

Statistics 582, Problem Set 1

Wellner; 1/4/2010

Reading: Chapter 4, Sections 4-6; Lehmann and Casella, TPE, section 6.6, pages 469 - 483; Ferguson, ACLST, Chapter 16-17, pages 107-118.

Due: Monday, January 11, 2010.

1. Suppose that $(X, Y), (X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$ are i.i.d. with bivariate normal distribution $N_2(\mu, \Sigma)$ where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & \sigma\tau\rho \\ \sigma\tau\rho & \tau^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\sigma^2 > 0$, $\tau^2 > 0$, and $\rho \in (-1, 1)$.

- (a) If we assume that $\mu_1 = \mu_2 \equiv \theta$ and Σ is known, what is the MLE of θ ?
 - (b) If we assume that μ is known and $\tau^2 = c^2\sigma^2 \equiv c^2\theta$ with $c > 0$ fixed and known, what is the MLE of $\theta = \sigma^2$?
 - (c) What is the asymptotic distribution of the estimator you found in (b)?
 - (d) Under the same assumption as in (b), what is the MLE of ρ ?
 - (e) What is the asymptotic distribution of the estimator you found in (d)?
2. Ferguson, ACILST, page 118, problem 3. [Also see Lehmann and Casella, Example 7.9, page 482.]
 3. Ferguson, ACILST, page 117, problem 2, with parameter space $\Theta = [0, 1]$.
 4. Ferguson, ACILST, page 124, problem 3. What can you say about the asymptotic distribution of the MLE of $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$?
 5. (Profile likelihood) [For nice plots to accompany this exercise, see pages 41 - 43 of Cox, D. R. and Oakes, D. (1984); *Analysis of Survival Data*, Chapman and Hall.] As in problem 1.3, consider the Weibull family of example 3.2.5: $\mathcal{P} = \{P_\theta : \theta \in \Theta\}$ with $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^{+2}$ given by the (Lebesgue) densities

$$p_\theta(x) = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{\beta-1} \exp\left(-\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta\right) 1_{[0, \infty)}(x)$$

where $\theta \equiv (\alpha, \beta) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.

- (a) For a sample of n observations from p_θ , we know that, for each fixed value of β the value of α which maximizes the likelihood as a function of α is

$$\hat{\alpha}(\beta) = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^\beta \right\}^{1/\beta}.$$

Use this to compute the *profile likelihood* $l_{\text{profile}}(\beta) = l_{\text{profile}}(\beta | \underline{X})$ defined by

$$l_{\text{profile}}(\beta) = l(\hat{\alpha}(\beta), \beta) = l(\hat{\alpha}(\beta), \beta | \underline{X}).$$

- (b) Use what we know from Statistics 581 problem 10.3 to show that the profile likelihood is strictly concave and hence has a unique maximum. Show that

maximizing the profile likelihood as a function of β yields the maximum likelihood estimate: i.e. that $(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}) = (\hat{\alpha}(\hat{\beta}_{\text{profile}}), \hat{\beta}_{\text{profile}})$.

6. **Optional bonus problem 1.** This is a continuation of problem 5 above.
- (a) What is the relationship of the score function for β from the profile likelihood, $\dot{l}_{\beta, \text{profile}}$ to the (efficient) score for β from the full likelihood? Prove or disprove my claim: the profile score for β (based on n observations) is asymptotically equivalent to the sum of efficient scores for β over the sample in the sense that their difference divided by \sqrt{n} converges to 0 in probability.
 - (b) What is the relationship of the observed information from the profile likelihood $-\ddot{l}_{\beta\beta, \text{profile}}$ to information quantities from the full likelihood?
7. **Optional bonus problem 2.** Ferguson, ACILST, page 125, problem 7.