

Statistics 522, Problem Set 7 Solutions

Wellner; 2/27/2004

1. PfS, page 161, Exercise 4.2.

Solution: (a) When the sampling is done without replacement the joint probability distribution for (X_1, X_2) is as follows:

			X_1		
		1	2	3	
X_2	1	0	2/30	3/30	5/30
	2	2/30	2/30	6/30	10/30
	3	3/30	6/30	6/30	15/30
		5/30	10/30	15/30	1

Hence the marginal distribution of $S = X_1 + X_2$ is given by

k	2	3	4	5	
$P(S = k)$	4/30	8/30	12/30	6/30	1

It is easy to compute the conditional distribution of $Y = X_2$ given S (or given $\mathcal{D} = S^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$): letting $D_j = [S = j]$,

		Y			
		1	2	3	$E(Y \mathcal{D})$
D_3		1/2	1/2	0	3/2
D_4		3/8	2/8	3/8	2
D_5		0	1/2	1/2	5/2
D_6		0	0	1	3
$P(Y = i)$		5/30	10/30	15/30	

Note that

$$P(Y = i|\mathcal{D}) = \sum_{j=3}^6 \frac{P([Y = i] \cap D_j)}{P(D_j)} 1_{D_j}$$

satisfies $P(Y = i) = E\{P(Y = i|\mathcal{D})\}$. Also note that $E(Y) = 7/3$, and

$$E(E(Y|\mathcal{D})) = (3/2)(4/30) + 2(8/30) + (5/2)(12/30) + 3(6/30) = 7/3.$$

2. PfS, page 161, Exercise 4.3.

Solution: (a) With the events C_i as given, we compute the following probability distribution:

i	1	2	3	4
$P(Y = i)$	1/32	2/32	3/32	4/32
$P(Y = i + 4)$	15/32	4/32	1/32	2/32
$P(Y = i \text{ or } i + 4)$	16/32	6/32	4/32	6/32

This results in

$$E(Y) = (1 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 2 + 3 \cdot 3 + 4 \cdot 4 + 5 \cdot 15 + 6 \cdot 4 + 7 \cdot 1 + 8 \cdot 2)/32 = 152/32.$$

(b) With \mathcal{D} as given we compute the conditional probability distribution and conditional expectations to be:

D_i	1	2	3	4
$P(Y = i \mathcal{D})$	1/16	2/6	3/4	4/6
$P(Y = i + 4 \mathcal{D})$	15/16	4/6	1/4	2/6
$E(Y \mathcal{D})$	76/16	28/6	16/4	32/6

This yields

$$E(E(Y|\mathcal{D})) = \frac{76}{16} \cdot \frac{16}{32} + \frac{28}{6} \cdot \frac{6}{32} + \frac{16}{4} \cdot \frac{4}{32} + \frac{32}{6} \cdot \frac{6}{32} = \frac{152}{32} = E(Y).$$

(c) With \mathcal{E} as given, we compute the conditional probability distribution and conditional expectations to be:

i	1	2	3	4
$P(Y = i \mathcal{E})$	1/22	2/22	3/10	4/10
$P(Y = i + 4 \mathcal{E})$	15/22	4/22	1/10	2/10
$P(E_j)$	22/32		10/32	

This yields

$$E(Y|\mathcal{E})(\omega) = \frac{1 + 4 + 75 + 24}{22} = \frac{104}{22} \quad \omega \in E_1,$$

and

$$E(Y|\mathcal{E})(\omega) = \frac{9 + 16 + 7 + 16}{10} = \frac{48}{10} \quad \omega \in E_2,$$

and hence

$$E(E(Y|\mathcal{E})) = \frac{104}{22} \frac{22}{32} + \frac{48}{10} \frac{10}{32} = \frac{152}{32} = E(Y).$$

3. Suppose that $X, Y \in L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ and that $E(Y|X) = X$ a.s. and $E(X|Y) = Y$ a.s.. Prove that $P(X = Y) = 1$.

Solution: Suppose first that $X, Y \in L_2(P)$. Then, by Pythagoras,

$$EX^2 = E(E(X|Y)^2) + E((X - E(X|Y))^2),$$

and since $E(X|Y) = Y$ a.s. this yields

$$E(X^2) = E(Y^2) + E((X - Y)^2). \quad (0.1)$$

Reversing the roles of X and Y , we also obtain, upon using $E(Y|X) = X$ a.s.,

$$E(Y^2) = E(X^2) + E((Y - X)^2). \quad (0.2)$$

Adding (0.1) and (0.2) gives

$$E(X^2) + E(Y^2) = E(X^2) + E(Y^2) + 2E((X - Y)^2),$$

and this implies that $E(X - Y)^2 = 0$, which in turn implies $P(X = Y) = 1$.

Now one way to proceed is to reduce the general case of $X, Y \in L_1(P)$ to the $L_2(P)$ case treated above. Instead I will prove it using the hint.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & E(X - Y)1_{[X > c, Y \leq c]} + E(X - Y)1_{[X \leq c, Y < c]} \\ &= E(X - Y)1_{[Y \leq c]} = E(X1_{[Y \leq c]}) - E(Y1_{[Y \leq c]}) \\ &= E(E(X1_{[Y \leq c]}|Y)) - E(Y1_{[Y \leq c]}) \\ &= E(1_{[Y \leq c]}E(X|Y)) - E(Y1_{[Y \leq c]}) \\ &= E(1_{[Y \leq c]}Y) - E(Y1_{[Y \leq c]}) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (0.3)$$

using $E(X|Y) = Y$ a.s. in the last line. Similarly, reversing the roles of X and Y ,

$$\begin{aligned} & E(Y - X)1_{[Y > c, X \leq c]} + E(Y - X)1_{[Y \leq c, X < c]} \\ &= E(Y - X)1_{[X \leq c]} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (0.4)$$

Adding (0.3) and (0.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= E(X - Y)1_{[X > c, Y \leq c]} + E(X - Y)1_{[X \leq c, Y < c]} \\ &\quad - E(X - Y)1_{[Y > c, X \leq c]} - E(X - Y)1_{[Y \leq c, X < c]} \\ &= E(X - Y)1_{[X > c, Y \leq c]} - E(X - Y)1_{[Y > c, X \leq c]}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $[X - Y > 0] = [X > Y] = \cup_{q \in \mathbf{Q}} [X > q \geq Y]$ and similarly for $[X - Y < 0]$, this yields, by summing over rationals q ,

$$0 = E(X - Y)1_{[X - Y > 0]} - E(X - Y)1_{[X - Y < 0]} = E|X - Y|.$$

But this implies $P(|X - Y| = 0) = 1$, or $P(X = Y) = 1$.

4. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. real random variables with $E|X_1| < \infty$ and let $S_n \equiv X_1 + \dots + X_n$. Let \mathcal{T}_n be the smallest σ -algebra for which S_k are measurable for all $k \geq n$; $\mathcal{T}_n \equiv \sigma\{S_k, k \geq n\}$. Show that $E(X_j|\mathcal{T}_n) = n^{-1}S_n$ a.s. for $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Solution: Note that $\mathcal{T}_n = \sigma\{S_n, S_{n+1}, \dots\} = \sigma\{S_n, X_{n+1}, X_{n+2}, \dots\}$ and that $\sigma\{X_{n+1}, X_{n+2}, \dots\}$ is independent of $\sigma\{X_1, S_n\}$. Thus by Theorem 8.4.1 part (23) it follows that

$$E(X_1|\mathcal{T}_n) = E(X_1|S_n).$$

But with $X_1 \sim F$, for any Borel set B we have

$$\begin{aligned} E\{1_B(S_n)X_1\} &= \int \cdots \int_{s_n \in B} x_1 dF(x_1)dF(x_2) \cdots dF(x_n) \\ &= E\{1_B(S_n)X_2\} = \cdots = E\{1_B(S_n)X_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_1|S_n) &= E(X_2|S_n) = \cdots = E(X_n|S_n) \quad a.s. \\ &= n^{-1}E(X_1 + \cdots + X_n|S_n) = n^{-1}E(S_n|S_n) \\ &= n^{-1}S_n \quad a.s.. \end{aligned}$$

5. Show that if $X \in L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, $Y \in L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, P)$ where \mathcal{G} is a sub- σ field of \mathcal{F} , and $E(X1_G) = E(Y1_G)$ for all G in a $\bar{\pi}$ -system \mathcal{G}_0 generating \mathcal{G} , then the same equality holds for all $G \in \mathcal{G}$, and hence $Y = E(X|\mathcal{G})$.

Hint: use the $\pi - \lambda$ theorem, Pfs, Proposition 1.1.5, page 9.

Solution: As suggested by the hint, one way to do this is via the $\pi - \lambda$ -theorem: Let the $\bar{\pi}$ -system be denoted by \mathcal{G}_0 . Let $\mathcal{H} \equiv \{G \in \mathcal{F} : E(X1_G) = E(Y1_G)\}$. Then $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset \mathcal{H}$. Suppose that $A, B \in \mathcal{H}$ with $A \supset B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E(X1_{A \setminus B}) &= E(X(1_A - 1_B)) = E(X1_A) - E(X1_B) \\ &= E(Y1_A) - E(Y1_B) = E(Y(1_A - 1_B)) = E(Y1_{A \setminus B}) \end{aligned}$$

and hence $A \setminus B \in \mathcal{H}$. Now suppose that $\{A_n\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ with $A_n \nearrow A$. Then $E(X1_{A_n}) = E(Y1_{A_n})$ for each n , and we have both $X1_{A_n} \rightarrow X1_A$, and $Y1_{A_n} \rightarrow Y1_A$, with $|X1_{A_n}| \leq |X|$ and $|Y1_{A_n}| \leq |Y|$ where $X, Y \in L_1$. Hence, by the above equality for each n and the dominated convergence theorem twice,

$$E(X1_A) = \lim_n E(X1_{A_n}) = \lim_n E(Y1_{A_n}) = E(Y1_A).$$

Therefore $A \in \mathcal{H}$. Thus \mathcal{H} is a λ -system. So by the $\pi - \lambda$ -theorem, $\sigma[\mathcal{G}_0] = \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{H}$; i.e. the equality holds for all $G \in \mathcal{G}$.

6. **Extra bonus problem: not required.** Let T be the triangle in \mathbb{R}^2 where $0 \leq y \leq x \leq 1$, so T has vertices $(0, 0)$, $(1, 0)$ and $(1, 1)$. Let P be the uniform distribution on T , having density with respect to planar Lebesgue measure equal to 2 on T and 0 elsewhere. Let (X, Y) have distribution P . Let \mathcal{A} be the smallest σ algebra for which X is measurable. Show that $E(Y|\mathcal{A}) = X/2$ a.s.

Solution: Suppose that $A \in \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{F}(X)$. Then we want to show that

$$E\{1_A Y\} = E\{1_A(X/2)\}.$$

But for $A \in \mathcal{A}$ there is a set $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $X^{-1}(B) = A$ and hence $1_A = 1_B(X)$. Thus we have, via Fubini's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} E\{1_A Y\} &= E\{1_B(X)Y\} = \int_{B \cap [0,1]} \left(\int_{[0,x]} (2y dy) \right) dx \\ &= \int_{B \cap [0,1]} x^2 dx = \int_{B \cap [0,1]} (x/2)(2x) dx = E\{1_B(X)X/2\} \end{aligned}$$

since the marginal density of X is given by $f_X(x) = 2x1_{[0,1]}(x)$.