

Statistics 522, Problem Set 4 Solutions

Wellner; 2/16/2008

1. Suppose that Y_1, \dots, Y_n be independent Bernoulli $(1/2)$ random variables so that $X_j = 2Y_j - 1$ are independent Rademacher random variables. with $EX_j = 0$ and $Var(X_j) = 1$. Let $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$, and $\tilde{S}_n = S_n/\sqrt{n}$, and define $F_n(x) = P(\tilde{S}_n \leq x)$. Show that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{ \sqrt{n} \|F_n - \Phi\|_{\infty} \} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}.$$

Hint: Take $n = 2m + 1$; take $t_m = -(2m + 1)^{-1/2}$; note that $\sum_1^n Y_j \sim \text{Binomial}(n, 1/2)$; show that $P(\text{Bin}(2m + 1, 1/2) \leq m) = 1/2$; and hence that $F_{2m+1}(t_m) - \Phi(t_m) = \int_{t_m}^0 \phi(y) dy$.

Solution: Note that

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(x) &= P(\tilde{S}_n \leq x) = P\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \leq x\sqrt{n}\right) \\ &= P\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (2Y_i - 1) \leq x\sqrt{n}\right) \\ &= P(2\text{Binomial}(n, 1/2) - n \leq x\sqrt{n}) \end{aligned}$$

For $n = 2m + 1$ (i.e. odd) and $x = t_m \equiv -(2m + 1)^{-1/2}$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2m+1}(t_m) &= P(2\text{Binomial}(2m + 1, 1/2) - 2m + 1 \leq -1) \\ &= P(\text{Binomial}(2m + 1, 1/2) \leq m) \\ &= 1/2 = P(\text{Binomial}(2m + 1, 1/2) \geq m + 1) \end{aligned}$$

by symmetry. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2m+1}(t_m) - \Phi(t_m) &= 1/2 - \int_{-\infty}^{t_m} \phi(y) dy = \int_{-\infty}^0 \phi(y) dy - \int_{-\infty}^{t_m} \phi(y) dy \\ &= \int_{t_m}^0 \phi(y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{2m+1}\|F_{2m+1} - \Phi\|_\infty &\geq \sqrt{2m+1}|F_{2m+1}(t_m) - \Phi(t_m)| \\
&= \sqrt{2m+1} \int_{t_m}^0 \phi(y) dy \\
&= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^\epsilon \phi(y) dy \quad \text{with } \epsilon = -t_m \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n}\|F_n - \Phi\|_\infty &\geq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{2m+1}\|F_{2m+1} - \Phi\|_\infty \\
&= \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^\epsilon \phi(y) dy \\
&= \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \phi(\epsilon) = (2\pi)^{-1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

2. Stroock's chapter II, page 64: verify the claim that the bound in Lindeberg's CLT can be taken to be the expression in (2.1.13) of Stroock when the X_{ni} 's all have finite third moments.

Solution: As in the proof of Theorem 11.6.1 (Lindeberg's theorem),

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta &\equiv |E\varphi(\tilde{S}_n) - E\varphi(Z)| \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ E|R(U_{ni}; \tilde{X}_{ni})| + E|R(U_{ni}; \tilde{Y}_{ni})| \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$R(x; y) \leq \|\varphi'''\|_\infty \frac{1}{6} |y|^3.$$

Thus

$$E|R(U_{ni}; \tilde{X}_{ni})| \leq \frac{1}{6} \|\varphi'''\|_\infty E|\tilde{X}_{ni}|^3 = \frac{1}{6} \|\varphi'''\|_\infty \frac{\tau_{ni}^3}{\sigma_n^3},$$

and, as before,

$$E|R(U_{ni}; \tilde{Y}_{ni})| \leq \frac{1}{6} \|\varphi'''\|_\infty E|Z|^3 \frac{\sigma_{ni}^3}{\sigma_n^3} \leq \frac{1}{6} \|\varphi'''\|_\infty E|Z|^3 \frac{\tau_{ni}^3}{\sigma_n^3},$$

where the second inequality follows from Liapunov's inequality and where

$$E|Z|^3 = 2 \int_0^\infty z^3 \phi(z) dz = 2\sqrt{2/\pi}.$$

Therefore, summing on i and using the notation

$$\rho_n \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n E|X_{ni}|^3 / \sigma_n^3,$$

$$\Delta \leq \|\varphi'''\|_\infty \rho_n \frac{1}{6} \left(1 + 2\sqrt{2/\pi}\right)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{6} \left(1 + 2\sqrt{2/\pi}\right) \doteq .432628 \dots < 2/3.$$

Thus Stroock's claimed bound holds; in fact Stroock's $2/3$ can be reduced to the constant above which is less than $.45$.

3. (Stein's method for Poisson random variables). Suppose that $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$, and write P_λ for the corresponding Poisson probability measure on \mathbb{Z}^+ and E_λ for expectation under P_λ . Show that the following identity holds: for any bounded function $g : \mathbb{Z}^+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}$,

$$E_\lambda\{\lambda g(X+1) - Xg(X)\} = 0.$$

Solution: Suppose $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ and g is bounded. Then we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & E\{\lambda g(X+1) - Xg(X)\} \\ &= \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \lambda g(x+1) e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^x}{x!} - \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} xg(x) e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^x}{x!} \\ &= \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} (x+1)g(x+1) e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{x+1}}{(x+1)!} - \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} xg(x) e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^x}{x!} \\ &= \sum_{y=1}^{\infty} yg(y) e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^y}{y!} - \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} xg(x) e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^x}{x!} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

4. (Stein's method for Poisson random variables, continued). Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^+$. Consider the bounded function $\varphi(z) = 1_A(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and its Poisson-centered version $\tilde{\varphi}(z) = \varphi(z) - E_\lambda \varphi(X)$. Suppose that $g = g_{\lambda, A}$ is constructed to solve the equation

$$\lambda g(z+1) - zg(z) = \tilde{\varphi}(z) = 1_A(z) - P_\lambda(A). \quad (1)$$

Show that the solution of the equation in the last display is given by

$$\begin{aligned} g(z+1) &= \lambda^{-z-1} z! e^\lambda \{P_\lambda(A \cap U_z) - P_\lambda(A)P_\lambda(U_z)\} \\ &= \lambda^{-z-1} z! e^\lambda \{P_\lambda(A \cap U_z)P_\lambda(U_z^c) - P_\lambda(A \cap U_z^c)P_\lambda(U_z)\} \end{aligned}$$

for $z \geq 0$, $z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ where $U_z \equiv \{0, \dots, z\}$.

Solution: With $g(z+1)$ as given in the first line of the last display, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda g(z+1) - zg(z) &= \lambda^{-z} z! e^\lambda \{P_\lambda(A \cap U_z) - P_\lambda(A)P_\lambda(U_z)\} \\ &\quad - \lambda^{-z} z! e^\lambda \{P_\lambda(A \cap U_{z-1}) - P_\lambda(A)P_\lambda(U_{z-1})\} \\ &= \frac{1}{P_\lambda(\{z\})} \{P_\lambda(A \cap U_z) - P_\lambda(A \cap U_{z-1}) - P_\lambda(A)(P_\lambda(U_z) - P_\lambda(U_{z-1}))\} \\ &= \frac{1}{P_\lambda(\{z\})} \{P_\lambda(A \cap \{z\}) - P_\lambda(A)P_\lambda(\{z\})\} \\ &= 1_A(z) - P_\lambda(A) \end{aligned}$$

where the next to last equality holds because $U_z = U_{z-1} + \{z\}$ (disjoint union) and hence

$$\begin{aligned} P_\lambda(U_z) &= P_\lambda(U_{z-1}) + P_\lambda(\{z\}), \quad \text{and} \\ P_\lambda(A \cap U_z) &= P_\lambda(A \cap U_{z-1}) + P_\lambda(A \cap \{z\}). \end{aligned}$$

The second identity follows by writing $P_\lambda(A) = P_\lambda(A \cap U_z) + P_\lambda(A \cap U_z^c)$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} &\{P_\lambda(A \cap U_z) - P_\lambda(A)P_\lambda(U_z)\} \\ &= P_\lambda(A \cap U_z) - \{P_\lambda(A \cap U_z) + P_\lambda(A \cap U_z^c)\}P_\lambda(U_z) \\ &= P_\lambda(A \cap U_z)P_\lambda(U_z^c) - P_\lambda(A \cap U_z^c)P_\lambda(U_z). \end{aligned}$$

5. (Stein's method for Poisson random variables, continued). Suppose that $W_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ where X_1, \dots, X_n are independent, $X_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_i)$. Let $\lambda \equiv \lambda_n = E(W_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$. Use the identity of the previous problem to show that for any set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^+$

$$|P(W \in A) - P_\lambda(A)| \leq \begin{cases} 2 \sup_z |g_{\lambda,A}(z)| \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 \\ \sup_z |g_{\lambda,A}(z+1) - g_{\lambda,A}(z)| \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2. \end{cases}$$

Hint: Use the identity in the previous problem, then note that $E\{X_i g(W)\} = E\{X_i g(W_i + 1)\} = p_i E g(W_i + 1)$ where $W_i = \sum_{j \neq i} X_j$. Furthermore, note that W and W_i are equal unless $X_i = 1$, which occurs with probability p_i .

Solution: This is as in the notes: since the identity $\lambda g(z+1) - z g(z) = 1_A(z) - P_\lambda(A)$ holds for any set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^+$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & P(W \in A) - P_\lambda(A) \\ &= E\{1_A(W) - P_\lambda(W)\} \\ &= E\{\lambda g(W+1) - W g(W)\} \\ &= E\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n [p_i g(W+1) - X_i g(W)]\right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n p_i E\{g(W+1) - g(W_i+1)\} \tag{2} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n p_i E[\{g(W+1) - g(W_i+1)\} 1_{\{X_i=1\}}] \tag{3} \end{aligned}$$

where (2) holds since $E\{X_i g(W)\} = E\{X_i g(W_i + 1)\} = p_i E g(W_i + 1)$ where $W_i \equiv \sum_{j \neq i, j=1}^n$, and (3) holds because $W = W_i$ unless $X_i = 1$, an event with probability p_i . The claimed bounds follow immediately from (3).

6. (Stein's method for Poisson random variables, continued). It is shown in Barbour, Holst, and Janson (1992) that for $g = g_{\lambda,A}$ solving (1), the following bounds hold:

$$\|g\|_\infty \leq \min\{1, \lambda^{-1/2}\}, \quad \|\Delta g\|_\infty \leq \lambda^{-1}(1 - e^{-\lambda}).$$

Use these bounds to show that

$$d_{TV}(P(W_n \in \cdot), P_\lambda) \leq \lambda^{-1}(1 - e^{-\lambda}) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2,$$

which improves substantially on the bound $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2$ established in problem 6, Math/Stat 521 homework set 6, via coupling.

Solution: This follows almost immediately from the preceding problem and the given bound for $\|\Delta g\|$:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{TV}(P_W, P_\lambda) &= \sup_{A \subset \mathbb{Z}^+} |P(W_n \in A) - P_\lambda(A)| \\ &\leq \sup_{A \subset \mathbb{Z}^+} \sup_z |g_{\lambda, A}(z+1) - g_{\lambda, A}(z)| \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 \\ &\leq \lambda^{-1}(1 - e^{-\lambda}) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2. \end{aligned}$$