

## Statistics 523, Problem Set 7 Solutions

Wellner; 5/22/2013

- Let  $X(t)$  be a continuous local martingale and let  $\varphi$  be a convex function. Show that  $\varphi(X_t)$  is a local submartingale.

**Solution:** Let  $T_n \equiv \inf\{t : |X_t| > n\}$ . By Durrett (2.4), page xx, this sequence will reduce  $X_t$ . Note that  $X_t^{T_n} \leq n$ . Jensen's inequality for conditional expectation (see e.g. (1.1.d) implies

$$E\{\varphi(X_t^{T_n}) | \mathcal{F}_{T_n \wedge s}\} \geq \varphi(E(X_t^{T_n} | \mathcal{F}_{T_n \wedge s})) = \varphi(X_s^{T_n}).$$

Thus  $\{\varphi(X_t), \mathcal{F}_t\}$  is a local submartingale.

- Let  $X_t$  be a continuous local martingale and let  $R < \infty$  be a stopping time. Show that  $Y_s \equiv X_{R+s}$  is a local martingale with respect to  $\mathcal{G}_s \equiv \mathcal{F}_{R+s}$ .

**Solution:** Let  $T_n \leq n$  be a sequence which reduces  $X$ , and let  $S_n = (T_n - R)^+$ . If  $s < t$ , then it follows from the definitions, the optional sampling theorem, and the fact that  $1\{T_n > R\} \in \mathcal{F}_R \subset \mathcal{F}_{R+s}$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y_{t \wedge S_n} 1_{[S_n > 0]} | \mathcal{G}_s) &= E(X_{(R+t) \wedge T_n} 1_{[T_n > r]} | \mathcal{F}_{R+s}) \\ &=_{a.s.} 1_{[T_n > R]} E(X_{(R+t) \wedge T_n} | \mathcal{F}_{R+s}) \\ &=_{a.s.} X_{(R+s) \wedge T_n} 1_{[T_n > R]} = Y_{s \wedge S_n} 1_{[S_n > 0]}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\{Y_s, \mathcal{G}_s\}$  is a local martingale.

**Revised solution:** Let  $Y_s \equiv X_{R+s}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_s \equiv \mathcal{F}_{R+s}$ . Let  $T_n \leq n$  be a sequence of stopping times which reduces  $X$ . Let  $S_n \equiv (T_n - R)^+$ . Then we want to show that

$$E(Y_t^{S_n} | \mathcal{G}_{s \wedge S_n}) =_{a.s.} Y_s^{S_n}, \tag{1}$$

that is, the sequence  $\{S_n\}$  reduces  $Y$ . But we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
E(Y_t^{S_n} | \mathcal{G}_{s \wedge S_n}) &= E(Y_{t \wedge S_n} 1_{[S_n > 0]} | \mathcal{G}_{s \wedge S_n}) \\
&= E\{X_{R+(t \wedge S_n)} 1_{[T_n > R]} | \mathcal{F}_{R+(s \wedge S_n)}\} \\
&= E\{X_{(R+t) \wedge T_n} 1_{[T_n > R]} | \mathcal{F}_{(R+s) \wedge T_n}\} \\
&= 1_{[T_n > R]} E E\{X_{(R+t) \wedge T_n} | \mathcal{F}_{(R+s) \wedge T_n}\} \\
&\quad \text{since } [T_n > R] \in \mathcal{F}_R \subset \mathcal{F}_{R+s} \text{ and } [T_n > R] \in \mathcal{F}_{T_n} \\
&=_{a.s.} 1_{[S_n > 0]} X_{(R+s) \wedge T_n} \\
&= Y_s^{S_n}.
\end{aligned}$$

where the next to last equality holds by optional sampling: stopping  $X_t^{S_n}$  at the two stopping times  $R + s \leq R + t$  yields the (two-term) martingale  $\{X_{R+s}^{T_n}, X_{R+t}^{T_n}\}$ . Thus (1) holds; i.e.  $Y$  is a local martingale.

3. Show that if  $X$  is a continuous local martingale with  $X_t \geq 0$  and  $EX_0 < \infty$ , then  $X_t$  is a supermartingale.

**Solution:** Let  $T_n$  be a sequence of stopping times that reduces  $X$ . By the martingale property of the reduced sequence it follows that for  $A \in \mathcal{F}_0$  we have

$$E(1_A X_{t \wedge T_n} 1_{[T_n > 0]}) = E(1_A X_0 1_{[T_n > 0]}).$$

By Fatou's lemma and the dominated convergence theorem this yields

$$\begin{aligned}
E(1_A X_t) &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(1_A X_{t \wedge T_n} 1_{[T_n > 0]}) \\
&\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(1_A X_0 1_{[T_n > 0]}) = E(1_A X_0).
\end{aligned}$$

By taking  $A = \Omega$  this yields  $E(X_t) \leq EX_0 < \infty$ . Since the inequality holds for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}_0$  we can conclude that  $E(X_t | \mathcal{F}_0) \leq X_0$  almost surely. To see that  $E(X_t | \mathcal{F}_s) \leq X_s$  almost surely for  $0 \leq s < t$ , apply the previous argument to  $Y_t = X_{s+t}$  which is a local martingale by the previous problem.

4. Definition: If  $X$  and  $Y$  are two continuous local martingales, define

$$\langle X, Y \rangle_t \equiv \frac{1}{4} (\langle X + Y \rangle_t - \langle X - Y \rangle_t)$$

where  $\langle X \rangle_t$  is the unique continuous increasing process that makes  $X_t^2 - \langle X, X \rangle_t$  a local martingale. Show that:

- (i)  $\langle X + Y, Z \rangle_t = \langle X, Z \rangle_t + \langle Y, Z \rangle_t$ ;
- (ii)  $\langle X - X_0, Z \rangle_t = \langle X, Z \rangle_t$ ;
- (iii) If  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\langle aX, bY \rangle_t = ab\langle X, Y \rangle_t$ ;
- (iv) If  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\langle aX \rangle_t = a^2\langle X, X \rangle_t$ .

**Solution:** (i) Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (X + Y)_t Z_t - (\langle X, Z \rangle_t + \langle Y, Z \rangle_t) &= X_t Z_t + Y_t Z_t - \langle X, Z \rangle_t - \langle Y, Z \rangle_t \\ &= (X_t Z_t - \langle X, Z \rangle_t) + (Y_t Z_t - \langle Y, Z \rangle_t) \end{aligned}$$

is the sum of two local martingales and hence is a local martingale. By a.s. uniqueness of the covariation process, this implies that (i) holds.

(ii) Now  $-X_0 Z_t$  is a local martingale, so if  $Y_t \equiv X_0$ , it follows that  $\langle Y, Z \rangle_t \equiv 0$ , and then (ii) follows from (i).

(iii) For  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  the process

$$(aX_t)(bY_t) - ab\langle X, Y \rangle_t = ab(X_t Y_t - \langle X, Y \rangle_t)$$

is a local martingale, and hence (iii) holds.

(iv) This follows immediately from (iii) by taking  $a = b$  and  $Y = X$ .