

Math/Stat 521, Problem Set 1 Solutions

Wellner; 10/5/16

- (a) Suppose that $\{\mathcal{A}_n\}$ is an increasing sequence of algebras, i.e. $\mathcal{A}_n \subset \mathcal{A}_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Show that $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n$ is an algebra.
(b) Suppose that the \mathcal{A}_n of (a) are σ -algebras. Show by constructing a counter-example that $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n$ need not be a σ -algebra.

Solution: (a) If $A \in \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n$, then $A \in \mathcal{A}_m$ for some m , and since \mathcal{A}_m is an algebra, $A^c \in \mathcal{A}_m$. Hence $A^c \in \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n$. If $A, B \in \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n$, then $A \in \mathcal{A}_m$ for some m and $B \in \mathcal{A}_n$ for some n . Without loss we can assume that $m \leq n$, and since $\mathcal{A}_m \subset \mathcal{A}_n$ it follows that $A, B \in \mathcal{A}_n$. Since \mathcal{A}_n is an algebra, it follows that $A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}_n$, and hence that $A \cup B \in \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n$.

(b) Take $\Omega = [0, 1]$. Let $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$, $\mathcal{A}_2 = \sigma[\mathcal{A}_0, [0, 1/2]]$, \dots , $\mathcal{A}_n = \sigma[\mathcal{A}_{n-1}, [0, 1 - 1/n]]$, \dots . Then $\mathcal{A}_n \subset \mathcal{A}_{n+1}$ by construction, but $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n$ is not a sigma field: if we let $A_k = [0, 1 - 1/k]$ for each $k = 1, 2, \dots$, then $A_k \in \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n$ since $A_k \in \mathcal{A}_k$ by construction, but $[0, 1) = \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \notin \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n$.

- Proposition 1.1(b), PfS, page 3: There exists a minimal field, σ -field, or monotone class generated by (or containing) any specified class \mathcal{C} of subsets of Ω .

Solution: By proposition 1.1.1(i), arbitrary intersections of fields, σ -fields, or monotone classes are again fields, σ -fields, or monotone classes. Hence

$$\phi[\mathcal{C}] \equiv \bigcap \{ \mathcal{A}_\alpha : \mathcal{A}_\alpha \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-field of subsets of } \Omega \text{ for which } \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}_\alpha \}$$

is again a field, and it is the smallest such field: if \mathcal{D} is the minimal field containing \mathcal{C} so that $\mathcal{D} \subset \phi[\mathcal{C}]$, then we also have $\phi[\mathcal{C}] \subset \mathcal{D}$ by construction of $\phi[\mathcal{C}]$, and hence $\phi[\mathcal{C}] = \mathcal{D}$. The argument is the same for σ -fields and monotone classes with $\phi[\mathcal{C}]$ replaced by $\sigma[\mathcal{C}]$ and $\text{mon}[\mathcal{C}]$ respectively.

3. PfS, Exercise 1.1.1, PfS, page 4: Let \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 denote two collections of subsets of the set Ω . If $\mathcal{C}_1 \subset \sigma[\mathcal{C}_2]$ and $\mathcal{C}_2 \subset \sigma[\mathcal{C}_1]$, then $\sigma[\mathcal{C}_1] = \sigma[\mathcal{C}_2]$.

Solution: Since $\mathcal{C}_1 \subset \sigma[\mathcal{C}_2]$, it follows immediately that $\sigma[\mathcal{C}_1] \subset \sigma[\sigma[\mathcal{C}_2]] = \sigma[\mathcal{C}_2]$. By a symmetric argument $\sigma[\mathcal{C}_2] \subset \sigma[\mathcal{C}_1]$. Hence $\sigma[\mathcal{C}_2] = \sigma[\mathcal{C}_1]$.

4. PfS, Exercise 1.1.2, PfS, page 8. We always have $\mu(\liminf A_n) \leq \liminf \mu(A_n)$, while $\limsup \mu(A_n) \leq \mu(\limsup A_n)$ if $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$.

Solution: First note that $\liminf A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$ where $B_n = \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$ is \uparrow since $B_n = \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \subset \bigcap_{k=n+1}^{\infty} A_k = B_{n+1}$ for all n . Hence by Proposition 1.2(i),

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\liminf A_n) &= \mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B_n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{m \geq n} \mu(A_m) \\ &= \liminf \mu(A_n) \end{aligned}$$

since $\bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \subset A_m$ for each $m \geq n$ so that

$$\mu(\bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k) \leq \mu(A_m)$$

for each $m \geq n$ and also $\mu(\bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k) \leq \inf_{m \geq n} \mu(A_m)$.

Similarly, $\limsup A_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$ where $B_n = \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k$ is \downarrow since $B_n = \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \supset \bigcup_{k=n+1}^{\infty} A_k = B_{n+1}$. Thus by Proposition 1.1.2(j), if $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\limsup A_n) &= \mu(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B_n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k) \\ &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m \geq n} \mu(A_m) \\ &= \limsup \mu(A_n) \end{aligned}$$

since $\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k \supset A_m$ for each $m \geq n$ so that

$$\mu(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k) \geq \mu(A_m)$$

for each $m \geq n$ and also $\mu(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A_k) \geq \sup_{m \geq n} \mu(A_m)$.

5. PfS(2000), Exercise 9.1.4, page 182; or PfS(2012), Exercise A.1.4, page 428. Suppose that $X_n \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p_n)$ where $np_n \rightarrow \lambda > 0$. Show that

$$P(X_n = k) \rightarrow \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \exp(-\lambda) = P(Y = k)$$

where $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$; this implies that $X_n \rightarrow_d Y$. Can this be strengthened?

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_n = k) &= \binom{n}{k} p_n^k (1 - p_n)^{n-k} \\ &= \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)}{k! n^k} (np_n)^k \left(1 - \frac{np_n}{n}\right)^{n-k} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{k!} \lambda^k e^{-\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

since $(1 + x_n/n)^n \rightarrow e^x$ if $x_n \rightarrow x$. This can be strengthened in several ways as we will see later. In particular, with $Q_n(A) = P(X_n \in A)$ and $P_\lambda(Y \in A)$ for any $A \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$, this pointwise convergence (for each fixed k) implies that $d_{TV}(Q_n, P_\lambda) \equiv (1/2) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |Q_n(\{k\}) - P_\lambda(\{k\})| \rightarrow 0$; this follows from Scheffé's theorem. See Durrett pages 98 and 147 - 151 for a further generalization and strengthening via a coupling argument due to Hodges and Le Cam (1960).

6. Let $I = P(Z \geq 2) = .02275\dots$ where $Z \sim N(0, 1)$. Thus $I = \int h(x)f(x)dx$ there $f(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \exp(-x^2/2)$ is the standard normal density and $h(x) = 1_{[x \geq 2]}$. The basic Monte Carlo estimator is $\hat{I}_1 = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n h(X_i)$ where X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. $N(0, 1)$. Note that most observations are "wasted" in that they are not near the right tail. Now we try again with "importance sampling": let g be the $N(3, 1)$ density. When we sample from g , the corresponding estimator of I is given by $\hat{I}_2 = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i)h(X_i)/g(X_i)$.

(a) Show that for $n = 100$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{I}_1) = p(1 - p)/n = .02275(1 - .02275)/100 = 0.0222\dots/100$$

where $p = I = P(Z \geq 2) = .02275\dots$

(b) Show that for $n = 100$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{I}_2) = n^{-1} \text{Var}_g(f(X)h(X)/g(X)) = .001805\dots/100,$$

and hence the variance has been reduced by a factor of 12.3.

Hint: You may compute

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{h^2(x)f^2(x)/g(x)\} dx$$

numerically in (b).

Solution: (a) \hat{I}_1 is the average of the i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables $h(X_i) = 1_{[X_i \geq 2]}$ with $Eh(X_1) = P(X_1 \geq 2) = .2275 \equiv p$. Since the variance of a Bernoulli(p) random variable is $p(1 - p)$ we find that

$$Var(\hat{I}_1) = n^{-2} \sum_1^n Var(1_{[X_i \geq 2]}) = n^{-1}p(1 - p) = .0222.../100.$$

(b) \hat{I}_2 is the average of the i.i.d. random variables $w(Y_i) = f(Y_i)h(Y_i)/g(Y_i)$ where Y_1, \dots, Y_n are i.i.d. $N(3, 1)$. Thus

$$Var(\hat{I}_1) = n^{-2} \sum_1^n Var(w(Y_i)) = n^{-1}Var(w(Y_1))$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Var_g(w(Y_1)) &= Ew^2(Y_1) - \{Ew(Y_1)\}^2 = Ew^2(Y_1) - I^2 \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{h^2(y)f^2(y)}{g(y)} dy - I^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now since

$$\frac{g(y)}{f(y)} = \frac{\exp(-(y-3)^2/2)}{\exp(-y^2/2)} = \exp(3y - 3^2/2),$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned} Ew^2(Y_1) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{h^2(y)f^2(y)}{g(y)} dy \\ &= \int_2^{\infty} \frac{f(y)}{g(y)} f(y) dy \\ &= \int_2^{\infty} \exp(-3y + 3^2/2) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-y^2/2} dy \\ &= e^{3^2} \int_2^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(y+3)^2\right) dy \\ &= e^9 \int_5^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-z^2/2} dz \\ &= e^9(1 - \Phi(5)) = 0.00232276. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $Var(w(Y_1)) = 0.00232276 - 0.02275^2 = 0.0018052$, which agrees with a direct numerical integration.