

# Math/Stat 523, Spr 2020



**Jon A. Wellner**

Lecture 2

*Wednesday, April 1*

# Outline

---

- 1: The stopping time  $\tau_{a,b}$  for Brownian motion  $\mathbb{S}$
- 2: Embedding one random variable  $X$  in  $\mathbb{S}$ .
- 3: Embedding the partial sum process  $S_n$  in  $\mathbb{S}$ .

# 1. The stopping time $\tau_{a,b}$

---

Let  $a, b > 0$  and let  $\tau \equiv \tau_{a,b} \equiv \inf\{t > 0 : S(t) \in (-a, b)^c\}$ .

Recall our results from winter quarter concerning  $\tau$  and  $S(\tau)$ .

- (2)  $ES(\tau) = 0$ .
- (3)  $P(S(\tau) = -a) = \frac{b}{a+b}$  and  $P(S(\tau) = b) = \frac{a}{a+b}$ .
- (4)  $E(\tau) = ab = ES^2(\tau)$  and  $E(\tau^2) \leq 4ab(a+b)$ .
- (5)  $E(\tau^r) \leq r\Gamma(r)2^{2r}ES^{2r}(\tau) \leq r\Gamma(r)2^{2r}ab(a+b)^{2r-2}$   
for all  $r \geq 1$ .

## 2. Embedding one random variable $X$ in $\mathbb{S}$

---

**Theorem (Skorokhod)** Suppose that  $X$  is a random variable with d.f.  $F$  having mean 0 and variance  $\text{Var}[X] \equiv \sigma^2 \in [0, \infty]$ . Then there is a stopping time  $\tau$  such that the stopped random variable  $\mathbb{S}(\tau)$  is distributed as  $X$ ; that is

$$\mathbb{S}(\tau) \stackrel{d}{=} X.$$

Moreover,

$$E\tau = \text{Var}[X] \quad \text{and} \quad E\tau^2 \leq 16E[X^4],$$

and, for any  $r \geq 1$  we have

$$E(\tau^r) \leq K_r E[X^{2r}] \quad \text{with} \quad K_r \equiv r\Gamma(r)2^{4r-2}.$$

---

**Proof.** For degenerate  $F$  just let  $\tau \equiv 0$ . Thus suppose  $F$  is non-degenerate. Let  $(A, B)$  be independent of  $\mathbb{S}$  with joint d.f.  $H$  given by

$$dH(a, b) = \frac{(a + b)}{EX^+} dF(-a) dF(b) \quad \text{for } a \in [0, \infty), b \in (0, \infty).$$

The procedure is to observe  $(A, B) = (a, b)$  distributed according to  $H$ , and then to observe  $\tau_{a,b}$  calling the result  $\tau$ . (Clearly  $\tau_{a,b} = 0$  if  $A = a = 0$  is observed.) Note that  $[\tau \leq t]$  can be determined by  $(A, B)$  and  $\{\mathbb{S}(s), 0 \leq s \leq t\}$ , and hence is an event in  $\mathcal{A}_t \equiv \sigma[A, B, \mathbb{S}(s) : 0 \leq s \leq t]$ . Then, for  $t \geq 0$ ,

---


$$\begin{aligned}
P(S(\tau) > t) &= E\{P(S(\tau) > t|A, B)\} \\
&= \int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{(0, t]} 0 \cdot dH(a, b) + \int_{[0, \infty)} \int_{(t, \infty)} \frac{a}{a+b} dH(a, b) \\
&\quad \text{by (3)} \\
&= \int_{(t, \infty)} \int_{[0, \infty)} \frac{a}{EX^+} dF(-a) dF(b) = \int_{(t, \infty)} \frac{E(X^-)}{E(X^+)} dF(b) \\
&= 1 - F(t)
\end{aligned}$$

since  $0 = E(X) = E(X^+) - E(X^-)$  with  $F$  non-degenerate implies  $E(X^+) = E(X^-)$ . Similarly, for  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
P(S(\tau) \leq -t) &= E\{P(S(\tau) \leq -t|A, B)\} \\
&= \int_{(0, t)} \int_{(0, \infty)} 0 \cdot dH(a, b) + \int_{[t, \infty)} \int_{(0, \infty)} \frac{b}{a+b} dH(a, b) \\
&= + \int_{[t, \infty)} \int_{(0, \infty)} \frac{b}{EX^+} dF(b) dF(-a) = \int_{[t, \infty)} dF(-a) \\
&= F(-t).
\end{aligned}$$

---

Thus  $S(\tau) \stackrel{d}{=} X$ . Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\tau) &= E\{E[\tau|A, B]\} = E\{E[S^2(\tau)|A, B]\} \\ &= E\{S^2(\tau)\} = E[X^2] = \text{Var}[X]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(a + b)^{2r-1} \leq 2^{2r-2}[a^{2r-1} + b^{2r-1}]$$

by the  $C_r$ -inequality. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} E[\tau^r] &= E\{E[\tau^r|A, B]\} \\ &\leq 2^{2r} r\Gamma(r) E[AB(A+B)^{2r-2}] \\ &= 2^{2r} r\Gamma(r) E[AB(A+B)^{2r-1}/(A+B)] \\ &\leq r\Gamma(r) 2^{4r-2} E\left(\frac{B}{A+B} A^{2r} + \frac{A}{A+B} B^{2r}\right) \\ &= K_r E\{E[S^{2r}(\tau)|A, B]\} = K_r E[S^{2r}(\tau)] = K_r E[X^{2r}]. \end{aligned}$$

# Embedding the partial sum process $\mathbb{S}_n$ in $\mathbb{S}$

---

## The partial sum process

Let  $X_{n,1}, \dots, X_{n,n}$  be row - independent rv's having a common d.f.  $F$  with mean 0 and variance 1. Let  $X_{n,0} \equiv 0$ . We define the partial sum process on  $(D, \mathcal{D})$  by

$$\mathbb{S}_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} X_{n,i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=0}^k X_{ni} \quad (1)$$

for  $k/n \leq t < (k+1)/n$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , (or for all  $k \geq 0$  in case the  $n$ th row is  $(X_{n1}, X_{n2}, \dots)$ ). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(\mathbb{S}_n(s), \mathbb{S}_n(t)) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor ns \rfloor} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} \text{Cov}[X_{ni}, X_{nj}] / n \\ &= \lfloor n(s \wedge t) \rfloor / n \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s, t \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

for the greatest integer function  $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ . We have already proved that  $\mathbb{S}_n \rightarrow_d \mathbb{S}$ ; we will now establish a stronger result.

---

## Embedding the partial sum process $S_n$ in $S$

**Notation:** Let  $\{S(t) : t \geq 0\}$  denote a Brownian motion on  $(C_\infty, \mathcal{C}_\infty)$ . Then

$Z_n(t) \equiv \sqrt{n}S(t/n)$  for  $t \geq 0$  is also a Brownian motion.

By using the Skorokhod embedding technique of the previous section repeatedly on the Brownian motion  $Z_n$ , we may guarantee that for appropriate stopping times  $\tau_{n1}, \dots, \tau_{nn}$  (with all  $\tau_{n0} = 0$ ) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} X_{nk} &\equiv Z_n(\tau_{n,k-1}, \tau_{n,k}] \\ &\equiv Z_n(\tau_{n,k}) - Z_n(\tau_{n,k-1}) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n, \end{aligned}$$

are i.i.d. rv's with distribution function  $F$  having mean 0 and variance 1. Let  $S_n$  denote the partial sum process of these  $X_{nk}$ 's.

---

Then for  $t \geq 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} Z_n(\tau_{n, \lfloor nt \rfloor}) = \mathbb{S} \left( \frac{\tau_{n, \lfloor nt \rfloor}}{n} \right) \\ &= \mathbb{S} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} T_{nk} \right) = \mathbb{S}(I_n(t)) \end{aligned}$$

with  $T_{nk} \equiv (\tau_{nk} - \tau_{n, k-1})$  and  $I_n \equiv n^{-1} \tau_{n, \lfloor nt \rfloor}$ . Note that:

$X_{n1}, \dots, X_{nn}$  are i.i.d.  $F$  in each row,

$T_{n1}, \dots, T_{nn}$  are i.i.d. with means  $= 1 = \text{Var}(X)$ , in each row.

$E(T_{nk}^r) \leq K_r \cdot E|X_{nk}|^{2r}$ , with  $K_r \equiv r \Gamma(r) 2^{4r-2}$ .

With this notation we have the following theorem:

---

**Theorem.** (Skorokhod's embedding theorem). The partial sum process  $\mathbb{S}_n$  on  $(D, \mathcal{D})$  of row-independent rv's with common distribution  $F$  as formed above satisfies:

$$\|\mathbb{S}_n - \mathbb{S}\| \equiv \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |\mathbb{S}_n(t) - \mathbb{S}(t)| \rightarrow_p 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2)$$

**Proof:** Let  $I$  denote the identity function. Suppose we now show

$$\|I_n - I\|_0^1 \equiv \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| \frac{\tau_{n, \lfloor nt \rfloor}}{n} - t \right| \rightarrow_p 0.$$

Then on any subsequence  $n'$  where  $\rightarrow_p 0$  in the last display can be replaced by  $\rightarrow_{a.s.} 0$ , the continuity of the paths of  $\mathbb{S}$  will yield

$$\|\mathbb{S}_{n'}(\cdot) - \mathbb{S}(\cdot)\| = \|\mathbb{S}(I_{n'}) - \mathbb{S}\| \rightarrow_{a.s.} 0,$$

and thus (2) will follow.

---

The WLLN gives

$$I_n(t) = \tau_{n, \lfloor nt \rfloor} / n \rightarrow_p t \quad \text{for any fixed } t \in (0, 1].$$

By diagonalization we can extract from any subsequence a further subsequence  $n'$  on which

$$I_{n'}(t) = \tau_{n', \lfloor n't \rfloor} / n' \rightarrow_{a.s.} t \quad \text{for all rational } t.$$

But since all functions involved are monotone, and since the limit function is continuous, thus the conclusion (2) holds.  $\square$

---

## The embedding for $C[0, \infty)$

In the proof just given the conclusion can trivially be replaced by

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq m} |\mathbb{S}_n(t) - \mathbb{S}(t)| \rightarrow_p 0$$

for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . By appealing to PfS Exercise 12.1.6, page 299, we may conclude that

$$\rho_\infty(\mathbb{S}_n, \mathbb{S}) \rightarrow_p 0$$

where, for functions  $x$  and  $y$  on  $[0, \infty)$ ,

$$\rho_\infty(x, y) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \frac{\rho_k(x, y)}{1 + \rho_k(x, y)}$$

and  $\rho_k(x, y) \equiv \sup_{0 \leq t \leq k} |x(t) - y(t)|$ .

---

Let  $g : (D, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$  and let  $\Delta_g$  denote the set of all  $x \in D$  for which  $g$  is not  $\|\cdot\|$ -continuous at  $x$ . If there exists a set  $\Delta \in \mathcal{D}$  having  $\Delta_g \subset \Delta$  and  $P(\mathbb{S} \in \Delta) = 0$ , then we say that  $g$  is *a.s.  $\|\cdot\|$ -continuous* with respect to the process  $\mathbb{S}$ .

**Theorem 12.8.3 (Donsker)** Let  $g : (D, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$  denote an a.s.  $\|\cdot\|$ -continuous mapping that is  $\mathcal{D}$ -measurable. Then  $g : (\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ , and both

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mathbb{S}_n) &\rightarrow_p g(\mathbb{S}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{for the constructed } \mathbb{S}_n, \quad \text{and} \\ g(\mathbb{S}_n) &\rightarrow_d g(\mathbb{S}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{for any } \mathbb{S}_n \text{ having the same distribution.} \end{aligned}$$

**Example 1.** For  $x \in C[0, 1]$ , let

$$g(x) = \lambda\{t \in [0, 1] : x(t) > 0\} = \int_0^1 1_{(0, \infty)}(x(t)) dt$$

where  $\lambda$  denotes Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$ .

---

Then  $g$  is not  $\|\cdot\|$ -continuous on  $C[0, 1]$ . But it is a.s.  $\|\cdot\|$ -continuous with respect to  $\mathbb{S}$ ; see Billingsley (1968), Appendix II, pp. 230 ff. Thus  $g(\mathbb{S}_n) \rightarrow_d g(\mathbb{S}) \equiv U$ . It turns out that  $U$  has the *arcsin* (= Beta(1/2, 1/2)) distribution,  $P(U \leq u) = (2/\pi) \arcsin(\sqrt{u})$  for  $0 \leq u \leq 1$ , with density  $f_U(u) = \pi^{-1}(u(1-u))^{-1/2} \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(u)$ .

In fact, if

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(x) &\equiv \sup\{t \in [0, 1] : x(t) = 0\}, \\ h_2(x) &\equiv \lambda\{t \in [0, 1] : x(t) > 0\} \equiv g(x), \\ h_3(x) &\equiv \lambda\{t \in [0, h_1(x)] : x(t) > 0\}, \\ h_4(x) &\equiv x(1), \end{aligned}$$

Billingsley (1968) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} (h_1(\mathbb{S}_n), h_2(\mathbb{S}_n), h_3(\mathbb{S}_n), \mathbb{S}_n(1)) &\rightarrow_d (h_1(\mathbb{S}), h_2(\mathbb{S}), h_3(\mathbb{S}), \mathbb{S}(1)) \\ &\equiv (T, U, V, \mathbb{S}(1)), \end{aligned}$$

---

and he also finds an explicit formula for the joint density of  $(T, V, \mathbb{S}(1))$ , and shows how this determines the joint distribution of  $(T, U, V, \mathbb{S}(1))$ .