beta})^2 \\ &= \frac{IJ}{I+J} (\overline{uX} - \overline{vY})^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\underline{Z} - \widehat{\underline{\xi}}\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^I (X_i - \widehat{\alpha}_1 - \widehat{\beta}_1 u_i)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J (Y_j - \widehat{\alpha}_2 + \widehat{\beta}_2 v_j)^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^I (X_i - \bar{X} - u_i \overline{uX})^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J (Y_j - \bar{Y} - v_j \overline{vY})^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^I (X_i - \bar{X})^2 - I(\overline{uX})^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J (Y_j - \bar{Y})^2 - J(\overline{vY})^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we find that

$$F = \frac{\|\widehat{\underline{\xi}} - \underline{\xi}\|^2 / r}{\|\underline{Z} - \widehat{\underline{\xi}}\|^2 / (n - k)} = \frac{\frac{IJ}{I+J}(\overline{uX} - \overline{vY})^2}{\frac{1}{I+J-4}(\sum_{i=1}^I (X_i - \bar{X})^2 - I(\overline{uX})^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J (Y_j - \bar{Y})^2 - J(\overline{vY})^2)}$$

which has an  $F_{1, I+J-4}$  distribution under the null hypothesis. Hence the UMP  $G$ -invariant test is: “reject  $H_0$  if  $F > F_{1, I+J-4, \alpha}$ ”. The non-centrality parameter is

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta^2 &= \frac{\|\underline{\xi} - \underline{\xi}^0\|^2}{\sigma^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left\{ \sum_1^I (\alpha_1 + \beta_1 u_i - (\alpha_1 + \bar{\beta} u_i))^2 + \sum_1^J (\alpha_2 + \beta_2 v_j - (\alpha_2 + \bar{\beta} v_j))^2 \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left\{ \sum_1^I (\beta_1 - \bar{\beta})^2 u_i^2 + \sum_1^J (\beta_2 - \bar{\beta})^2 v_j^2 \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \frac{IJ}{I+J} (\beta_1 - \beta_2)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

(b) In this case the null hypothesis is  $H_0 : \alpha_1 = \alpha_2, \beta_1 = \beta_2$ , or equivalently

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \theta = \underline{0},$$

so  $r = 2$ . Furthermore, under the null hypothesis we can write

$$\underline{\xi} = E(\underline{Z}) = A\underline{\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_1 \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & u_I \\ 1 & v_1 \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & v_J \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix},$$

and this leads by straightforward computation to the least squares estimator

$$\hat{\underline{\theta}}_n = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T \underline{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\alpha} \\ \widehat{\beta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{I}{I+J} \bar{X} + \frac{J}{I+J} \bar{Y} \\ \frac{I}{I+J} \overline{uX} + \frac{J}{I+J} \overline{vY} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We therefore find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\widehat{\underline{\xi}} - \widehat{\underline{\xi}}\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^I (\widehat{\alpha}_1 + \widehat{\beta}_1 u_i - \widehat{\alpha}_1 - \widehat{\beta}_1 u_i)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J (\widehat{\alpha}_2 + \widehat{\beta}_2 v_j - \widehat{\alpha}_2 - \widehat{\beta}_2 v_j)^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^I (\widehat{\alpha}_1 + \widehat{\beta}_1 u_i - \widehat{\alpha} - \widehat{\beta} u_i)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J (\widehat{\alpha}_2 + \widehat{\beta}_2 v_j - \widehat{\alpha} - \widehat{\beta} v_j)^2 \\
&= \frac{IJ}{I+J} \{(\overline{X} - \overline{Y})^2 + (\overline{uX} - \overline{vY})^2\} .
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the  $F$  statistic for this testing problem is

$$F = \frac{\|\widehat{\underline{\xi}} - \widehat{\underline{\xi}}\|^2/r}{\|\underline{Z} - \widehat{\underline{\xi}}\|^2/(n-k)} = \frac{\frac{IJ}{2(I+J)} \{(\overline{X} - \overline{Y})^2 + (\overline{uX} - \overline{vY})^2\}}{\frac{1}{I+J-4} (\sum_{i=1}^I (X_i - \overline{X})^2 - I(\overline{uX})^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J (Y_j - \overline{Y})^2 - J(\overline{vY})^2)}$$

which has an  $F_{2, I+J-4}$  distribution under the null hypothesis. Hence the UMP  $G$ -invariant test is: “reject  $H_0$  if  $F > F_{2, I+J-4, \alpha}$ ”.

3. What is the locally best rank test of  $F = G$  against  $G = (e^{\theta F} - 1)/(e^\theta - 1)$ ,  $\theta > 0$ ?  
Of  $F = G$  against  $G = F/(e^\theta(1 - F) + F)$ ?  
What can you say about the power of these tests?

**Solution:** By Hoeffding’s formula

$$P_\theta(\underline{Q} = \underline{q}) = \frac{1}{\binom{N}{n}} E_{uniform} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^n \psi'_\theta(U_{(q_j)}) \right\}$$

where

$$\psi_\theta(u) = G_\theta \circ F^{-1}(u) = \frac{e^{\theta u} - 1}{e^\theta - 1}$$

for the first alternatives, and

$$\psi_\theta(u) = G_\theta \circ F^{-1}(u) = \frac{u}{e^\theta(1 - u) + u}$$

in the case of the second type of alternative. In either case the locally most powerful rank test rejects for those values  $\underline{q}$  of  $\underline{Q}$  which make

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} P_\theta(\underline{Q} = \underline{q})|_{\theta=0} &= \frac{1}{\binom{N}{n}} E_{uniform} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \psi'_\theta(U_{(q_j)})|_{\theta=0} \right\} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n E_{uniform} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \psi'_\theta(U_{(q_j)})|_{\theta=0} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

as large as possible. Hence it remains only to calculate

$$\phi(u) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \psi'_\theta(u)|_{\theta=0}$$

and  $E_{uniform}\phi(U_{(i)})$  for the two alternatives in question.

(i) In the first case,

$$\psi'_\theta(u) = \frac{\theta e^{\theta u}}{e^\theta - 1},$$

and straightforward calculation yields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \psi'_\theta(u) = e^{\theta u} \frac{(e^\theta - 1)(1 + \theta u - \theta) - \theta}{(e^\theta - 1)^2}.$$

By applying L'Hopital's rule twice, we find that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \psi'_\theta(u)|_{\theta=0} = u - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Since  $E(U_{(i)}) = i/(N + 1)$ , the locally most powerful rank test of  $H$  versus this alternative  $K$  is the Wilcoxon test "reject  $H$  if  $S_N = \sum_{j=1}^n Q_j > k_\alpha$ ."

(ii) In the second case,

$$\psi'_\theta(u) = \frac{e^\theta}{(e^\theta(1 - u) + u)^2}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \psi'_\theta(u)|_{\theta=0} = 2u - 1,$$

and again the locally most powerful rank test is the Wilcoxon rank sum test.

As for interpretations of these alternatives, first note that the functions  $\psi_\theta(u)$  are distribution functions on  $[0, 1]$  with densities  $\psi'_\theta(u)$ . (i) This alternative is the simplest exponential family density related to the uniform(0, 1) distribution: the density is of the form  $p_\theta(u) = \psi'_\theta(u) = c(\theta) \exp(\theta u) 1_{[0,1]}(u)$ .

(ii) For this family, note that

$$1 - \psi_\theta(u) = \frac{e^\theta(1 - u)}{e^\theta(1 - u) + u},$$

and hence the *odds ratio* is

$$\frac{1 - \psi_\theta(u)}{\psi_\theta(u)} = e^\theta \frac{1 - u}{u} = e^\theta \cdot \text{the odds ratio for Uniform}(0,1).$$

Thus this family is one with proportional odds ratios.

4. Suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent Exponential(1) random variables. Let  $Y_i \equiv X_{(i)}$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , denote the *order statistics* corresponding to  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . (a) Show that the vector  $(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$  has the same joint distribution as  $(W_1, \dots, W_n)$  where

$$(1) \quad W_i \equiv \sum_{j=1}^i Z_j / (n - j + 1)$$

and  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$  are i.i.d. Exponential(1).

- (b) Use the result of (a) to compute  $E(Y_i)$ ,  $Var(Y_i)$ , and  $Cov(Y_i, Y_j)$  for any fixed  $i, j$ .

**Solution:** (a) Note that  $0 \leq W_1 \leq \dots \leq W_n$  and

$$(2) \quad Z_i = (n - i + 1)(W_i - W_{i-1}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

(with  $W_0 \equiv 0$ ). Let  $g(\underline{Z}) \equiv \underline{W}$  be the map defined by (1) so that  $g^{-1}(\underline{W}) = \underline{Z}$  is given in (2). Then the Jacobian of  $g^{-1}$  has entries  $n, (n-1), \dots, 1$  on the diagonal, entries  $-(n-1), \dots, -2, -1$  below the diagonal, and zero elsewhere. Hence  $\det(J_{g^{-1}}) = \text{tr}(J_{g^{-1}}) = n!$  and the density of  $\underline{W}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\underline{W}}(\underline{w}) &= f_{\underline{Z}}(g^{-1}(\underline{w})) \det(J_{g^{-1}}) \\ &= n! \prod_{i=1}^n \exp(-(n-i+1)(w_i - w_{i-1})) \\ &= n! \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^n (n-i+1)(w_i - w_{i-1})\right) \\ &= n! \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=i}^n 1\right)(w_i - w_{i-1})\right) \\ &= n! \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i \leq j} (w_i - w_{i-1})\right) \\ &= n! \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^n w_j\right) = n! f(w_1) \cdots f(w_n) \end{aligned}$$

on the set  $0 \leq w_1 \leq \dots \leq w_n < \infty$  where  $f(x) = \exp(-x)1_{[0, \infty)}(x)$  is the standard exponential density. Hence  $\underline{Y} =_d \underline{Y} \equiv \underline{X}_{(\cdot)}$  where  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d. exponential(1).

(b) It follows immediately from (a) that

$$E(Y_i) = E\left(\sum_{j=1}^i \frac{Z_j}{n-j+1}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^i \frac{1}{n-j+1},$$

$$\text{Var}(Y_i) = \text{Var}\left(\sum_{j=1}^i \frac{Z_j}{n-j+1}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^i \frac{1}{(n-j+1)^2},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(Y_i, Y_j) &= \text{Cov}\left(\sum_{k=1}^i \frac{Z_k}{n-k+1}, \sum_{k'=1}^j \frac{Z_{k'}}{n-k'+1}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{i \wedge j} \frac{1}{(n-k+1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

for any fixed  $i, j$ .