

## Statistics 582, Problem Set 7 Solutions

Wellner; 2/22/2006

1. Continuation of problem 2, problem set 5: Suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d. Exponential( $\theta$ ) (so the  $X$ 's have distribution  $P_\theta$  and density  $p_\theta(x) = \theta e^{-\theta x} 1_{(0, \infty)}(x)$ ) with respect to Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and that  $\theta \sim \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ :

$$\lambda(\theta) = \beta \frac{(\beta\theta)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \exp(-\beta\theta) 1_{[0, \infty)}(\theta).$$

In problem set 5 we found the Bayes rules with respect to squared error loss  $L(\theta, a) = (\theta - a)^2$  and weighted squared error loss  $L(\theta, a) = (\theta - a)^2/\theta$ .

- A. Prove a (conditional) limit theorem for the posterior distributions given  $\underline{X}$ .  
 B. What does theorem 5.8.2 say about the limiting distribution of the Bayes rule for squared error loss (assuming that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d.  $P_{\theta_0} \equiv P$  with  $\theta_0 \in (0, \infty)$ )?

**Solution:** A. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \theta \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha + n, \beta + \sum X_i) &=_{d} (\beta + \sum X_i)^{-1} \text{Gamma}(\alpha + n, 1) \\ &=_{d} (\beta + \sum X_i)^{-1} (Y_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i) \end{aligned}$$

where  $Y_0 \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, 1)$ , and  $Y_i \sim \text{Gamma}(1, 1) = \text{Exp}(1)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  are all independent. Thus conditionally on the  $X_i$ 's we have, with  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$  and with  $\theta_0$  the true value of  $\theta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(\theta - E(\theta|\underline{X})) &=_{d} \sqrt{n} \frac{Y_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i - (\alpha + n)}{\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i} \\ &= \sqrt{n}(\bar{Y}_n - 1) \frac{1}{\bar{X}_n + n^{-1}\beta} + \sqrt{n}(Y_0 - \alpha) \frac{1/n}{\bar{X}_n + n^{-1}\beta} \\ &\rightarrow_{d} Z \frac{1}{\theta_0^{-1}} \sim N(0, \theta_0^2) \end{aligned}$$

almost surely with respect to the distribution of  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ . Note that the posterior mean  $E(\theta|\underline{X})$  can be replaced here by either the MLE  $1/\bar{X}_n$  or by  $T_n = \theta_0 + (nI(\theta_0))^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{l}_\theta(X_i) = 2\theta_0 - \theta_0^2 \bar{X}_n$  since

$$\sqrt{n}(E(\theta|\underline{X}) - 1/\bar{X}_n) = o_p(1)$$

and similarly with  $T_n$  in place of  $1/\bar{X}_n$ .

B. In the present case Theorem 5.8.2 says that

$$\sqrt{n}(E(\theta|\underline{X}) - \theta_0) \rightarrow_d N(0, 1/I(\theta_0)) = N(0, \theta_0^2)$$

since  $I(\theta_0) = 1/\theta_0^2$ .

2. A random variable  $X$  takes on the values 1, 2, 3, 4 with probability distribution  $p_0(x)$  or  $p_1(x)$  as follows:

$x$	1	2	3	4
$p_0(x)$	.2	.1	.3	.4
$p_1(x)$	.4	.2	.2	.2

A. Find a most powerful test of size  $\alpha = .2$  for testing  $p_0$  versus  $p_1$  and determine its power.

B. Find a test  $\phi$  which minimizes the sum of risks  $a + b$  where  $a = E_0\phi$  and  $b = E_1(1 - \phi)$ .

**Solution:** Now  $p_1(x)/p_0(x) = 2, 2, 2/3, 1/2$ , according as  $x = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , so a MP test of size  $\alpha = .2$  is given by

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 2, 3, 4. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$E_0\phi(X) = P_0(X = 1) = .2$$

while

$$\text{Power} = E_1\phi(X) = P_1(X = 1) = .4.$$

Note that any test  $\phi^*$  of the form

$$\phi^*(x) = \begin{cases} \gamma(x), & \text{if } x = 1, 2 \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 3, 4. \end{cases}$$

is also most powerful of size  $\alpha$  in this case as long as we choose  $\gamma$  so that  $E_0\gamma(X)1_{\{1,2\}}(X) = .2$ . The test  $\phi$  above is of the form  $\phi^*$  with  $\gamma(x) = 1\{X = 1\}$ . Another choice would be  $\gamma(x) = 2/3$ : then  $E_0\phi^*(X) = (2/3)(P_0(X = 1) + P_0(X = 2)) = (2/3)(.2 + .1) = .2$ , and the power is

$$E_1\phi^*(X) = (2/3)(.4 + .2) = .4.$$

B. A test which minimizes  $a + b$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p_1(x) \geq p_0(x) \\ 0, & \text{if } p_1(x) < p_0(x) \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = 1, 2 \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 3, 4 \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Then  $a = E_0\phi(X) = .3$  and  $b = E_1(1 - \phi(X)) = .4$ , and hence  $(a + b)_{\min} = .7$ . Note that  $\int p_0 \wedge p_1 d\mu = .7$ , so this result agrees with the solution of problem 4 of problem set # 4.

3. (Problem 3.6, Lehmann and Romano, TSH, page 93.) Suppose that  $P_0$ ,  $P_1$ , and  $P_2$  be the probability distributions assigning to the integers  $1, \dots, 6$  the following probabilities:

$x$	1	2	3	4	5	6
$p_0(x)$	.03	.02	.02	.01	0	.92
$p_1(x)$	.06	.05	.08	.02	.01	.78
$p_2(x)$	.09	.05	.12	0	.02	.72

Determine whether there exists a level- $\alpha$  test of  $H : P = P_0$  which is UMP against the alternatives  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  when:

- (i)  $\alpha = .01$ ; (ii)  $\alpha = .05$ ; (iii)  $\alpha = .07$ .

**Solution:** Here the table of likelihood ratios is as follows:

$x$	1	2	3	4	5	6
$p_1(x)/p_0(x)$	2	5/2	4	2	$\infty$	78/98
$p_2(x)/p_0(x)$	3	5/2	6	0	$\infty$	72/98

- (i) For  $\alpha = .01$ , the most powerful tests of  $P_0$  versus  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1(x) &= 1\{x = 5\} + (1/2)1\{x = 3\}, \\ \phi_2(x) &= 1\{x = 5\} + 1/2)1\{x = 3\},\end{aligned}$$

so  $\phi_1 = \phi_2$  is Uniformly most powerful.

- (ii) For  $\alpha = .05$ , the most powerful tests of  $P_0$  versus  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1(x) &= 1_{\{2,3,5\}}(x) + \gamma(x)1_{\{1,4\}}(x), \\ \phi_2(x) &= 1_{\{1,3,5\}},\end{aligned}$$

so there is no UMP test of  $P_0$  versus  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  at this level.

(iii) For  $\alpha = .07$ , the most powerful tests of  $P_0$  versus  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1(x) &= 1_{\{2,3,5\}}(x) + \gamma(x)1_{\{1,4\}}(x), \\ \phi_2(x) &= 1_{\{1,2,3,5\}},\end{aligned}$$

so by taking  $\gamma(x) = 1\{x = 1\}$ ,  $\phi_1(x) = \phi_2(x)$ , and this test is Uniformly Most Powerful for testing  $P_0$  versus  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ .

4. (Problem 3.7, Lehmann and Romano, TSH, page 94.) Suppose that the distribution of  $X$  is given by

$x$	0	1	2	3
$p_\theta(x)$	$\theta$	$2\theta$	$.9 - 2\theta$	$.1 - \theta$

where  $0 < \theta < .1$ . For testing  $H : \theta = .05$  against  $\theta > .05$  at level  $\alpha = .05$ , determine which of the following tests (if any) is UMP:

- (i)  $\phi(0) = 1, \phi(1) = \phi(2) = \phi(3) = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\phi(1) = .5, \phi(0) = \phi(2) = \phi(3) = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\phi(3) = 1, \phi(0) = \phi(1) = \phi(2) = 0$ .

**Solution:** The likelihood ratios  $P_{\theta'}(X = x)/P_\theta(X = x)$

$x$	0	1	2	3
$P_\theta(X = x)$	$\theta$	$2\theta$	$.9 - 2\theta$	$.1 - \theta$
$\frac{P_{\theta'}(X=x)}{P_\theta(X=x)}$	$\frac{\theta'}{\theta}$	$\frac{\theta'}{\theta}$	$\frac{9-20\theta'}{9-20\theta}$	$\frac{1-10\theta'}{1-10\theta}$

It is easy to check that

$$\frac{\theta'}{\theta} > \frac{9 - 20\theta'}{9 - 20\theta} > \frac{1 - 10\theta'}{1 - 10\theta}$$

Hence this family has monotone decreasing likelihood ratio in  $x$  (though not strictly), and strictly decreasing likelihood ratio in

$$\begin{aligned}T(x) &= 1\{x = 0\} + 1\{x = 1\} + 2 \cdot 1\{x = 2\} + 3 \cdot 1\{x = 3\} \\ &= x1\{x > 0\} + 1\{x = 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the Karlin - Rubin theorem that a UMP test of  $H : \theta \leq \theta_0 = .05$  (of its level) is given by

$$\phi(X) = 1_{[T(X) < k]} + \gamma(X)1_{[T(X) = k]}. \tag{1}$$

- (i) Note that the test  $\phi_1(X) = 1\{X = 0\}$  is of the form (1) with  $k = 1$  and  $\gamma(X) = 1\{X = 0\}$  and it has level  $\alpha = .05$ ; hence it is a UMP test of  $H$  versus  $K$ . The power of  $\phi_1$  is given by  $\beta_1(\theta) \equiv E_\theta\phi_1(X) = \theta$ .
- (ii) The test  $\phi_2(X) = .51\{X = 1\}$  is also of the form (1) with  $k = 1$  and  $\gamma(X) = .5 \cdot 1\{X = 1\}$  and it has level  $\alpha = .05$ . Hence it is also a UMP test of  $H$  versus  $K$ . The power of  $\phi_2$  is given by  $\beta_2(\theta) \equiv E_\theta\phi_2(X) = \theta$ .
- (iii) The test  $\phi_3(X) = 1\{X = 3\}$  is clearly not of the form (1). It has power function  $\beta_3(\theta) = E_\theta\phi_3(X) = .1 - \theta$ , so  $\beta_3(.05) = .05$ , but  $\beta_3(\theta) > .05$  for  $\theta < .05$  while  $\beta_3(\theta) < .05$  for  $\theta > \theta_0 = .05$ . In fact, this is a UMP test of  $\tilde{H} : \theta \geq \theta_0$  versus  $\tilde{K} : \theta < \theta_0$ .