

Statistics 523, Problem Set 4 Solutions

Wellner; 4/30/2010

1. PfS, Exercise 13.3.5, page 359. Let $\{X_n, \mathcal{A}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a submg. Show that the following are equivalent:
- (a) The X_n^+ 's are uniformly integrable.
 - (b) There exists a rv Y that closes the submg.
 - (c) When these hold, then X_∞ closes the submg.

Solution: Suppose that (a) holds. Then for some M we have $EX_n^+ \leq M < \infty$; $M = \lambda_1 + 1$ works where $\lambda_1 \equiv \inf\{\lambda > 0 : E\{X_n^+ 1_{[X_n^+ \geq \lambda]}\} \leq 1\}$. It follows from the s-mg convergence theorem that $X_n \rightarrow_{a.s.} X_\infty$ where $X_\infty \in L_1$.

Now fix $a \leq 0$, and consider the convex function $\varphi_a(x) = (x \vee a) + |a|$. Note that $\varphi_a(x) \geq 0$ for all x and is increasing (i.e. non-decreasing). Thus $Y_n^a \equiv \varphi_a(X_n)$ is a sub-martingale, and $Y_n^a = (Y_n^a)^+$. Moreover, uniform integrability of $\{X_n^+\}$ implies uniform integrability of $\{Y_n^a\} = \{(Y_n^a)^+\}$: note that $Y_n^a \leq X_n^+ + |a|$. Thus by the s-mg convergence theorem Y_n^a is uniformly integrable, and converges a.s. and in L_1 to Y_∞^a which closes the sub-mg. But since φ_a is continuous and $X_n \rightarrow_{a.s.} X_\infty$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n^a &= \varphi_a(X_n) \rightarrow_{a.s.} \varphi_a(X_\infty), \quad \text{and,} \\ Y_n^a &\rightarrow_{a.s.} Y_\infty^a. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $Y_\infty^a = \varphi_a(X_\infty) = (X_\infty \vee a) + |a|$, and since Y_∞^a closes the sub-mg $\{Y_n^a, \mathcal{A}_n\}$, we have

$$E((X_\infty \vee a) + |a| | \mathcal{A}_n) = E(Y_\infty^a | \mathcal{A}_n) \geq_{a.s.} Y_n^a = (X_n \vee a) + |a|.$$

This implies that

$$E(X_\infty \vee a | \mathcal{A}_n) \geq_{a.s.} X_n \wedge a.$$

Letting $a \searrow -\infty$ (through a countable sequence) yields, by application of the DCT for conditional expectations (PfS, Theorem 7.4.1 (19)),

$$E(X_\infty | \mathcal{A}_n) \geq_{a.s.} X_n.$$

Thus X_∞ (and the associated sigma-field $\mathcal{A}_\infty = \sigma[\cup_n \mathcal{A}_n]$) closes the sub-mg $\{X_n, \mathcal{A}_n\}$.

2. PfS, Exercise 13.3.6, page 359. Let $\{X_n, \mathcal{A}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a submg with $X_n \geq 0$. Let $r > 1$. Then the X_n^r 's are uniformly integrable if and only if the X_n^r process is integrable.

Solution: Uniform integrability implies integrability, so it remains only to prove the reverse implication. Suppose that $\{X_n^r\}$ is integrable. Then $\{X_n\}$ is uniformly integrable, and hence by the s-martingale convergence theorem 13.3.1(B), $X_n \rightarrow X_\infty \in L_1$ where $\{X_n, \mathcal{A}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a sub-mg; i.e. $E(X_\infty | \mathcal{A}_n) \geq X_n$ a.s. and

$$E(X_\infty^r) = E(\liminf X_n^r) \leq \liminf E(X_n^r) \leq \sup_n E(X_n^r) < \infty$$

by Fatou's lemma and integrability of $\{X_n^r\}$. Hence by the conditional Jensen inequality,

$$E(X_n^r) \leq E\{E(X_\infty^r | \mathcal{A}_n)\} \leq E\{E(X_\infty^r | \mathcal{A}_n)\} = E(X_\infty^r)$$

and it follows from Vitali's theorem that $\{X_n^r\}$ is uniformly integrable.

Alternatively, by Doob's L_r -maximal inequality, since $\{X_n, \mathcal{A}_n\}$ is a sub-martingale,

$$E \left\{ \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} X_k \right)^r \right\} \leq \left(\frac{r}{r-1} \right)^r E|X_n|^r,$$

and hence, by the monotone convergence theorem,

$$E \left[\sup_{1 \leq k < \infty} X_k^r \right] \leq \left(\frac{r}{r-1} \right)^r \sup_n E|X_n|^r < \infty.$$

Thus with $Y \equiv \sup_{1 \leq k < \infty} X_k$, it follows that

$$\sup_n E \left\{ X_n^r 1_{[X_n^r \geq \lambda]} \right\} \leq E(Y^r 1_{[Y^r \geq \lambda]}) \rightarrow 0$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$; i.e. $\{X_n^r\}$ is uniformly integrable.

3. PfS, Exercise 13.3.7, page 347. (i) Let $\{X_n, \mathcal{A}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a mg. Let $r > 1$. Then the following are equivalent:

(10) The $|X_n|^r$ -process is integrable.

(11) $X_n \rightarrow_r X_\infty$.

(12) The X_n 's are uniformly integrable and $X_\infty \in L_r$.

(13) The $|X_n|^r$'s are uniformly integrable.

(14) $\{|X_n|^r, \mathcal{A}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a submg and $E|X_n|^r \nearrow E|X_\infty|^r < \infty$.

(*) $M^* \equiv \sup\{|X_n| : 0 \leq n \leq \infty\} \in L_r$ (via Doob's L_r -inequality).

(ii) This theorem also holds for a submg when all $X_n \geq 0$.

Solution: Suppose that (10) holds. Then $|X_n|^r$ is an integrable sub-mg. Thus the $|X_n|^r$ are uniformly integrable by the preceding problem. Thus (13) holds. Suppose (13) holds. Then $\{X_n\}$ is uniformly integrable, and $X_n \rightarrow_{a.s.} X_\infty \in L_1$ and

$$E|X_\infty|^r = E(\liminf |X_n|^r) \leq \liminf E|X_n|^r \leq \sup_n E|X_n|^r < \infty,$$

so $X_\infty \in L_r$; i.e. (12) holds.

Suppose (12) holds. Then $\{|X_n|, \mathcal{A}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a sub-martingale by Theorem 13.3.1(B). Thus $|X_n| \leq E(|X_\infty| | \mathcal{A}_n)$, so $|X_n|^r \leq \{E(|X_\infty| | \mathcal{A}_n)\}^r \leq E(|X_n|^r | \mathcal{A}_n)$ a.s., and hence $E|X_n|^r \leq E|X_\infty|^r < \infty$; i.e. (10) holds.

Thus (10) iff (12) iff (13) holds.

Now (11) implies (10) since

$$E|X_n|^r \leq c_r \{E|X_n - X_\infty|^r + E|X_\infty|^r\}$$

by the c_r -inequality.

Suppose that (13) holds. Then $X_n \rightarrow_{a.s.} X_\infty \in L_r$ (by (13) implies (12)), and since $\{|X_n|^r, \mathcal{A}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a sub-mg,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E|X_n|^r \leq E|X_\infty|^r < \infty.$$

Hence $X_n \rightarrow_r X_\infty$ by Vitali's theorem; i.e. (11) holds. Thus (10) iff (12) iff (13) iff (14).

4. Williams, PwM, Problem E10.1, page 231: (Polyá's urn). At time 0, an urn contains 1 black ball and 1 white ball. At each time $1, 2, 3, \dots$, a ball is chosen at random from the urn and is replaced together with a new ball of the same color. Just after time n , there are therefore $n + 2$ balls in the urn, of which $B_n + 1$ are black, where B_n is the number of black balls chosen by time n . Let $M_n = (B_n + 1)/(n + 2)$, the proportion of black balls in the urn just after time n .
- (i) Prove that (relative to a natural filtration \mathcal{A}_n which you should specify), $\{M_n, \mathcal{A}_n\}$ is a martingale.
 - (ii) Prove that $P(B_n = k) = (n + 1)^{-1}$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$. What is the distribution of $M \equiv \lim_n M_n$?
 - (iii) Prove that for $0 < \theta < 1$,

$$N_n^\theta \equiv \frac{(n + 1)!}{B_n!(n - B_n)!} \theta^{B_n} (1 - \theta)^{n - B_n}$$

defines a martingale.

Proof. (i) Now

$$P(B_{n+1} + 1 = k | B_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{B_n + 1}{n + 2}, & \text{if } k = B_n + 2, \\ 1 - \frac{B_n + 1}{n + 2}, & \text{if } k = B_n + 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
E(B_{n+1} + 1|B_n) &= (B_n + 2)\frac{B_n + 1}{n + 2} + (B_n + 1)\left(1 - \frac{B_n + 1}{n + 2}\right) \\
&= \frac{(B_n + 1 + 1)(B_n + 1) - (B_n + 1)^2}{n + 2} + (B_n + 1) \\
&= \frac{B_n + 1}{n + 2} + (B_n + 1) = (B_n + 1)\frac{n + 3}{n + 2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus with $\mathcal{A}_n \equiv \sigma\{B_0, B_1, \dots, B_n\}$, the sequence $M_n = (B_n + 1)/(n + 2)$ is adapted to \mathcal{A}_n and $\{M_n, \mathcal{A}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a martingale:

$$\begin{aligned}
E(M_{n+1}|\mathcal{A}_n) &= E(M_{n+1}|B_n) = E\left(\frac{B_{n+1} + 1}{n + 3}|B_n\right) \\
&= \frac{E(B_{n+1} + 1|B_n)}{n + 3} = \frac{(B_n + 1)\frac{n+3}{n+2}}{n + 3} \\
&= \frac{B_n + 1}{n + 2} = M_n.
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) From (i) it is immediate that the claim is true for $n = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
P(B_1 + 1 = k) &= P(B_1 + 1 = k|B_0) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \text{ so} \\
P(B_1 = k) &= 1/2, \text{ for } k = 0, 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we proceed by induction: suppose the claim is true for $n \geq 1$; we want to show that it holds for $n + 1$. Now for $k \in \{0, \dots, n + 1\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
P(B_{n+1} = k) &= EP(B_{n+1} + 1 = k + 1|B_n) \\
&= E\left\{\frac{B_n + 1}{n + 2}1\{B_n = k - 1\} + \left(1 - \frac{B_n + 1}{n + 2}\right)1\{B_n = k\}\right\} \\
&= \frac{k}{n + 2}P(B_n = k - 1) + \left(1 - \frac{k + 1}{n + 2}\right)P(B_n = k) \\
&= \frac{k}{n + 2}\frac{1}{n + 1} + \left(1 - \frac{k + 1}{n + 2}\right)\frac{1}{n + 1}1\{k \leq n\} \\
&= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+2)} = \frac{1}{n+1}\left(1 - \frac{1}{n+2}\right) = \frac{1}{n+2}, & \text{if } k \leq n, \\ \frac{n+1}{n+2} \cdot \frac{1}{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+2}, & \text{if } k = n + 1. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the claim holds for $n + 1$. From (i) we have $EM_n = EM_0 = 1/2$ for all n . It follows from the s-mg convergence theorem that $M_n \rightarrow_{a.s.} M_\infty$ and in L_1 . From the calculation above, $M_n \sim \text{Uniform}\{1/(n + 2), \dots, (n + 1)/(n + 2)\}$, and hence

$M_n \rightarrow_d \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$. Hence $M_\infty \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$.

(iii) We compute directly using the basic result from part (i):

$$\begin{aligned}
E(N_{n+1}^\theta | B_n) &= E\left(\frac{(n+2)!}{B_{n+1}!(n+1-B_{n+1})!} \theta^{B_{n+1}} (1-\theta)^{n+1-B_{n+1}} | B_n\right) \\
&= (1-\theta)^{n+1} E\left(\frac{(n+2)!}{B_{n+1}!(n+1-B_{n+1})!} \left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right)^{B_{n+1}} | B_n\right) \\
&= (n+2)!(1-\theta)^{n+1} \left\{ \frac{1}{(B_n+1)!(n-B_n)!} \left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right)^{B_n+1} \cdot \frac{B_n+1}{n+2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{B_n!(n+1-B_n)!} \left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right)^{B_n} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{B_n+1}{n+2}\right) \right\} \\
&= (n+2)!(1-\theta)^{n+1} \left\{ \frac{1}{(B_n)!(n-B_n)!} \left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right)^{B_n} \cdot \frac{\theta}{(1-\theta)(n+2)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{B_n!(n-B_n)!(n+1-B_n)} \left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right)^{B_n} \cdot \frac{n+1-B_n}{n+2} \right\} \\
&= (n+1)!(1-\theta)^n \frac{1}{(B_n)!(n-B_n)!} \left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right)^{B_n} \cdot (1-\theta) \cdot \left\{ \frac{\theta}{1-\theta} + 1 \right\} \\
&= N_n^\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\{N_n^\theta, \mathcal{A}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a martingale.