

## Statistics 581, Problem Set 7

Wellner; 11/5/2014

**Reading:** Chapter 3, Sections 2-4;  
 Ferguson, ACILST, Chapters 19-20, pages 126-139;  
 Lehmann and Casella, pages 113-129, and 437-443.  
**Due:** Wednesday, November 12, 2014.

1. Consider the two parameter location-scale model

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ P_\theta : \frac{dP_\theta}{d\lambda} = p_\theta : \theta \in \Theta \right\}$$

where  $\Theta = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ ,

$$p_\theta(x) = \frac{1}{\theta_2} f\left(\frac{x - \theta_1}{\theta_2}\right),$$

and the (known) density  $f$  has a derivative  $f'$  almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$ .

- (a) Calculate the information matrix  $I(\theta)$  for  $\theta$ .
- (b) For which of the densities in (a)-(e) of problem set #6, problem 3, is  $I_{12}(\theta)$  not zero?

2. Lehmann and Casella, TPE, Problem 6.6, page 142.

3. Suppose that  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_\theta : \theta \in \Theta\}$ ,  $\Theta \subset R^k$  is a parametric model satisfying the hypotheses of the multiparameter Cramér - Rao inequality. Partition  $\theta$  as  $\theta = (\nu, \eta)$  where  $\nu \in R^m$  and  $\eta \in R^{k-m}$  and  $1 \leq m < k$ . Let  $\dot{\mathbf{i}} = \dot{\mathbf{i}}_\theta = (\dot{\mathbf{i}}_1, \dot{\mathbf{i}}_2)$  be the corresponding partition of the (vector of) scores  $\dot{\mathbf{i}}$ , and, with  $\tilde{\mathbf{I}} \equiv I^{-1}(\theta)\dot{\mathbf{i}}$ , the *efficient influence function* for  $\theta$ , let  $\tilde{\mathbf{I}} = (\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_2)$  be the corresponding partition of  $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}$ . In both cases,  $\dot{\mathbf{i}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_1$  are  $m$ -vectors of functions, and  $\dot{\mathbf{i}}_2, \tilde{\mathbf{I}}_2$  are  $k - m$  vectors. Partition  $I(\theta)$  and  $I^{-1}(\theta)$  correspondingly as

$$I(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{11} & I_{12} \\ I_{21} & I_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $I_{11}$  is  $m \times m$ ,  $I_{12}$  is  $m \times (k - m)$ ,  $I_{21}$  is  $(k - m) \times m$ ,  $I_{22}$  is  $(k - m) \times (k - m)$ . Also write  $I^{-1}(\theta) = [I^{ij}]_{i,j=1,2}$ .

- (a) Verify that:

$$I^{11} = I_{11.2}^{-1} \text{ where } I_{11.2} \equiv I_{11} - I_{12}I_{22}^{-1}I_{21}, \quad I^{22} = I_{22.1}^{-1} \text{ where } I_{22.1} \equiv I_{22} - I_{21}I_{11}^{-1}I_{12},$$

$$I^{12} = -I_{11.2}^{-1}I_{12}I_{22}^{-1}, \text{ and } I^{21} = -I_{22.1}^{-1}I_{21}I_{11}^{-1}.$$

This amounts to formulas (4) and (5) of section 3.2, page 19.

- (b) Verify that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_1 = I^{11}\dot{\mathbf{i}}_1 + I^{12}\dot{\mathbf{i}}_2 = I_{11.2}^{-1}(\dot{\mathbf{i}}_1 - I_{12}I_{22}^{-1}\dot{\mathbf{i}}_2), \text{ and}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_2 = I^{21}\dot{\mathbf{i}}_1 + I^{22}\dot{\mathbf{i}}_2 = I_{22.1}^{-1}(\dot{\mathbf{i}}_2 - I_{21}I_{11}^{-1}\dot{\mathbf{i}}_1).$$

- (c) Verify that  $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}_1 = I_{11}^{-1}\dot{\mathbf{i}}_1 - I_{11}^{-1}I_{12}I_{22}^{-1}\dot{\mathbf{i}}_2$  and hence that  $I_{11.2}^{-1} = I_{11}^{-1} + I_{11}^{-1}I_{12}I_{22}^{-1}I_{21}I_{11}^{-1}$ . This amounts to (15) and (16) of section 3.2, page 21.

4. **Optional bonus problem:** Suppose that  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ ; i.e.  $X$  has density  $p_\theta$  given by

$$p_\theta(x) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\beta x) 1_{(0, \infty)}(x), \quad \theta = (\alpha, \beta) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \equiv \Theta.$$

Consider estimation of : A.  $q_A(\theta) \equiv E_\theta X$ . B.  $q_B(\theta) \equiv F_\theta(x_0)$  for a fixed  $x_0$ ; here  $F_\theta(x) \equiv P_\theta(X \leq x)$ .

- (i) Compute  $I(\theta) = I(\alpha, \beta)$ ; compare Lehmann & Casella page 127, Table 6.1
- (ii) Compute  $q_A(\theta)$ ,  $q_B(\theta)$ ,  $\dot{q}_A(\theta)$ , and  $\dot{q}_B(\theta)$ .
- (iii) Find the efficient influence functions for estimation of  $q_A$  and  $q_B$ .
- (iv) Compare the efficient influence functions you find in (iii) with the influence functions  $\psi_A$  and  $\psi_B$  of the natural nonparametric estimators  $\bar{X}_n$  and  $\mathbb{F}_n(x_0)$  respectively; in particular, show that  $\psi_A \in \dot{\mathcal{P}}$ , while  $\psi_B \notin \dot{\mathcal{P}}$ .