

## Statistics 581, Midterm Exam Solutions

Wellner; 11/06/2017

1. (24 points) **Define** any **three** of the following six terms.
  - (a) The *total variation distance* between two probability measures  $P$  and  $Q$ .
  - (b) The *Hellinger distance* between two probability measures  $P$  and  $Q$ .
  - (c) A normal random vector  $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ .
  - (d) A *uniformly integrable* sequence of random variables  $\{X_n\}$ .
  - (f) A *standard Brownian bridge process* on  $[0, 1]$ .
  - (g) The *inverse or quantile*  $F^{-1}$  of a distribution function  $F$ .

**Solution:** See course notes.

2. (30 points). **State** any **three** of the following:
  - (a) The Lindeberg-Feller CLT.
  - (b) The inverse transformation theorem.
  - (c) The multivariate delta method or  $g'$  theorem.
  - (d) Skorokhod's theorem (for real-valued random variables).
  - (e) The (classical) Glivenko-Cantelli theorem for the empirical d.f.  $\mathbb{F}_n$ .
  - (f) Scheffé's theorem.

**Solution:** See course notes.

Do **either** problem 3 **or** problem 4.

3. (32 points) Use the ordinary (univariate) central limit theorem and the Cramér-Wold device to prove the multivariate central limit theorem.

**Solution:** See course notes.

4. (32 points) Suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d.  $\text{exponential}(\theta)$ ; i.e. with density  $p_\theta(x) = \theta \exp(-\theta x) 1_{[0, \infty)}(x)$ . Let  $X_{(n)} = X_{n:n}$  be the largest order statistic of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ .
  - (a) Find constants  $c_n$  so that  $Y_n = X_{(n)} - c_n \rightarrow_d Y$  for some random variable  $Y$  and find the limiting distribution of  $F_Y$ .
  - (b) Compute the density of  $Y_n$  and show that it converges to the density  $f_Y$  of  $Y$ .
  - (c) What can you conclude from the result of (b) and Scheffé's theorem?
  - (d) Why does the result in (c) imply that  $Y_n \rightarrow_d Y$ ? Does the Hellinger distance  $H(P_{Y_n}, P_Y)$  converge to zero?

**Solution:**

(a) Let  $c_n = \theta^{-1} \log n = F_\theta^{-1}(1 - 1/n)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_n(y) = P(Y_n \leq y) &= P(X_{(n)} - c_n \leq y) = P(X_{(n)} \leq y + c_n) \\
 &= P(X_j \leq y + c_n \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq n) \\
 &= P(X_1 \leq y + c_n)^n = (1 - \exp(-\theta(y + c_n)))^n \\
 &= \left(1 - \frac{e^{-\theta y}}{n}\right)^n \rightarrow \exp(-e^{-\theta y}) \\
 &\equiv F_Y(y);
 \end{aligned}$$

this is an extreme - value distribution of the the “double-exponential” or “Gumbel” type; see part (c) of Theorem 14, Ferguson, ACILST page 95.

(b) The density of  $Y_n$  is found easily by differentiating in the previous display. The result is that

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_n(y) &= \theta(1 - n^{-1} \exp(-\theta y))^{n-1} \exp(-\theta y) \\
 &\rightarrow \theta \exp(-e^{-\theta y}) \exp(-\theta y) = f_Y(y) \equiv f(y).
 \end{aligned}$$

(c) Since the densities  $f_n$  converge pointwise to the limiting density, we conclude by Scheffé’s theorem that with  $P_n$  begin the probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  corresponding to  $F_n$  and  $P$  the corresponding probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  corresponding to  $F_Y$ ,

$$d_{TV}(P_n, P) = \frac{1}{2} \int |f_n(y) - f(y)| dy \rightarrow 0.$$

(d) Since  $F_n(y) = P_n((-\infty, y])$  and  $F(y) = P((-\infty, y])$ ,

$$|F_n(y) - F(y)| \leq \sup_{A \in \mathcal{B}} |P_n(A) - P(A)| = d_{TV}(P_n, P) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $H^2(P_n, P) \leq d_{TV}(P_n, P) \rightarrow 0$ , the Hellinger distance  $H(P_n, P) \rightarrow 0$ .

Do **either** problem 5 **or** problem 6.

5. (40 points) Suppose that  $X, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are independent Geometric( $p$ ) random variables:  $P(X = k) = p(1 - p)^{k-1}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Recall that  $E(X) = 1/p$  and  $Var(X) = (1 - p)/p^2 \equiv q/p^2$ .

(a) Use the weak law of large numbers to show that the random vector

$$\underline{Y}_n \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i, 1_{[X_i=1]}, 1_{[X_i=2]})'$$

converges in probability to some vector  $(a, b, c)' \equiv \underline{y}$  where  $(a, b, c)$  depends on  $p$ . Give  $(a, b, c)$  explicitly in terms of  $p$ .

(b) Use the multivariate CLT to show that

$$\sqrt{n}(\underline{Y}_n - \underline{y}) \rightarrow_d \underline{W} \sim N_3(0, \Sigma)$$

for some covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ ; compute  $\Sigma$  explicitly in terms of  $p$ .

(c) The usual estimator of  $p$  is  $\hat{p}_n = 1/\bar{X}_n$ . A friend suggests the following alternative estimator of  $p$ :

$$\tilde{p}_n = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n 1_{[X_i=2]}}{\sum_{i=1}^n 1_{[X_i=1]}} = 1 - \frac{\bar{Y}_{3,n}}{\bar{Y}_{2,n}}.$$

Is  $\tilde{p}_n$  a consistent estimator of  $p$ ?

(d) If the answer to (c) is yes, find the asymptotic variance of  $\tilde{p}$  as an estimator of  $p$ .

**Solution:** (a) By the weak law of large numbers applied to each coordinate of the vector  $\underline{Y}_n \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i, 1_{[X_i=1]}, 1_{[X_i=2]})^T$  it follows that

$$\bar{Y}_{1,n} = \bar{X}_n \rightarrow_p E(X_1) = 1/p,$$

$$\bar{Y}_{2,n} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{[X_i=1]} \rightarrow E1_{[X_1=1]} = P(X_1 = 1) = p,$$

$$\bar{Y}_{3,n} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{[X_i=2]} \rightarrow E1_{[X_1=2]} = P(X_1 = 2) = p(1 - p).$$

Since a random vector converges in probability if and only if each coordinate converges in probability it follows that  $\underline{Y}_n \rightarrow_p (1/p, p, p(1 - p)) \equiv (a, b, c) \equiv \underline{y}$ .

(b) The vectors  $\underline{Y}_i - \underline{y} = (X_i, 1_{[X_i=1]}, 1_{[X_i=2]}) - \underline{y}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  are i.i.d. with  $E(\underline{Y}_i - \underline{y}) = 0$  and covariance matrix

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &= E(\underline{Y}_1 - \underline{y})(\underline{Y}_1 - \underline{y})^T = E(\underline{Y}_1 \underline{Y}_1^T) - \underline{y} \underline{y}^T \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (1-p)/p^2 & p-1 & (1-p)(2p-1) \\ p-1 & p(1-p) & -p^2(1-p) \\ (1-p)(2p-1) & -p^2(1-p) & p(1-p)(1-p(1-p)) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (1-p) \begin{pmatrix} 1/p^2 & -1 & 2p-1 \\ -1 & p & -p^2 \\ 1 & -p^2 & p(1-p(1-p)) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by the multivariate CLT it follows that

$$\sqrt{n}(\underline{Y}_n - \underline{y}) \rightarrow_d \underline{W} \sim N_3(0, \Sigma).$$

with  $\Sigma$  as in the previous display.

(c) By the Mann - Wald (or continuous mapping) theorem

$$\tilde{p}_n = 1 - \frac{\overline{Y}_{3,n}}{\overline{Y}_{2,n}} \rightarrow_p 1 - \frac{y_3}{y_2} = 1 - \frac{p(1-p)}{p} = p;$$

thus  $\tilde{p}_n$  is a consistent estimator of  $p$ .

(d) Now

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{p}_n - p) = \sqrt{n}(g(\overline{Y}_n) - g(\underline{y}))$$

where  $g(a, b, c) = 1 - c/b$  is differentiable with derivative

$$\nabla g(a, b, c) = (0, c/b^2, -1/b)^T = b^{-1}(0, c/b, -1)^T,$$

so that

$$\nabla g(1/p, p, p(1-p)) = p^{-1}(0, 1-p, -1)^T.$$

It then follows from the delta method that

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(\tilde{p}_n - p) &\rightarrow_d \nabla g(1/p, p, p(1-p))\underline{W} = p^{-1}((1-p)W_2 - W_3) \\ &\sim N(0, V^2(p)) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V^2(p) &= \frac{(1-p)^2}{p^2}p(1-p) + \frac{1}{p^2}p(1-p)(1-p(1-p)) + 2\frac{1-p}{p^2}(p(1-p)(1-p(1-p))) \\ &= \frac{(1-p)(2-p)}{p}. \end{aligned}$$

In comparison, the asymptotic variance of  $\hat{p}_n \equiv 1/\overline{X}_n$  is  $p^2(1-p)$ : by the delta method with  $g(v) = v^{-1}$ ,

$$\sqrt{n}(g(\overline{X}_n) - g(1/p)) \rightarrow_d -(1/p)^{-2}N(0, p^{-2}(1-p)) = N(0, p^2(1-p)).$$

The ratio of the asymptotic variance of  $\hat{p}_n$  to the asymptotic variance of  $\tilde{p}_n$  is

$$\text{Rel-Efficiency}(p) = \frac{p^2(1-p)}{(1-p)(2-p)/p} = \frac{p^3}{2-p}$$

which increases from 0 to 1 as  $p$  goes from 0 to 1: the relative efficiency of  $\tilde{p}_n$  is very low for small values of  $p$ . Since it never exceeds 1 we would probably not recommend use of  $\tilde{p}_n$ , at least if we believe that the geometric( $p$ ) model holds.

6. (40 points) Suppose that  $\underline{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_k) \sim \text{Mult}_k(n, \underline{p})$  where  $\underline{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_k)$ . In class and homework problems we have discussed the chi-square statistic  $Q_n$  for testing  $H : \underline{p} = \underline{p}_0$  versus  $K : \underline{p} \neq \underline{p}_0$ . An alternative statistic for testing  $H$  versus  $K$  is the Hellinger statistic  $4nH_n^2$  where

$$4nH_n^2 = 4n \sum_{j=1}^k \left( \sqrt{\hat{p}_j} - \sqrt{p_{0j}} \right)^2$$

- (a) Recall the following result from class: under  $H : \underline{p} = \underline{p}_0$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{n}(\hat{p}_1 - p_{01}) \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{n}(\hat{p}_k - p_{0k}) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow_d \tilde{\underline{Z}} \sim N_k(0, \text{diag}(\underline{p}_0) - \underline{p}_0 \underline{p}_0^T)$$

Use the delta-method to find the limiting distribution of

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{n}(\sqrt{\hat{p}_1} - \sqrt{p_{01}}) \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{n}(\sqrt{\hat{p}_k} - \sqrt{p_{0k}}) \end{pmatrix};$$

express the result in terms of  $\tilde{\underline{Z}}$  and give the resulting distribution.

- (b) Show that if  $H : \underline{p} = \underline{p}_0$  is true, then

$$4nH_n^2 \rightarrow_d Q \sim \chi_{k-1}^2,$$

and hence if  $P(\chi_{k-1}^2 \geq \chi_{k-1, \alpha}^2) = \alpha$ , it follows that  $P_{\underline{p}_0}(4nH_n^2 \geq \chi_{k-1, \alpha}^2) \rightarrow \alpha$ .

- (c) If the alternative hypothesis  $K$  is true, so  $\underline{p} \neq \underline{p}_0$ , show that

$$n^{-1}4nH_n^2 = 4H_n^2 \rightarrow_p g(\underline{p})$$

and identify  $g(\underline{p})$  as a function of  $\underline{p}$  and  $\underline{p}_0$ .

- (d) If the alternative hypothesis  $K$  is true, so  $\underline{p} \neq \underline{p}_0$ , show that

$$\sqrt{n}(4H_n^2 - g(\underline{p})) \rightarrow_d N(0, V^2(\underline{p}))$$

and compute  $V^2(\underline{p})$ . Could you use this to approximate the power of the Hellinger test of  $H$  versus  $K$  at a particular alternative  $\underline{p} \neq \underline{p}_0$ ? How?

**Solution:** (a) Let  $g(\underline{v}) = (\sqrt{v_1}, \dots, \sqrt{v_k})$ . Then  $\nabla g(\underline{v}) = \text{diag}(2^{-1}v_j^{-1/2})$ , and  $\nabla g(\underline{p}_0) = \text{diag}(2^{-1}p_{0j}^{-1/2})$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{Z}_n &\equiv 2\sqrt{n} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\hat{p}_1} - \sqrt{p_{01}} \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{\hat{p}_k} - \sqrt{p_{0k}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\rightarrow_d 2\nabla g(\underline{p}_0)\tilde{\underline{Z}}, \quad \text{where } \tilde{\underline{Z}} \sim N_k(0, \text{diag}(\underline{p}_0) - \underline{p}_0 \underline{p}_0^T) \\ &\equiv \underline{Z} \sim N_k(0, I - \sqrt{\underline{p}_0} \sqrt{\underline{p}_0}^T). \end{aligned}$$

(b) When  $\underline{p} = \underline{p}_0$  is true, by (a) and the Mann-Wald theorem

$$4nH_n^2 = \underline{Z}_n^T \underline{Z}_n \rightarrow_d \underline{Z}^T \underline{Z} = \underline{Z}^T \Gamma^T \Gamma \underline{Z}$$

for any orthogonal matrix  $\Gamma$ . Choosing  $\Gamma$  to have first row  $= \sqrt{p_0}^T$ , we find that a

$$\underline{Y} \equiv \Gamma \underline{Z} \sim N_k \left( 0, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \underline{0}^T \\ \underline{0} & I_{k-1} \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

so that  $Y_1 = 0$  a.s. and  $\underline{Y}^T \underline{Y} = Y_2^2 + \dots + Y_k^2 \sim \chi_{k-1}^2$ . Thus  $P_{p_0}(4nH_n^2 \geq \chi_{k-1,\alpha}^2) \rightarrow P(\chi_k^2 \geq \chi_{k-1,\alpha}^2) = \alpha$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(c) When  $\underline{p} \neq \underline{p}_0$  is true,  $\widehat{\underline{p}}_n \rightarrow_p \underline{p}$ , and by the Mann-Wald theorem,

$$4H_n^2 = 4 \sum_{j=1}^k (\sqrt{\widehat{p}_j} - \sqrt{p_{0,j}})^2 \rightarrow_p 4 \sum_{j=1}^k (\sqrt{p_j} - \sqrt{p_{0,j}})^2 \equiv g(\underline{p}).$$

Note that  $g(\underline{p}) = 8H^2(\underline{p}, \underline{p}_0)$  where  $H$  denotes the Hellinger distance.

(d) If  $\underline{p} \neq \underline{p}_0$  is true, then, using  $a^2 - b^2 = (a - b)(a + b)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{n} (4H_n^2 - g(\underline{p})) \\ &= 4\sqrt{n} \sum_{j=1}^k \left\{ (\sqrt{\widehat{p}_j} - \sqrt{p_{0,j}})^2 - (\sqrt{p_j} - \sqrt{p_{0,j}})^2 \right\} \\ &= 4\sqrt{n} \sum_{j=1}^k \left\{ (\sqrt{\widehat{p}_j} - \sqrt{p_{0,j}}) - (\sqrt{p_j} - \sqrt{p_{0,j}}) \right\} \cdot \left\{ (\sqrt{\widehat{p}_j} - \sqrt{p_{0,j}}) + (\sqrt{p_j} - \sqrt{p_{0,j}}) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k \left\{ 2\sqrt{n} (\sqrt{\widehat{p}_j} - \sqrt{p_j}) \right\} \cdot \left\{ 4(\sqrt{p_j} - \sqrt{p_{0,j}}) + 2 \left( (\sqrt{\widehat{p}_j} - \sqrt{p_{0,j}}) - (\sqrt{p_j} - \sqrt{p_{0,j}}) \right) \right\} \\ &\equiv \underline{d}^T \underline{Z}_n^{alt} + o_p(1) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\underline{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_k)^T$  with  $d_j \equiv 4(\sqrt{p_j} - \sqrt{p_{0,j}})$  and where, much as in (a)

$$(1) \quad \underline{Z}_n^{alt} \equiv 2\sqrt{n} (\sqrt{\widehat{p}_j} - \sqrt{p_j}) \rightarrow_d \underline{Z}^{alt} \sim N_k(0, I - \sqrt{\underline{p}} \sqrt{\underline{p}}^T).$$

Thus it follows from the identity in the first display above and (1) together with the Mann-Wald theorem and Slutsky's theorem that

$$\sqrt{n}(4H_n^2 - g(\underline{p})) \rightarrow_d \underline{d}^T \underline{Z}^{alt} \sim N_1(0, \underline{d}^T (I - \sqrt{\underline{p}} \sqrt{\underline{p}}^T) \underline{d}).$$