

Statistics 581, Problem Set 2 Solutions

Wellner; 10/13/2017

1. (a) If $W \sim \chi_2^2 = \text{Gamma}(2/2, 1/2) = \text{Gamma}(1, 1/2)$, find the density function f_W , distribution function F_W , and inverse distribution function F_W^{-1} explicitly.
 - (b) Suppose that $(X, Y) \sim N_2(0, I)$. Show that R and Θ defined by $R^2 = X^2 + Y^2$ and $\Theta = \arctan(Y/X)$ are independent random variables with $R^2 \sim \chi_2^2$ and $\Theta \sim \text{Uniform}(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$. [Note that $g(\theta) = \tan(\theta)$ is a periodic function of θ with period π : $g(\theta) = g(\theta + \pi)$ for all θ . Thus the inverse function $g^{-1}(\theta) = \arctan(\theta)$ is usually taken to be the inverse of g restricted to $\theta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ where $\tan(\theta)$ is strictly increasing, negative for $-\pi/2 < \theta < 0$ and positive for $0 < \theta < \pi/2$.]
 - (c) Use the results of (a) and (b) to show (using Theorem 2.4.1, Chapter 2 notes, page 23) how to use two independent $\text{Uniform}(0, 1)$ random variables U and V to generate two standard normal random variables.

Solution: (a) If $W \sim \chi_2^2 = \text{Gamma}(1, 1/2)$, the density function is given by $f_W(w) = (1/2)e^{-w/2}1_{[0, \infty)}(w)$; i.e. $W \sim \text{Exponential}(1/2)$. Hence the distribution function is $F_W(w) = 1 - \exp(-w/2)$ for $w \geq 0$, and the inverse distribution function is $F_W^{-1}(u) = -2 \log(1 - u)$.

(b) The joint density of (X, Y) is given by

$$f_{X,Y}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp(-(x^2 + y^2)/2) \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Moreover, $x = r \cos(\theta)$ and $y = r \sin(\theta)$ uniquely for $r \in (0, \infty)$ and $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi]$. However, the inverse function $(x, y) \mapsto (\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}, \arctan(y/x)) \equiv (r, \theta)$ maps both the half planes $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x > 0\}$ and $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x < 0\}$ into $\{(r, \theta) : r > 0, -\pi/2 < \theta < \pi/2\}$. Hence both of these half planes contribute separately to the joint density of (r, θ) . Since the Jacobian of the transformation $(r, \theta) \mapsto (x(r, \theta), y(r, \theta))$ is

$$\frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(r, \theta)} = \left| \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -r \sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) & r \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \right| = r \cos^2(\theta) + r \sin^2(\theta) = r,$$

we find that the joint density of (R, Θ) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{R,\Theta}(r, \theta) &= f_{X,Y}(r \cos(\theta), r \sin(\theta))1_{(0, \infty)}(r \cos(\theta)) \\ &\quad + f_{X,Y}(r \cos(\theta + \pi), r \sin(\theta + \pi))1_{(-\infty, 0)}(r \cos(\theta + \pi)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp(-r^2/2)r + \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp(-r^2/2)r \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\pi} \exp(-r^2/2)r \quad \text{on } (0, \infty) \times (-\pi/2, \pi/2] \\
&= r \exp(-r^2/2) \cdot \frac{1}{\pi} = f_R(r)f_\Theta(\theta).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus R and Θ are independent with densities $f_R(r) = r \exp(-r^2/2)1_{(0,\infty)}(r)$ and $f_\Theta(\theta) = \pi^{-1}1_{(-\pi/2,\pi/2]}(\theta)$. Note that the distribution function of R is given by

$$F_R(r) = \int_0^r f_R(y)dy = \int_0^r y \exp(-y^2/2)dy = 1 - \exp(-r^2/2).$$

It follows easily from this that

$$F_{R^2}(x) = P(R^2 \leq x) = P(R \leq \sqrt{x}) = 1 - \exp(-x/2)$$

for $x \in [0, \infty)$; i.e. $R^2 \sim \text{Exponential}(1/2) = \text{Gamma}(1, 1/2) = \chi_2^2$.

(c) If U and V are independent $\text{Uniform}(0, 1)$ random variables, we can use the inverse transformation to first obtain

$$R^2 \equiv F_{\chi_2^2}^{-1}(U) = -2 \log(1-U) \sim \chi_2^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta \equiv 2\pi V \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 2\pi)$$

note that R^2 and Θ are independent by independence of U and V . Then in view of (b)

$$(X, Y) \equiv (R \cos(\Theta), R \sin(\Theta)) \sim N_2(0, I).$$

2. (See Ferguson, ACILST, p. 94-100.) Suppose that X_1, X_2, \dots are iid $\text{Exponential}(\lambda)$. Let $M_n \equiv \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i$ and $T_n \equiv \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i$.

(a) Show that $nM_n \stackrel{d}{=} \text{exponential}(\lambda)$.

(b) Show that $T_n - (1/\lambda) \log n \rightarrow_d (1/\lambda)T$ where T has the double exponential extreme value distribution function given by $P(T \leq x) = \exp(-\exp(-x))$.

(c) Now suppose that X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. on \mathbb{R}^+ with distribution function F satisfying $F(0) = 0$ and $0 < F'(0) < \infty$; here $F'(0)$ is the right-derivative of F at 0:

$$\lim_{x \searrow 0} \frac{F(x) - F(0)}{x} = F'(0).$$

Show that $nM_n \rightarrow_d \text{exponential}(F'(0))$.

Solution: (a) Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(nM_n > x) &= P\left(\min_{1 \leq k \leq n} X_k > \frac{x}{n}\right) \\
 &= P\left(X_1 > \frac{x}{n}, \dots, X_n > \frac{x}{n}\right) \\
 &= P\left(X_1 > \frac{x}{n}\right) \cdots P\left(X_n > \frac{x}{n}\right) \\
 &= P(X_1 > x/n)^n = \{\exp(-\lambda x/n)\}^n \\
 &= \exp(-\lambda x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $nM_n \stackrel{d}{=} \text{exponential}(\lambda)$.

(b) For the maximum T_n ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(T_n - (1/\lambda) \log n \leq x) &= P(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i \leq x + (1/\lambda) \log n) \\
 &= P(X_1 \leq x + (1/\lambda) \log n)^n \\
 &= (1 - \exp(-\lambda x - \log n))^n \\
 &= \left(1 - \frac{\exp(-\lambda x)}{n}\right)^n \rightarrow \exp(-\exp(-\lambda x)) \\
 &= P(T \leq \lambda x)
 \end{aligned}$$

where T has the extreme value distribution function $P(T \leq x) = \exp(-\exp(-x))$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

(c) In this case, the reasoning is much the same in part (a) at the beginning:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(nM_n > x) &= P(X_1 > x/n)^n \\
 &= \left(1 - \frac{nF(x/n)}{n}\right)^n \tag{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since F has a derivative F' (from the right) at 0 and $F(0) = 0$ we have

$$nF(x/n) = \frac{F(x/n) - F(0)}{x/n} \cdot x \rightarrow F'(0)x \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus the expression on the right side of (1) converges to $\exp(-F'(0)x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; i.e. $nM_n \rightarrow \text{exponential}(F'(0))$.

3. Suppose that Y is a random variable with $E(Y^2) < \infty$.

(a) Show that

$$\text{Var}(Y) = E\{\text{Var}(Y|X)\} + \text{Var}\{E(Y|X)\};$$

i.e.

$$E(Y - EY)^2 = E\{E[(Y - E(Y|X))^2|X]\} + E\{[E(Y|X) - E(Y)]^2\}.$$

(b) Interpret (a) geometrically.

(c) Suppose that $Y \sim \chi_n^2(\delta)$. Compute $E(Y)$ and $\text{Var}(Y)$.

Hint: Use $E(Y) = E\{E(Y|X)\}$ and (a).

(d) Show that

$$\frac{\chi_n^2(\delta) - (n + \delta)}{\sqrt{2n + 4\delta}} \rightarrow_d N(0, 1)$$

as either $n \rightarrow \infty$ or $\delta \rightarrow \infty$.

Solution: (a) We compute directly:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(Y) &= E[Y - E(Y)]^2 = E[Y - E(Y|X) + E(Y|X) - E(Y)]^2 \\ &= E[Y - E(Y|X)]^2 + 2E[(Y - E(Y|X))[E(Y|X) - E(Y)]] \\ &\quad + E[E(Y|X) - E(Y)]^2 \\ &= E\{E\{[Y - E(Y|X)]^2|X\}\} + 0 + \text{Var}[E(Y|X)] \\ &= E\{\text{Var}[Y|X]\} + \text{Var}[E(Y|X)] \end{aligned}$$

since, by computing conditionally,

$$\begin{aligned} &E[(Y - E(Y|X))[E(Y|X) - E(Y)]] \\ &= E\{E\{[(Y - E(Y|X))[E(Y|X) - E(Y)]|X\}\} \\ &= E\{[E(Y|X) - E(Y)]E\{[Y - E(Y|X)]|X\}\} \\ &= E\{[E(Y|X) - E(Y)]\{E(Y|X) - E(Y|X)\}\} \\ &= E\{[E(Y|X) - E(Y)] \cdot 0\} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

(b) A geometric interpretation of (a) is that $Y - E(Y|X)$ is orthogonal to $E(Y|X) - E(Y)$ in $L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P) = L_2(P)$, thus the identity in (a) can

be interpreted as a “pythagorean theorem”. Also note that $Y - E(Y|X)$ is orthogonal to any function $g(X)$: much as in the last part of (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
E[(Y - E(Y|X))g(X)] &= E\{E\{[(Y - E(Y|X))g(X)|X]\}\} \\
&= E\{g(X)E\{[Y - E(Y|X)]|X]\}\} \\
&= E\{g(X)\{E(Y|X) - E(Y|X)\}\} \\
&= E\{g(X) \cdot 0\} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

(c) Now $(Y|K) \sim \chi_{2K+n}^2$ where $K \sim \text{Poisson}(\delta/2)$, so

$$E(Y) = E\{E(Y|K)\} = E\{2K + n\} = n + 2(\delta/2) = n + \delta.$$

Furthermore, using part (a) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(Y) &= E\{\text{Var}(Y|K)\} + \text{Var}\{E(Y|K)\} \\
&= E\{2(2K + n)\} + \text{Var}\{2K + n\} \\
&= 4(\delta/2) + 2n + 4(\delta/2) \\
&= 2n + 4\delta.
\end{aligned}$$

(d) First suppose that $n \rightarrow \infty$. (d) First note that if $Y \sim \chi_n^2(\delta)$, then $Y =_d (Z_1 + \sqrt{\delta})^2 + Z_2^2 + \dots + Z_n^2$ where Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n are independent, $Z_1, \dots, Z_n \sim N(0, 1)$. We can write, with $T_i \equiv Z_i^2 - 1$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$ (having mean 0 and variance 2),

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\chi_n^2(\delta) - (n + \delta)}{\sqrt{2n + 4\delta}} &=_d \frac{(Z_1 + \sqrt{\delta})^2 - (1 + \delta) + (Z_2^2 - 1) + \dots + (Z_n^2 - 1) - \delta}{\sqrt{2n + 4\delta}} \\
&= \frac{(Z_1^2 - 1) + \dots + (Z_n^2 - 1)}{\sqrt{2n + 4\delta}} + \frac{2\sqrt{\delta}Z_1}{\sqrt{2n + 4\delta}} \\
&= \frac{T_1 + \dots + T_n}{\sqrt{2n}} \frac{\sqrt{2n}}{\sqrt{2n + 4\delta}} + \frac{2\sqrt{\delta}Z_1}{\sqrt{2n + 4\delta}}
\end{aligned}$$

where, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{2\sqrt{\delta}Z_1}{\sqrt{2n + 4\delta}} \rightarrow_p 0; \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{T_1 + \dots + T_n}{\sqrt{2n}} \rightarrow_d N(0, 1)$$

by the CLT. Hence by Slutsky's theorem

$$\frac{\chi_n^2(\delta) - (n + \delta)}{\sqrt{2n + 4\delta}} \rightarrow_d N(0, 1) \cdot 1 + 0 = N(0, 1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

If n is fixed and $\delta \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{T_1 + \cdots + T_n}{\sqrt{2n + 4\delta}} \rightarrow_p 0$$

while

$$\frac{2\sqrt{\delta}Z_1}{\sqrt{2n + 4\delta}} \rightarrow_d Z_1 \sim N(0, 1).$$

Hence the desired conclusion follows from Slutsky's theorem (Proposition 2.2.9).

4. Suppose that:

- (i) $X \sim N_n(\mu, \Sigma)$ where Σ is of rank $k < n$;
- (ii) $\Sigma^2 = \Sigma$ (so Σ is a projection matrix);
- (iii) $\Sigma\mu = \mu$.

Show that $X'X \sim \chi_k^2(\delta)$ with $\delta = \mu'\mu$.

Solution: See Ferguson, ACILST, page 63 (and page 57). Find Γ orthogonal so that $\Gamma'\Sigma\Gamma = D$ where D is diagonal. Now $\Gamma\Gamma' = I$, so if $\Sigma^2 = \Sigma$ we have $D^2 = \Gamma'\Sigma\Gamma\Gamma'\Sigma\Gamma = \Gamma'\Sigma^2\Gamma = \Gamma'\Sigma\Gamma = D$ and conversely. Moreover, since Σ is of rank k , D is of rank k , and this together with $D^2 = D$ implies that D has k 1's on the diagonal and $n-k$ 0's. Without loss, assume that Γ has been chosen so that the k ones occur in the first r positions of the diagonal matrix D ; thus

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where I is $k \times k$. Moreover, note that

$$D\Gamma'\mu = \Gamma'\Sigma\Gamma\Gamma'\mu = \Gamma'\Sigma\mu = \Gamma'\mu,$$

and this implies that the last $n-k$ components of $\Gamma'\mu$ are all zero. Now let $Y = \Gamma'X$ (much as in the proof of theorem 1.3.2 of the notes). Then $Y \sim N_n(\Gamma'\mu, D)$, $Y'Y = X'\Gamma\Gamma'X = X'X$, and by (d) of page 16, section 1.3, $X'X = Y'Y \sim \chi_k^2(\delta)$ where $\delta = (\Gamma'\mu)'(\Gamma'\mu) = \mu'\Gamma\Gamma'\mu = \mu'\mu$.

5. Ferguson, ACILST, #4, page 6:

(a) Give an example of random variables X_n such that $E|X_n| \rightarrow 0$ and $E|X_n|^2 \rightarrow 1$.

(b) Give an example of a sequence of random variables X_n such that $X_n \rightarrow_p 0$ but $X_n \rightarrow_{a.s.} 0$ fails.

Solution: (a) If $X_n = a_n$ with probability p_n and $X_n = 0$ with probability $1 - p_n$, then $E(X_n) = a_n p_n$ and $E(X_n^2) = a_n^2 p_n = 1$ if $p_n = 1/a_n^2$. Then $E(X_n) = a_n/a_n^2 = 1/a_n \rightarrow 0$ if $a_n \rightarrow \infty$. Ferguson's solution on page 173 takes $a_n = n$; the same holds for any sequence $a_n \rightarrow \infty$.

(b) Let $U \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$, and set $X_n = n^\alpha 1_{[0, 1/n]}(U)$. Then $E X_n = n^\alpha n^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ if $\alpha < 1$, while $E X_n^2 = n^{2\alpha} n^{-1} \rightarrow \infty$ if $\alpha > 1/2$. Thus the required convergences hold for all $1/2 < \alpha < 1$.

(c) Let $U \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$. The "dancing functions" are defined by $X_{n,k} = 1_{[(k-1)/2^n, k/2^n)}(U)$, $k = 1, \dots, 2^n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Let $\{Y_m\}_{m \geq 1}$ be defined by $Y_m = X_{n,k}$ if $m = (\sum_{j=1}^n 2^j) + k = 2^{n+1} - 2 + k$ with $1 \leq k \leq 2^n$. Then for $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$P(|Y_m| > \epsilon) = P(|X_{n,k}| > \epsilon) = 2^{-n} \rightarrow 0$$

so $Y_m \rightarrow_p 0$, but for every $U(\omega) \in (0, 1)$ we have $Y_m(\omega) = 1$ for infinitely many m 's and also $Y_m(\omega) = 0$ for infinitely many m 's. Hence

$$0 = \liminf Y_m < \limsup Y_m = 1 \quad a.s.$$

and it follows that Y_m does not converge to 0 almost surely.