

## Statistics 523, Problem Set 5 Solutions

Wellner; May 8, 2013

1. Suppose that  $\{X(t) : t \geq 0\}$  is a process with stationary and independent increments with  $X(0) = 0$  and characteristic function of  $X(t)$  given by

$$Ee^{iuX(t)} = \exp(-tc|u|^\alpha \{1 - i\text{sign}(u)C_\alpha\})$$

where  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $c \geq 0$  and  $C_\alpha = \tan(\pi\alpha/2)$ . Thus the (marginal, or one-dimensional) distributions of  $X(t)$  are completely asymmetric stable laws with exponent  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

- (a) Show that  $X(t) \stackrel{d}{=} t^{1/\alpha}X(1)$  for all  $t > 0$ .  
 (b) Let  $0 < r < \alpha$ . Use (a) to compute  $E|X(t)|^r$  in terms of  $E|X(1)|^r$  where the latter is finite by Problem xx of problem set 3.  
 (c) Apply Theorem 12.2.2 to show that  $X : (\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P) \rightarrow (R_{[0,1]}, \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}, P_X)$  has an equivalent version  $Z : (\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P) \rightarrow (R_{[0,1]}, \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}, P_Z)$  which satisfies  $Z : (\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P) \rightarrow (D, \mathcal{D}, P_Z)$  with  $Z(t) = X(t)$  a.s. for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ .  
 (d) Is there an analogous theorem when  $X$  is viewed as a process with values in  $(R_{[0,\infty)}, \mathcal{B}_{[0,\infty)}, P_X)$  and with versions in  $(D_{[0,\infty)}, \mathcal{D}_{[0,\infty)})$ ?

**Solution:** (a) Now

$$Ee^{iuX(t)} = \exp(-tc|u|^\alpha \{1 - i\text{sign}(u)C_\alpha\})$$

so we compute, using  $t^{1/\alpha} > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Ee^{iut^{1/\alpha}X(1)} &= \exp(-1 \cdot c|ut^{1/\alpha}|^\alpha \{1 - i\text{sign}(ut^{1/\alpha})C_\alpha\}) \\ &= \exp(-tc|u|^\alpha \{1 - i\text{sign}(u)C_\alpha\}) \\ &= Ee^{iuX(t)}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence we conclude, by the uniqueness of characteristic functions, that  $X(t) \stackrel{d}{=} t^{1/\alpha}X(1)$ .

- (b) It follows from (a) that for  $r < \alpha$  we have

$$E|X(t)|^r = E|t^{1/\alpha}X(1)|^r = t^{r/\alpha}E|X(1)|^r \equiv M_r t^{r/\alpha}$$

with  $M_r \equiv E|X(1)|^r < \infty$ .

(c) To apply Theorem 12.2.2 we first compute, for  $0 \leq r \leq s \leq t \leq 1$  and  $b < \alpha$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E|X(r, s]X(s, t]|^b &= E\{|X(r, s]|^b\} \cdot E\{|X(s, t]|^b\} \quad \text{since } X \text{ has independent increments} \\ &= E\{|X(s-r)|^b\} \cdot E\{|X(t-s)|^b\} \quad \text{since } X \text{ has stationary increments} \\ &\leq M_b(s-r)^{b/\alpha} M_b(t-s)^{b/\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

where we want to choose  $a \equiv b/\alpha > 1/2$ . But this holds if  $\alpha > b > \alpha/2$ . Thus Theorem 12.2.2 applies with  $F(t) \equiv t$  on  $[0, 1]$ ,  $K = M_b^2$ , and  $b \in (\alpha/2, \alpha)$ , and we conclude that there is a version of the process  $X$  with sample paths in  $(D, \mathcal{D})$ .

(d)

2. Now let  $\mathbb{S}$  be a standard Brownian motion on  $[0, \infty)$ , let  $X(t)$  be a completely asymmetric stable process (sometimes called a *stable subordinator*) of index  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  as in problem 1 above which is independent of  $\mathbb{S}$ . Consider the new process  $Y(t) \equiv \mathbb{S}(X(t))$  for  $t \geq 0$ .

(a) Use a calculation similar to that of problem 4, Problem set 3, to show that  $Y$  is a symmetric stable process of index  $2\alpha$ .

(b) Does  $Y$  have stationary independent increments?

**Solution:** (a) We calculate conditionally on  $X$  to compute

$$\begin{aligned} E \exp(iuY(t)) &= EE\{\exp(iu\mathbb{S}(X(t)))|X(t)\} = E \exp(-u^2 X(t)/2) \\ &= E \exp(-u^2 t^{1/\alpha} X(1)/2) \quad \text{by using (a) of problem 1 above} \\ &= \psi(u^2 t^{1/\alpha}/2) \quad \text{where } \psi \text{ is the Laplace transform of } X(1) \\ &= \exp\{-c(u^2 t^{1/\alpha}/2)^\alpha\} \\ &= \exp\{-2^\alpha c \cdot t \cdot |u|^{2\alpha}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that the marginal distributions of  $Y$  are those of a symmetric stable process of index  $2\alpha$ .

(b) Now for  $0 \leq s \leq t$  we have  $Y(t) - Y(s) = \mathbb{S}(X(t)) - \mathbb{S}(X(s))$  which is independent of  $\{\mathbb{S}(X(r)) : 0 \leq r \leq s\}$  since  $X$  is monotone non-decreasing. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} Y(t) - Y(s) &= \mathbb{S}(X(t)) - \mathbb{S}(X(s)) \stackrel{d}{=} \mathbb{S}(X(t) - X(s)) \\ &\quad \text{since } \mathbb{S} \text{ has stationary increments} \\ &\stackrel{d}{=} \mathbb{S}(X(t-s)) = Y(t-s) \end{aligned}$$

since  $X$  has stationary increments. Thus the process  $Y$  has stationary and independent increments.

3. Let  $\tau = \tau_{ab}$  of Theorem 12.6.1; i.e.  $\tau_{a,b} = \inf\{t : \mathbb{S}(t) \in (-a, b)^c\}$  for  $a, b > 0$ . Show that  $E(\tau^2) \leq 4ab(a+b)^2$ . (This is slightly different from the statement of (4) in Theorem 12.6.1 on page 319, but seems to be consistent with (5) on the same page with  $r = 2$ . My current computation yields, in fact,  $E(\tau^2) \leq 2ab(a+b)^2$ . *Hint*: use the martingale  $\mathbb{S}^4(t) - 6t\mathbb{S}^2(t) + 3t^2$  (which follows from considering the 4th derivative with respect to  $\theta$  of the exponential martingale  $V_\theta(t) = \exp(\theta\mathbb{S}(t) - \theta^2 t^2/2)$  at  $\theta = 0$ ; see Exercise 12.7.3, page 325.

**Solution:** Now  $V_\theta(t) \exp(\theta\mathbb{S}(t) - \theta^2 t^2/2)$  is a martingale for every  $\theta$ . Hence  $Y_k(t) \equiv \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} V_\theta(t) \Big|_{\theta=0}$  is also a martingale. We use the martingale  $Y_4(t) \equiv \mathbb{S}^4(t) - 6t\mathbb{S}^2(t) + 3t^2$ . Since  $\tau = \tau_{ab}$  is a stopping time, it follows from the optional sampling theorem that

$$0 = EY_r(\tau) = E\mathbb{S}^4(\tau) - 6E(\tau\mathbb{S}^2(\tau)) + 3E(\tau^2).$$

Rearranging this yields

$$\begin{aligned} 3E\tau^2 &= 6E(\tau\mathbb{S}^2(\tau)) - E\mathbb{S}^4(\tau) \\ &\leq 6E(\tau(b \vee a)^2) - (b^4 \cdot \frac{a}{a+b} + a^4 \cdot \frac{b}{a+b}) \\ &\leq 6(a+b)^2 E\tau - \frac{ab}{a+b}(a^3 + b^3) \\ &\leq 6(a+b)^2 ab - 2^{-2} \frac{(a+b)^3}{a+b} \\ &\quad \text{by the } c_r \text{ inequality with } r = 3: (a+b)^3 \leq 2^{3-1}(a^3 + b^3) \\ &= (6 - 1/4)ab(a+b)^2, \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $E\tau^2 \leq 2ab(a+b)^2$ .

4. PfS Course Notes, Exercise 11.1.1, page 274: 12.10.1 page 338: Suppose that  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  are i.i.d. Exponential(1) random variables. Set  $\eta_{n,j} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^j Y_i / \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} Y_i$  and let  $\eta_n \equiv (\eta_{n,1}, \dots, \eta_{n,n})$ . Show that  $\eta_n \stackrel{d}{=} (\xi_{n:1}, \dots, \xi_{n:n})$  where  $0 \leq \xi_{n:1} \leq \dots \leq \xi_{n:n} \leq 1$  are the order statistics of  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$  i.i.d. Uniform(0, 1) random variables.

**Solution:** The joint density of  $Y_1, \dots, Y_{n+1}$  is given by

$$f_{\underline{Y}}(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) = \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} y_j\right) 1\{y_1 > 0, \dots, y_{n+1} > 0\}.$$

Now the joint density of  $W_k \equiv \sum_{j=1}^k Y_j$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n+1$  is given by

$$f_{\underline{W}}(w_1, \dots, w_{n+1}) = \exp(-w_{n+1}) 1\{0 < w_1 < w_2 < \dots < w_{n+1}\};$$

here we used  $Y_k = W_k - W_{k-1}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n+1$  so that the Jacobian of the transformation is 1. Then we transform to  $\eta_{n,k} \equiv Z_k \equiv W_k/W_{n+1}$  for  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $Z_{n,n+1} \equiv W_{n+1}$ . The joint density of  $\underline{Z} = (Z_1, \dots, Z_n, Z_{n+1})$  is given by

$$f_{\underline{Z}_{n+1}}(z_1, \dots, z_n, z_{n+1}) = z_{n+1}^n \exp(-z_{n+1}) 1\{0 < z_1 < \dots < z_n < 1, z_{n+1} > 0\}$$

since the Jacobian of the transformation is  $z_{n+1}^n$ . Thus  $(\eta_{n,1}, \dots, \eta_{n,n}) = (Z_1, \dots, Z_n) \equiv \underline{Z}_n$  is independent of  $Z_{n+1} \equiv W_{n+1}$  with  $Z_{n+1} \sim \text{Gamma}(n+1, 1)$  and the joint density of  $\underline{Z}_n$  is

$$f_{\underline{Z}_n}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = n! 1\{0 < z_1 < \dots < z_n < 1\},$$

which is the joint density of the order statistics of a sample of  $n$  Uniform(0, 1) random variables. [This is related to Basu's theorem: if the  $Y$ 's were exponential( $\theta$ ), then  $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} Y_j$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ , and the distribution of  $\underline{Z}_n$  is ancillary (i.e. has a distribution which does not depend on  $\theta$ ), and by Basu's theorem  $Z_{n+1}$  and  $\underline{Z}_n$  are independent.]