

ILLiad TN: 1194925



Customer:

Jon Wellner (jonw)

Email address: jaw@stat.washington.edu

UW Status: Seattle

Billing Account: 1194925

Customer Reference:

Needed By: 06/12/2015

U.S. Libraries Only

Loansome Doc:



Location: Mathematics Research
Library Stacks

Call #: QA273.18 .P75 1983

Journal Title: **Probability, Statistics,
and Analysis**

Volume: 79 Issue:

Month/Year: 1983

Pages: 248-259

Article Author: Silverman, B. W.

Article Title: Some properties of a test of
multimodality based on
kernel density estimates.

ISSN: 0521285909

English only!

Notes/Alternate Delivery: Paged to ILL South

Paged to SZ

Paged to HS

Emailed Loc

This article was supplied by:

Interlibrary Loan and Document Delivery Services
University of Washington Libraries
Box 352900 - Seattle, WA 98195-2900

(206) 543-1878 or Toll Free: (800) 324-5351
OCLC: WAU - DOCLINE: WAUWAS
interlib@u.washington.edu

ILLiad

SOME PROPERTIES OF A TEST FOR MULTIMODALITY
BASED ON KERNEL DENSITY ESTIMATES

B.W. Silverman

It is a great pleasure for me to have this opportunity to contribute a paper in honour of David Kendall. It was through David Kendall's lectures, writings and personal communications that I first became interested in density estimation and the other matters discussed in this paper. He is a great scientist and a great teacher and my debt to him is enormous. I wish him a very happy birthday!

1. Introduction

Silverman (1981) suggested and illustrated a way that kernel probability density estimates can be used to investigate the number of modes in the density underlying a given independent identically distributed real sample. Given an independent sample X_1, \dots, X_n from a univariate probability density f , define the kernel density estimate f_n with Gaussian kernel by

$$f_n(t, h) = \sum_{i=1}^n n^{-1} h^{-1} \phi\{(t-x_i)/h\}$$

where the parameter h is the smoothing parameter or *window width* and ϕ is the standard normal density function. Kernel density estimates were introduced by Rosenblatt (1956) and Parzen (1962); the restriction to Gaussian kernels in this work is made for reasons given in Silverman (1981). Often the explicit dependence of f_n on h will be suppressed.

Consider the problem of testing the null hypothesis that f has k or fewer modes against the alternative that f has more than k modes. The statistic suggested for constructing such a test was the *k-critical window width* $h_{\text{crit}}(k)$, defined by

$$h_{\text{crit}}(k) = \inf\{h : f_n(\cdot, h) \text{ has at most } k \text{ modes}\} .$$

In Silverman (1981) it was stated heuristically that large values of h_{crit} will tend to reject the null hypothesis. The results of this paper show that this procedure does indeed lead to a consistent test.

Subject to certain regularity conditions, it is shown that, under the null hypothesis, h_{crit} converges stochastically to zero, while this is not the case under the alternative hypothesis. The exact rate of convergence of h_{crit} to zero under the null hypothesis is found. It is perhaps interesting that this rate of convergence has precisely the same order as the rate of convergence for the optimum choice of window width for the uniform estimation of the density given, for example, by Silverman (1978b).

In Silverman (1981) a bootstrap procedure for assessing the significance of an observed value of h_{crit} was suggested. The idea of bootstrap methods in general is to construct a null hypothesis or model from the given data, rather than supplying it a priori. In our case the representative of the null hypothesis is constructed by smoothing the data up to the point where a density with k modes is just obtained; the resulting density is, of course, $f_n(\cdot, h_{crit})$ and so has already been found! Simulating from $f_n(\cdot, h_{crit})$ is straightforward; choose X uniformly (with replacement) from the original data and then add a perturbation ϵ to X , where ϵ is normally distributed with mean zero and variance h_{crit}^2 .

Further details, together with an application, are given in Silverman (1981). It is interesting and apposite to draw some connections with David Kendall's work. Our technique is actually an example of a "smoothed bootstrap" technique as described by Efron (1979); there, however, the choice of smoothing parameter is entirely arbitrary. A somewhat related technique was used by Kendall and Kendall (1980) in their investigation of alignments in archaeological data. The 'over-unrounding' technique they used in their Section 5 was again a way of preserving the coarse structure of the data while erasing fine details which are only 'really' present if the null hypothesis is rejected. The over-unrounding technique differs from the smoothed bootstrap technique in several details, one of which is that the data points are no longer sampled with replacement and then perturbed, but instead each data point is perturbed by a random amount; thus the sampling is conducted without replacement. Another piece of data analysis worth mentioning in this context is D.G. Kendall's work on the megalithic yard (Kendall, 1974). Here again a density constructed from the data was used as a basis for simulation, with the aim of preserving the coarse features but not the fine structure of the data, though in the megalithic yard study a parametric model

sufficed for this purpose.

A most interesting feature of the over-unrounding procedure used by Kendall and Kendall (1980) is that the parameter controlling the amount of random unrounding (or smoothing) is not chosen arbitrarily. Instead, a criterion based on the phenomenon being studied is used to choose how much to unround the data; in an informal way, the procedure seeks the smallest value of the unrounding parameter among those acceptable according to this criterion. This is of course also the case in the method studied in the present paper.

The remarks made above about the rate of convergence of h_{crit} to zero show that $f_n(., h_{crit})$ is, in a certain sense, optimally uniformly consistent as an estimate of the true density f . This gives some theoretical justification for the bootstrap procedure, since, at least for large samples, the simulation density $f_n(., h_{crit})$ is likely to be a good estimate (in the uniform norm) of the true underlying density. A possible drawback for small samples is the fact that the implied constant in the rate of convergence does not necessarily take its optimum value.

An interesting open question raised by this discussion is the possibility of using $h_{crit}(k)$ for some value of k in developing an automatic method for choosing the smoothing parameter in density estimation. Boneva, Kendall and Stefanov (1971) suggested choosing the window width where 'rabbits' or rapid fluctuations just started to appear. Such a window width would perhaps correspond to $h_{crit}(k)$ for some $k \geq j$; since $h_{crit}(k)$ converges to zero at the optimum rate for all $k \geq j$, a suitable formalization of the Boneva-Kendall-Stefanov procedure would give estimates which converged at the optimal rate, though not necessarily with the optimal constant multiplier. The fact that $h_{crit}(k)$ has the same rate of convergence for all $k \geq j$ provides some explanation for the observation made by Boneva, Kendall and Stefanov that the estimate seems suddenly to become noisy as the window width is reduced.

The use of kernel density estimates in mode estimation was originated by Parzen (1962). The 'gradient method' of cluster analysis is based on clustering towards modes in the estimated density; see, for example, Andrews (1972), Fukunaga and Hostetler (1975), and Bock (1977). Papers related to tests of multimodality are Cox (1966) and Good and Gaskins (1980).

2. The main result

In this section, the main result of this paper is stated and

and proved. It is convenient to use the convention throughout that all limits and implied limits are taken as n tends to infinity. Varying conventions will apply to unqualified suprema and infima in Propositions 1 and 2 below, and these will be introduced where necessary. The notations $p \lim \inf$ and $p \lim \sup$ will be used to signify the corresponding limits in probability as n tends to infinity, and $\frac{O}{p}$ and $\frac{o}{p}$ will denote probability orders of magnitude. Define, for $h > 0$,

$$\alpha(h) = h^{-5} \log(h^{-1}) \quad . \quad (1)$$

The main results are all contained in the following theorem.

Theorem

Suppose f is a bounded density with bounded support $[a,b]$, and suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) f is twice continuously differentiable on $[a,b]$
- (ii) f has exactly j local maxima on (a,b)
- (iii) $f'(a+) > 0$, $f'(b-) < 0$

$$(iv) \quad \min_{z: f'(z)=0} \frac{f''(z)^2}{f(z)} = c_0 > 0.$$

Let $h_{\text{crit}}(k)$ be the k -critical window width constructed from an i.i.d. sample of size n from f . Then, if $k \geq j$, defining α as in (1) above,

$$p \lim \inf n^{-1} \alpha\{h_{\text{crit}}(k)\} \geq \frac{2}{3} \pi^{1/2} c_0 \quad (2)$$

$$\text{and} \quad p \lim \sup n^{-1} \alpha\{h_{\text{crit}}(k)\} < \infty \quad (3)$$

while if $k < j$ then there exists a constant $h_0(f,k)$ such that

$$P\{h_{\text{crit}}(k) > h_0\} \rightarrow 1 \quad . \quad (4)$$

Note that condition (iv) is equivalent, in the presence of the other conditions, to the condition that f is strictly positive on $[a,b]$ and f' has no multiple zeros on $[a,b]$.

It is convenient to prove the various assertions of the theorem separately. Except where otherwise stated, the conditions of the theorem on f will be assumed to be true throughout. The first proposition facilitates the proof of (2).

Proposition 1. Given any c_1 with

$$0 < c_1 < \frac{2}{3} \pi \sqrt{2} c_0 ,$$

suppose the sequence of window widths h_n satisfies

$$n^{-1} \alpha(h_n) \rightarrow c_1 . \quad (5)$$

Then the number of maxima of f_n tends in probability to j .

It follows from Proposition 1 and Silverman (1981) that, for all $k \geq j$, provided (5) holds,

$$P\{h_{\text{crit}}(k) \leq h_n\} \rightarrow 1$$

and hence that (2) is satisfied.

The proof of Proposition 1 makes use of several lemmas, the first of which shows that, under certain conditions, maxima and minima of f_n can, eventually, only occur arbitrarily close to those of f .

Lemma 1. Let I be any closed interval contained in $[a,b]$, such that I contains none of the zeros of f' . Then, provided $h_n \rightarrow 0$ and $n^{-1} h_n^2 \alpha(h_n) \rightarrow 0$, it will follow that

$$P(f_n \text{ monotonic on } I \text{ in the same sense as } f) \rightarrow 1 .$$

Proof. By slight adaptation of the results of Silverman (1978a), it can be seen that, provided f is bounded, we will have, if h_n satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{(-\infty, \infty)} |f'_n - E f'_n| &= O_p \left\{ n^{-\frac{1}{2}} h_n^{-1} \alpha(h_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\ &= O_p(1) . \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

In Silverman (1978a) the uniform continuity of f was additionally assumed, but careful examination of the proofs of that paper shows that the derivation of the rate of stochastic convergence, though not of the exact constant implied in the O_p , goes through under the assumption of bounded f .

Supposing without loss of generality that f is increasing on I , it follows from the continuity of f' on $[a,b]$ that f' is bounded

away from zero on I and is non-negative on a neighbourhood of I , and hence by elementary analysis that

$$\liminf \inf_I E f'_n > 0 . \quad (7)$$

Combining (6) and (7) completes the proof of Lemma 1.

The next lemma shows that, under suitable conditions, f'_n will eventually have exactly one maximum and no minima near each maximum of f , and exactly one minimum and no maxima near each minimum of f .

Lemma 2. Suppose $f'(z) = 0$ and f has a local maximum (respectively minimum) at z . Suppose $h_n \rightarrow 0$ and

$$n^{-1} \alpha(h_n) \rightarrow c_2 \in (0, \frac{2}{3} \pi \sqrt{2} f''(z)^2 / f(z)) . \quad (8)$$

Then, for all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, the probability that f'_n has exactly one zero in $(z-\epsilon, z+\epsilon)$, and that this zero is a maximum (respectively minimum) of f'_n , tends to one as n tends to infinity.

Proof. Only the case of a local maximum will be considered. The proof for a minimum proceeds very similarly and is omitted. Throughout this proof unqualified infima and suprema will be taken to be over x in $[z-\epsilon, z+\epsilon]$. By the continuity of f and f'' , choose ϵ sufficiently small that

$$\frac{\inf f''(x)^2}{\sup f(x)} > \frac{3c_2}{2\pi\sqrt{2}} \quad (9)$$

and also $[z-\epsilon, z+\epsilon] \subseteq (a, b)$. It is then immediate that $f'(z-\epsilon) > 0$ and $f'(z+\epsilon) < 0$ since, by (9), f'' cannot cross zero in $(z-\epsilon, z+\epsilon)$. Since f' is continuous at $z \pm \epsilon$, by standard results on the consistency of f'_n (a combination of Parzen (1962) and Bhattacharya (1967))

$$P\{f'_n(z-\epsilon) > 0 \text{ and } f'_n(z+\epsilon) < 0\} \rightarrow 1 . \quad (10)$$

Very slightly adapting the proofs of Silverman (1976 and 1978a) to cope with the fact that f'' is only uniformly continuous on a neighbourhood of $[z-\epsilon, z+\epsilon]$ gives

$$n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \alpha(h) \frac{1}{2} \sup |f''_n(x) - E f''_n(x)| \xrightarrow{P} K_1$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_1^2 &= 2 \sup f \int \phi''^2 \\ &= 3(2\pi\sqrt{2})^{-1} \sup f . \end{aligned}$$

Since, by elementary analysis, $\sup_n |E f_n''(x) - f''(x)|$ converges to zero, it follows from (8) that
$$P \lim_n \sup_n \sup_x |f_n''(x) - f''(x)| \leq K_1 c \frac{1}{2} < \inf_x |f''(x)|$$

by (9). It is immediate that

$$P\{f_n''(x) < 0 \text{ for all } x \text{ in } [z-\varepsilon, z+\varepsilon]\} \rightarrow 1 . \quad (11)$$

Combining (10) and (11) completes the proof of Lemma 2.

To complete the proof of Proposition 1, note first that no maxima of f_n can occur outside the interval (a,b) . Let z_1, \dots, z_{2j-1} be the zeros of f' in (a,b) and choose ε sufficiently small to satisfy the conclusion of Lemma 2 for all z_i and to ensure that

$$a < z_1 - \varepsilon < z_1 + \varepsilon < z_2 - \varepsilon < \dots < z_{2j-1} + \varepsilon < b . \quad (12)$$

Applying either Lemma 1 or Lemma 2 as appropriate to each of the intervals in the partition (12) of the interval (a,b) completes the proof of Proposition 1.

The next proposition leads to the proof of assertion (3), in a similar way to the derivation of (2) from Proposition 1.

Proposition 2. *Defining α as in (1) above, suppose that*

$$n^{-1} \alpha(h_n) \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } n^{-1} h_n^{-5} \rightarrow 0 . \quad (13)$$

Then the number of maxima in f_n tends in probability to infinity.

Given any k , it follows from this result and the corollary of Silverman (1981) that, provided (13) holds,

$$P\{h_{\text{crit}}(k) > h_n\} \rightarrow 1 ;$$

assertion (2) follows at once.

To prove Proposition 2, suppose without loss of generality that f has a maximum at 0 in (a,b) . Choose a sequence l_n which satisfies

$$l_n \rightarrow 0, \quad h_n^{-1} l_n = \underline{o}\{n^{-1} \alpha(h_n)\},$$

$$h_n^{-1} l_n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad |\log l_n| \quad |\log h_n|^{-1} \rightarrow 1. \quad (14)$$

The explicit dependence of h and l on n will often be suppressed. Let $I_{j,n}$ be the interval $[(j-1)l, jl]$ for integer $j \geq 0$.

Following Silverman (1978a) apply Theorem 3 of Komlos, Major and Tusnady (1975) to obtain

$$f'_n(x) = Ef'_n(x) + h_n^{-1} \frac{1}{2} \rho_1(x) + \epsilon'_n(x)$$

where ρ_1 is a Gaussian process with the same covariance structure as $\frac{1}{2} n^{\frac{1}{2}} h (f'_n - Ef'_n)$ and ϵ'_n is a secondary random error. The process ρ_1 is obtained by putting $\delta(u)$ equal to $\phi'(u)$ in Proposition 1 of Silverman (1978a). By elementary analysis and the arguments of Silverman (1978a) we have, in a neighbourhood of 0 ,

$$|Ef'_n(x) - f'(x)| = \underline{O}(h);$$

$$|\epsilon'_n(x)| = \underline{O}(n^{-1} h^{-2} \log n) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

$$= \underline{O}(h^2) \quad \text{from (13) above};$$

$$\text{and} \quad |f'(x)| = \underline{O}(x),$$

since $f'(0) = 0$ and f'' exists. It follows that, a.s.,

$$\sup |Ef'_n(x) + \epsilon'_n(x)| = \underline{O}(jl) + \underline{O}(h)$$

$$= \underline{O}\{n^{-1} h^{-5} \log(\ell/h)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (15)$$

by (13) and (14) above, where we adopt the convention, here and subsequently

in this proof, that unqualified suprema are taken to be over the interval $I_{j,n}$, and that a fixed j is being considered.

We slightly adapt the argument of Silverman (1976) pp. 138-140 to investigate $\sup \rho_1$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^2(x) &= \text{var } \rho_1(x) = h^{-1}f(x) \int \phi'^2(1 + \underline{o}(1)) \\ &= h^{-1}f(0) \int \phi'^2(1 + \underline{o}(1)) \text{ for } x \text{ in } I_{j,n},\end{aligned}$$

since the end points of $I_{j,n}$ both converge to zero. Analogously to (12) of Silverman (1976), given any λ in $(0,2)$,

$$\begin{aligned}P[\sup \sigma^{-1} \rho_1 \leq (1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda) \{2 \log h^{-1} \ell\}^{\frac{1}{2}}] \\ \leq \underline{O}(\ell^{-2}) \log(h^{-1} \ell)\end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

$$\times \int_{I_{j,n}} \int \int |\chi| \exp\{2 \log(h^{-1} \ell) (1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda)^2 |\chi| / (1 + |\chi|)\}$$

where $\chi(x,y) = \text{corr}\{\rho(x), \rho(y)\}$. Using a similar argument to that following (12) of Silverman (1976), but allowing the interval I to vary, shows that the expression in (16) is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{O}(\ell^{-2}) \log(h^{-1} \ell) \{\sigma^2(0) + \underline{o}(1)\}^{-1} \{h^{-1} \ell\}^{(1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda)^2} \underline{O}(\ell) \\ = (h^{-1} \ell)^{-\lambda + \frac{1}{4}\lambda^2} \log(h^{-1} \ell) \rightarrow 0\end{aligned}$$

by (14) above.

It follows that, setting $K = \{2f(0) \int \phi'^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$,

$$p \lim \inf \sup \{h^{-1} \log(h^{-1} \ell)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho_1 \geq K\tag{17}$$

and that the same result holds if ρ_1 is replaced by $-\rho_1$, giving a corresponding result for $\inf \rho_1$. It follows from (15), (17) and the corresponding result for $\inf \rho_1$ that

$$P\{\rho_1 \text{ crosses } -n^{\frac{1}{2}}h(Ef'_n + \epsilon'_n) \text{ in } I_{j,n}\} \rightarrow 1,$$

and hence that

$$P\{f'_n \text{ crosses zero in } I_{j,n}\} \rightarrow 1. \quad (18)$$

Since (18) holds for all j , the number of maxima in f_n tends in probability to infinity, completing the proof of Proposition 2.

The final proposition of this section deals with the case where the alternative hypothesis is true, and shows that h_{crit} will remain bounded away from zero.

Proposition 3. *If $k < j$ then there exists a constant $h_0 > 0$, depending on f and k , such that*

$$P\{h_{\text{crit}}(k) > h_0\} \rightarrow 1.$$

Proof. By arguments analogous to those of the proof of the theorem of Silverman (1981), making use of the variation diminishing properties of the Gaussian kernel and the continuity properties of Ef_n , the number of maxima in $Ef_n(\cdot, h)$ is a right continuous decreasing function of h , for $h \geq 0$. By choosing h_0 sufficiently small, we can ensure that $Ef_n(\cdot, h_0)$ has, independently of n , exactly j maxima. Because of the conditions imposed on f in the statement of the Theorem above, we can also ensure that $Ef_n''(\cdot, h_0)$ is non-zero at all stationary points of $Ef_n(\cdot, h_0)$.

The argument of Lemma 2.2 of Schuster (1969), which does not in fact require the convergence to zero of the sequence of window widths, then implies that, with probability one,

$$f'_n(x, h_0) - Ef'_n(x, h_0) \quad \text{and} \quad f''_n(x, h_0) - Ef''_n(x, h_0)$$

both converge to zero uniformly over x . By an argument similar to that used in Proposition 1 above, it follows that the number of maxima of $f_n(\cdot, h_0)$ on $[a, b]$ tends almost surely to j , the number of maxima of $Ef_n(\cdot, h_0)$. Applying the corollary of Silverman (1981) completes the proof of Proposition 3.

Discussion

It is natural to enquire to what extent the conditions of the theorem above can be relaxed without affecting the conclusions. In particular it seems intuitively clear that the condition of bounded support for the density f should be able to be replaced by some condition on the tails of f , though the present method of proof cannot deal with this case. Condition (iv) appears to be more fundamental to the result; if, for example, $f'(0) = f''(0) = 0 \neq f'''(0)$, then an examination of f_n and Ef_n near zero seems to indicate that, under suitable regularity conditions, there will be no maximum of f_n near zero provided $|f_n''' - Ef_n'''|$ remains small. A heuristic argument suggests that a result corresponding to the theorem of Section 2 can be proved, but with $\alpha(h)$ replaced by $h^{-7} \log(h^{-1})$, so that h_{crit} converges to zero more slowly. Even slower convergence will occur for higher order zeros in f' .

The interest in this discussion lies in the fact that the bootstrap density constructed using the critical window width will not only have infinite tails of similar weight to those of the corresponding normal kernels but will also have a stationary point which is a point of inflexion. The slower convergence of zero of h_{crit} provides support for the remark of Silverman (1981) that the bootstrap test may be conservative; it also bears out the intuition of P. Huber (private communication) that the bootstrap procedure may be excessively conservative, though the difference between $n^{-\frac{1}{5}}$ and $n^{-\frac{1}{7}}$ convergence is very slight in practice.

The methods of this paper can also be used to study the asymptotic properties of a corresponding test for the number of points of inflexion in the density. Both Cox (1966) and Good and Gaskins (1980) prefer to use points of inflexion as an indication that the density is a mixture. The critical window width will now be the smallest window width for which the density has k maxima. Under suitable conditions a result corresponding to the theorem of Section 2 can be proved, but again, among other changes, $\alpha(h)$ will be replaced by $h^{-7} \log(1/h)$ since f_n'' will be replaced by f_n''' in much of the argument of the proofs of Propositions 1 and 2.

Acknowledgements

Part of this work was completed while the author was visiting the Mathematics Research Center at the University of Wisconsin-Madison, supported by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAAG29-80-C-0041. The author is most grateful to H.H. Bock, P. Deheuvels and P.J. Huber for helpful

discussions and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- Andrews, H.C. (1972), *Introduction to mathematical techniques in pattern recognition*. Wiley, New York.
- Bhattacharya, P.K. (1967), Estimation of a probability density function and its derivatives. *Sankhyā, Series A*, 29, 373-382.
- Bock, H.H. (1977), On tests concerning the existence of a classification. *Proc. First International Symposium on Data Analysis and Informatics, Versailles, 1977, Institut de Recherche d'Informatique et d'Automatique, Domaine de Voulceau, Le Chesnay, France*, 449-464.
- Boneva, L.I., Kendall, D.G. and Stefanov, I. (1971), Spline Transformations. *J. Roy. Statist. Soc. B*, 33, 1-70.
- Cox, D.R. (1966), Notes on the analysis of mixed frequency distributions. *Brit. J. Math. Statist. Psych.*, 19, 39-47.
- Efron, B. (1979), Bootstrap methods - another look at the jack-knife. *Ann. Statist.*, 7, 1-26.
- Fukunaga, K. and Hostetler, L.D. (1975), The estimation of the gradient of a density function with applications in pattern recognition. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, IT-21, 32-40.
- Good, I.J. and Gaskins, R.A. (1980), Density estimation and bump-hunting by the penalized likelihood method exemplified by scattering and meteorite data. *J. Amer. Stat. Assoc.*, 75, 42-56.
- Kendall, D.G. (1974) Hunting quanta. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. London A276*, 231-266.
- Kendall, D.G. and Kendall, W.S. (1980), Alignments in two-dimensional random sets of points. *Adv. Appl. Prob.*, 12, 380-424.
- Komlos, J., Major, P. and Tusnady, G. (1975), An approximation of partial sums of independent random variables and the sample distribution function. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitsth. und Verw. Gebiete*. 32, 111-131.
- Parzen, E. (1962), On estimation of a probability density function and mode. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 33, 1065-1076.
- Rosenblatt, M. (1956), Remarks on some non-parametric estimates of a density function. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 27, 832-837.
- Schuster, E.F. (1969), Estimation of a probability density and its derivatives. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 40, 1187-1196.
- Silverman, B.W. (1976), On a Gaussian process related to multivariate probability density estimation. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 80, 135-144.
- Silverman, B.W. (1978a), Weak and strong uniform consistency of the kernel estimate of a density and its derivatives. *Ann. Statist.*, 6, 177-184.
- Silverman, B.W. (1978b), Choosing the window width when estimating a density. *Biometrika*, 65, 1-11.
- Silverman, B.W. (1981), Using kernel density estimates to investigate multimodality. *J. Roy. Statist. Soc. B*, 43, 97-99.