

Statistics 522, Problem Set 8 Solutions

Wellner; 3/13/2013

Reminder: Final exam, Wednesday, March 20.

1. PfS Course Notes, Exercise 9.3.5; PfS (2000), Exercise 13.1.4, page 371. Show that the real part of a characteristic function (or $\text{Re}\phi(\cdot)$) is itself a characteristic function.

Solution: Note that since $\bar{\phi}_X = \bar{\phi}_{-X}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Re}\phi_X(t) &= \frac{1}{2}(\phi_X(t) + \bar{\phi}_X(t)) = \frac{1}{2}(\phi_X(t) + \phi_{-X}(t)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(Ee^{itX} + Ee^{-itX}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\int e^{itx}dF_X(x) + \int e^{itx}dF_{-X}(x)\right) \\ &= \int e^{itx}d(F_X(x) + F_{-X}(x))/2 \equiv \int e^{itx}dG(x)\end{aligned}$$

where $G(x) \equiv (1/2)(F_X(x) + F_{-X}(x))$ is the distribution function of ϵX where ϵ is a Rademacher random variable independent of X .

2. PfS Course Notes, Exercise 9.3.6; PfS (2000), Exercise 13.1.5, page 371. Let ϕ be a chf. Show that $c^{-1} \int_0^c \phi(tu)du$ is a chf.

Solution: Let U be a Uniform(0, c) random variable independent of X . Then let $Y \equiv UX$. The characteristic function of Y is

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_Y(t) &= Ee^{itY} = Ee^{itUX} = E\{E\{e^{itUX}|U\}\} \\ &= E\{\phi_X(tU)\} = \frac{1}{c} \int_0^c \phi_X(tu)du.\end{aligned}$$

Thus if ϕ is the characteristic function of X , then the given expression is the characteristic function of UX where $U \sim \text{Uniform}(0, c)$ is independent of X .

In fact a random variable Y has a unimodal distribution if and only if it has a characteristic function of the form given in the display with $c = 1$ (and hence also if and only if $Y = UX$ for $U \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$ and $X \sim F$); see e.g. Dharmadhikari, and Joag-Dev (1988), *Unimodality, Convexity, and Applications*, page 7.

3. Pfs Course Notes, Exercise 9.4.2; Pfs (2000), Exercise 13.2.2, page 348.

Solution: If $X, X' \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$ are independent, then by Proposition 3.1(c) and the chf of $\text{Uniform}(0, 1)$ given on page 203, with $T \equiv X - X'$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_T(t) &= Ee^{itT} = Ee^{it(X-X')} = \phi_X(t)\bar{\phi}_{X'}(t) = \\ &= \frac{(e^{it} - 1)(e^{-it} - 1)}{it(-it)} = \frac{1 - (e^{it} + e^{-it}) + 1}{t^2} = 2(1 - \cos t)/t^2,\end{aligned}$$

which is the claimed characteristic function of the triangular density as claimed in the table on page 203. (Note that this shows that $T = X - X'$ has the claimed triangular density via the inversion formula given in Theorem 9.4.3 since $\int |\phi_T(t)| dt < \infty$: $|\phi_T(t)| \leq 4/t^2$ for $|t| \geq 1$ while $|\phi_T(t)| \leq 1$ for $|t| \leq 1$.)

Now the de Vallée-Poussin density is given by $f_D(x) = (1 - \cos(x))/(\pi x^2)$ on \mathbb{R} , and hence $f_D(x) = \phi_T(x)/(2\pi)$ where ϕ_T is the characteristic function of the triangular density that we just derived. Hence by Theorem 4.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_D(t) &= Ee^{itD} = \int e^{itx} f_D(x) dx = \int e^{itx} \phi_T(x)/(2\pi) dx \\ &= \int e^{-ity} \phi_T(y)/(2\pi) dy \quad \text{using } \phi_T(-y) = \phi_T(y) \\ &= f_T(t) \quad \text{by Theorem 4.3} \\ &= (1 - |t|)1_{[-1,1]}(t).\end{aligned}$$

If $X \sim f_D$, the de la Vallée-Poussin density, then $\phi_X(t)$ is triangular, and we compute $\phi'_X(t) = +1$ for $t < 0$ while $\phi'_X(t) = -1$ for $t > 0$. Thus $\phi'_X(t)$ is discontinuous at $t = 0$, and it follows from Exercise 9.6.1 that $E|X| = \infty$. This also follows by direct computation from the density:

$$E|X| = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty x \frac{1 - \cos(x)}{x^2} dx = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos(x)}{x} dx$$

where $1 - \cos(x) \geq 1$ for $\pi/2 \leq x \leq 3\pi/2$ since $\cos(x)$ is negative in this interval. By periodicity of $\cos(x)$, this lower bound is also valid for

the intervals $[(4k + 1)\pi/2, (4k + 3)\pi/2]$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos(x)}{x} dx &\geq \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_{(4k+1)\pi/2}^{(4k+3)\pi/2} x^{-1} dx \\ &\geq \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(4k+3)\pi/2} ((4k+3) - (4k+2)) \pi/2 \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{2}{4k+3} \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{k+1} = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $E|X| = \infty$.

4. (a) Let X_1, X_2, \dots , be i.i.d. random variables and let $Z_n \equiv n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. For another sequence of i.i.d. random variables X'_1, X'_2, \dots , with each $X'_i \stackrel{d}{=} X_i$ and all X'_i 's independent of the X_i 's, let $X_i^s \equiv X_i - X'_i$ and set $Z_n^s \equiv n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^s$. Note that nothing has been assumed about finiteness of moments of the X_i 's (or X'_i 's). Prove or disprove the following statement: $Z_n \rightarrow_d N(0, 1)$ if and only if the symmetrized random variables $Z_n^s \rightarrow_d N(0, 2)$.
- (b) Now suppose that X_1, X_2, \dots are i.i.d. as in part (a), and suppose that $Z_n \equiv Z_{n,a,b} \equiv n^{1/2}(\bar{X} - a)/b$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b > 0$. What can you say about a and b if it is known that $Z_n \rightarrow_d N(0, 1)$?

Solution: (a) Suppose that $Z_n \rightarrow_d N(0, 1)$. Then it follows (from e.g. Exercise 9.1.3) that $\{Z_n\}$ is tight, and thus from the converse classical CLT (Theorem 10.7.1, PfS course notes page 265) as proved in class that $E(X_1) = 0$ and $E(X_1^2) < \infty$. Hence $E(X_1 - X'_1) = 0$ and $E(X_1 - X'_1)^2 = EX_1^2 + E(X'_1)^2 = 2$. Then by the classical CLT we have $(Z_n, Z'_n) \rightarrow_d (Z, Z')$ where Z, Z' are independent. Thus we have

$$Z_n^s = Z_n - Z'_n \rightarrow_d Z - Z' \sim N(0, 2).$$

Now Suppose that $Z_n^s \rightarrow_d N(0, 2)$. Then $\{Z_n^s\}$ is tight, and by the converse to the classical CLT we have $0 = E(X_1 - X'_1)$ and $E(X_1 - X'_1)^2 < \infty$. By independence of the X_i 's together with their being identically distributed this implies $2EX_1^2 < \infty$ and hence $EX_1^2 < \infty$. This entails that $E|X_1| < \infty$ and hence that $\mu \equiv E(X_1) = E(X'_1)$ is well-defined. This does *not* imply that $E(X_1) = 0$. But it does imply

that $W_n \equiv n^{1/2}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)$ satisfies $W_n \rightarrow_d Z \sim N(0, 1)$. Thus the two statements are not quite equivalent, but they are equivalent up to a centering of Z_n .

(b) If we know that $Z_n(a, b) \rightarrow_d N(0, 1)$ for some constants a, b with $b > 0$, then $\{Z_n(a, b)\}$ is tight. Since

$$Z_n(a, b) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - a)/b \equiv n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i,$$

we know from the converse CLT that $E(Y_1)^2 = E(X_1 - a)^2/b^2 < \infty$ and that $0 = E(Y_1) = E(X_1 - a)/b$. This yields $a = E(X_1) = \mu$, and hence $EY_1^2 = E(X_1 - \mu)^2/b^2 = \sigma^2/b^2$. But then by the classical CLT it follows that $Z_n(a, b) \rightarrow_d N(0, \sigma^2/b^2)$. But by our hypothesis $Z_n(a, b) \rightarrow_d N(0, 1)$, and thus $\sigma^2/b^2 = 1$, or $\sigma^2 = b^2$.

5. PfS Course Notes, Exercise 10.1.1, page 226; PfS (2000), Exercise 14.1.1, page 366.

For each $n \geq 1$, let X_{n1}, \dots, X_{nn} be i.i.d. with finite mean μ . Use characteristic functions to show the WLLN result that $\bar{X}_n \rightarrow_p \mu$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Equivalently, show that

$$\bar{X}_n \rightarrow_d \delta_\mu \equiv \text{the degenerate distribution with mass 1 at } \mu.$$

Remark: an additional hypothesis seems to be needed here, namely $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E|X_{n1}| < \infty$.

Solution: Since $E|X_1| < \infty$, it follows from Inequality 9.6.2, PfS Course Notes page 213, that

$$|\phi_{X_1}(t) - (1 + (it)EX_1)| \leq 3|t|E\{|X_{n1}|\}g(t) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

where $g(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Since $\phi_{\bar{X}_n}(t) = \phi_{X_{n1}}(t/n)^n$ it follows from the product inequality Lemma 6.4 (page 213) together with the inequality of the last display that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\phi_{\bar{X}_n}(t) - (1 + i(t/n)E(X_1))^n| \\ &= |\phi_{X_{n1}}(t/n)^n - (1 + i(t/n)\mu)^n| \\ &\leq 3n|t/n|E\{|X_{n1}|\}g(t/n) = 3|t|E\{|X_{n1}|\}g(t/n) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E|X_{n1}| < \infty$. Since $(1 + (it\mu)/n)^n \rightarrow \exp(it\mu)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $\phi_{\bar{X}_n}(t) \rightarrow \exp(it\mu)$. This implies that $\bar{X}_n \rightarrow_d \mu$. Since convergence in distribution to a constant implies convergence in probability to the same constant, we conclude that $\bar{X}_n \rightarrow_p \mu$.