

## Statistics 582, Problem Set 8

Wellner; 2/25/98

**Reading:** Chapter 5, section 5.8; Ferguson, MS, Chapter 5, pages 198 - 223.

**Due:** Wednesday, March 4, 1998

1. Consider testing the simple hypothesis  $H_0 : X \sim P_0$  versus the simple alternative  $H_1 : X \sim P_1$ . Let  $\phi$  be a test of  $H_0$  versus  $H_1$ , and suppose a prior distribution on  $\{P_0, P_1\}$  is given by  $(\lambda, 1 - \lambda)$  with  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ .
  - A. For losses given by  $l_0$  and  $l_1$  as in Corollary 5.5.4, page 12, chapter 5, find the Bayes rule for this problem. Compute the ordinary risks and the Bayes risk for the Bayes rule.
  - B. When  $l_0 = l_1 = 1$  and  $\lambda = 1/2$ , express the Bayes risk you found in A in terms of the total variation distance between  $P_0$  and  $P_1$ . Explain why this relationship makes sense intuitively.
  - C. Does the relationship you found in B continue to hold for other values of the losses  $l_0$  and  $l_1$  and prior  $\lambda$ ?
2. Suppose that  $X \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2)$ , and it is “known” that  $\theta \in [-\tau, \tau]$ .
  - A. Consider the class of *affine estimators* of  $\theta$  given by  $d(X) = cX + d$  for  $c, d \in R$ . Show that the minimax affine estimator of  $\theta \in [-\tau, \tau]$  is given by  $d_0 = c_0X$  where  $c_0 = \tau^2/(\sigma^2 + \tau^2)$  and that the minimax risk is

$$\inf_{c,d} \sup_{\theta \in [-\tau, \tau]} E_{\theta}(\theta - cX - d)^2 = \sigma^2\tau^2/(\sigma^2 + \tau^2)$$

- B. Suppose that  $\lambda(\theta)$  is a prior distribution for  $\theta$  with all its mass concentrated on  $[-\tau, \tau]$ . Find the posterior distribution for  $\theta$  for such a prior. Where is it concentrated? What can you say about the Bayes rule with respect to this prior for squared error loss?
  - C. How is the minimax risk over all estimators related to the minimax risk of affine estimators which you computed in A? How is it related to the Bayes risk?
  - D. Suppose that a sample of i.i.d.  $X_i$ 's is available so that  $\bar{X}_n \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2/n)$  (i.e. we can replace  $\sigma^2$  by  $\sigma^2/n$ ) in C, and suppose that the true  $\theta_0 > \tau$ . Describe the behavior of the posterior distributions and Bayes rule in C as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
3. Suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d.  $\text{Exponential}(\theta)$  (so the  $X$ 's have density  $p_{\theta}(x) = \theta e^{-\theta x} 1_{(0, \infty)}(x)$ . with respect to Lebesgue measure on  $R$ , and that  $\theta \sim \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ :

$$\lambda(\theta) = \beta \frac{(\beta\theta)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \exp(-\beta\theta) 1_{[0, \infty)}(\theta).$$

A. Find the Bayes rule  $d_B(\underline{X})$  for estimation of  $\theta$  with squared error loss  $L(\theta, a) = |\theta - a|^2$ . Find the Bayes rule  $d_{Bw}(\underline{X})$  for estimation of  $\theta$  with weighted squared error loss  $L(\theta, a) = (\theta - a)^2/\theta$ . Is the maximum likelihood estimator among either of these families of Bayes estimators?

B. Are the Bayes estimators  $d_B$  and  $d_{Bw}$  consistent? What are the limit distributions of  $d_B$  and  $d_{Bw}$ ? Compare them with the maximum likelihood estimator.

C. Prove a (conditional) limit theorem for the posterior distributions given  $\underline{X}$ .

D. Suppose that instead of the Gamma prior distribution,  $\theta$  has the Pareto( $\theta_0, \alpha$ ) distribution with density  $\lambda$  given by

$$\lambda(\theta) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\theta_0}\right)\left(\frac{\theta_0}{\theta}\right)^{\alpha+1}1_{(\theta_0, \infty)}(\theta);$$

here  $E(\theta) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}\theta_0$  where  $\alpha > 1$  and  $\theta_0 > 0$  are known. What can you say about the Bayes estimator for squared error loss with this prior? For what values of  $\theta_0$  is the Bayes rule consistent?

4. **Optional bonus problem:** Ferguson, MS, problem 6.2.2, page 297.