

## Statistics 521, Problem Set 4

Wellner; 10/19/2016

### Reading:

Shorack, PfS, Chapter 3, sections 3.1-3.4, pages 37-61;  
Durrett, *Probability*, sections 1.4-1.6, pages 17-36;  
sections 3.1-3.2, pages 94-98.

**Reminder:** Make-up lecture 1: Wednesday 10/26 12:30 - 1:20, Low 106.

**Reminder:** Make-up lecture 2: Wednesday 11/16 12:30 - 1:20, Low 106.

**Reminder:** Midterm exam: Monday, November 14.

**Due:** Wednesday, October 26, 2007.

1. PfS, Exercise 2.3.4, page 32: (a) Suppose that  $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$  and  $g$  is continuous a.e.  $\mu_X$  (that is,  $g$  is continuous except perhaps on a set of  $\mu_X$  measure 0). Then  $X_n \rightarrow_\mu X$  implies that  $g(X_n) \rightarrow_\mu g(X)$ .  
(b) Let  $g$  be uniformly continuous on the real line. Then  $X_n \rightarrow_\mu X$  implies that  $g(X_n) \rightarrow_\mu g(X)$ . (Here  $\mu(\Omega) = \infty$  is allowed.)
2. PfS, Exercise 3.2.1, page 42: Show that  $X \geq 0$  and  $\int X d\mu = 0$  implies  $\mu(\{X > 0\}) = 0$ .
3. PfS, Exercise 3.2.2, page 42: Show that

$$\int_A X d\mu = \begin{cases} = 0, \\ \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{A} \text{ implies } X = \begin{cases} = 0 \text{ a.e.}, \\ \geq 0 \text{ a.e.} \end{cases}$$

4. PfS, Exercise 3.2.4, page 43. Let  $Y \equiv g(X)$  in the context of Theorem 3.2.6 (the “Theorem of the unconscious statistician”). Show that the second equality holds in:

$$\int_{X^{-1}(g^{-1}(B))} g(X(\omega)) d\mu(\omega) = \int_{g^{-1}(B)} g(x) d\mu_X(x) = \int_B y d\mu_Y(y) \quad \text{for } B \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}$$

where  $\mu_Y$  is the induced measure of  $Y$  on  $(\overline{R}, \overline{\mathcal{B}})$ .

5. (i) Pfs, Exercise 3.3, page 45, part (a).  
(ii) Suppose that  $\mu$  is Lebesgue measure on the unit interval  $[0, 1]$  and that  $(a, b) = (0, 1)$  in Exercise 3.3. If  $X(t, \omega) = 1_{[\omega \leq t]}$ , then for each  $t$ ,  $(\partial/\partial t)X(t, \omega) = 0$  almost everywhere. But  $\int X(t, \omega) d\mu(\omega)$  does not differentiate to 0. Why is this not a contradiction?
6. **Bonus problem:** (See Pfs Example 1.1, page 123; Durrett Example 1.2.4.) The Cantor singular distribution function  $F$  is the function  $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined as follows:  $F(x) = 1/2$  for  $x \in (1/3, 2/3)$ ;  $F(x) = 1/4$  for  $x \in (1/9, 2/9)$  and  $F(x) = 3/4$  for  $x \in (7/9, 8/9)$ ; ...;  $F(x) = 1/2^n, 3/2^n, 5/2^n, \dots$  on the successive intervals removed from  $C_{n-1}$  in the construction of  $C_n$ . Thus  $F$  is defined on the open set  $[0, 1] \setminus C$ , is nondecreasing, and has values in  $[0, 1]$ . Extend it to all of  $[0, 1]$  by letting  $F(0) = 0$ , and setting

$$F(x) \equiv \sup\{F(y) : y \in [0, 1] \setminus C \text{ and } y < x\}$$

for  $x \in C$  and  $x \neq 0$ .

- (i) Show that  $F$  is non-decreasing and continuous with  $F(0) = 0$  and  $F(1) = 1$ . Because  $F$  is continuous, its range is all of  $[0, 1]$ .  
(ii) Now the inverse (or quantile) function  $F^{-1}$  of  $F$  defined by

$$F^{-1}(y) \equiv \inf\{x \in [0, 1] : F(x) \geq y\}$$

is one-to-one (injective) and  $F^{-1}([0, 1]) \subset C$ . Show that  $F^{-1}$  is Borel-measurable.

- (iii) Show that the lengths of the “flat spots” in  $F$  sum to 1.