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SUMMARY

Regulatory variation influencing gene expression is a key contributor to phenotypic diversity, both within and between species. Unfortunately, RNA degrades too rapidly to be recovered from fossil remains, limiting functional genomic insights about our extinct hominin relatives. Many Neanderthal sequences survive in modern humans due to ancient hybridization, providing an opportunity to assess their contributions to transcriptional variation and to test hypotheses about regulatory evolution. We developed a flexible Bayesian statistical approach to quantify allele-specific expression (ASE) in complex RNA-seq datasets. We identified widespread expression differences between Neanderthal and modern human alleles, indicating pervasive cis-regulatory impacts of introgression. Brain regions and testes exhibited significant downregulation of Neanderthal alleles relative to other tissues, consistent with natural selection influencing the tissue-specific regulatory landscape. Our study demonstrates that Neanderthal-inherited sequences are not silent remnants of ancient interbreeding but have measurable impacts on gene expression that contribute to variation in modern human phenotypes.

INTRODUCTION

The sequencing of the Neanderthal genome revealed that approximately 2% of the ancestry of each non-African modern human traces to ancient gene flow from Neanderthals (Green et al., 2010; Prüfer et al., 2014). Recent studies have extended this observation by developing approaches to identify Neanderthal-inherited haplotypes in individual modern human genomes from globally diverse populations (Vernot and Akey, 2014; Sankararaman et al., 2014; Vernot et al., 2016). Many of these sequences encompass protein-coding genes and other functional genomic features, raising the intriguing possibility that they may influence variation in modern human traits. Lending support to this hypothesis, several introgressed haplotypes overlap annotated hits from published genome-wide association studies (Sankararaman et al., 2014). Furthermore, a recent analysis of electronic medical records presented evidence that Neanderthal alleles are associated with a range of clinical traits, including depression, actinic keratosis, hypercoagulation, and tobacco use (Simonti et al., 2016). While these studies suggest phenotypes that may be impacted by past hybridization, the functional mechanisms by which these associations arise remain poorly characterized. Regulatory variation influencing gene expression is a key source of phenotypic variation within and between species (King and Wilson, 1975). We thus sought to characterize the functional legacy of ancient gene flow by systematically investigating the contribution of Neanderthal-introgressed sequence to the landscape of modern human cis-regulatory variation.

A powerful approach for detecting and quantifying the impacts of cis-regulatory variation is to test for allelic differences in transcript abundance for individuals heterozygous at transcribed polymorphisms (Yan et al., 2002; Skelly et al., 2009; Figure 1A). By contrasting counts of reads supporting each allele within heterozygous individuals, this approach is less susceptible than expression quantitative trait locus (eQTL) mapping to batch effects and other confounding variables. While sophisticated methods have been developed to detect allele-specific expression (ASE) in a single sample (Skelly et al., 2009), across individuals (van de Geijn et al., 2015), or across tissues (Pirinen et al., 2015), no generalized approach has been developed to combine all information simultaneously. Integrating this information is complicated, however, by the complex structure of large-scale RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) datasets such as Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) Project (GTEx Consortium, 2015), wherein many individuals are sampled across many tissues, alleles vary in frequency, and genes vary in expression level across individuals and tissues. These challenges require a flexible method that capitalizes on the wealth of information contained in such complex data structures. To this end, we developed a Bayesian generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) approach (Figure 1A; STAR Methods) to combine expression data to estimate allele-specific effects, augmenting statistical power by integrating information across multiple individuals and tissues (Figure 1B). Applying this method on a genome-wide scale to the GTEx dataset (214 individuals, 52 tissues)
revealed abundant cis-regulatory effects of Neanderthal-introgressed sequences and evidence of tissue-specific variation in regulatory divergence.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Allele-Specific Expression of Neanderthal-Introgressed Sequences

Within the GTEx dataset, we identified 5,055 transcribed SNPs that tag Neanderthal haplotypes and examined their expression across multiple tissues (tissue) in heterozygous individuals (ind) from the GTEx dataset. Across all samples and tissues, these SNPs comprised a total of 259,338 heterozygous (i.e., one modern human and one Neanderthal-introgressed allele) observations. As a control, we compared these data to 581,124 non-introgressed SNPs in 26,437 genes (52,040,533 total heterozygous observations) across the same set of samples.

Applying our statistical framework to the GTEx data identified 1,236 introgressed SNPs (24.5%) in 767 genes that showed significant ASE at a false discovery rate (FDR) of 10% (Figures 2 and S2). Introgressed SNPs showing significant ASE were significantly enriched for directionally concordant single-tissue eQTL identified in previous GTEx analyses (Fisher’s exact test: OR = 2.51, 95% credible interval [CI] [2.06, 3.06], p < 1 x 10^-10), as were non-introgressed SNPs showing significant ASE (Fisher’s exact test: OR = 2.40, 95% CI [2.36, 2.44], p < 1 x 10^-10). The magnitude of enrichment was greatest at low minor allele frequencies, reflecting the fact that rare variants must have large effects to be called as significant eQTL and are thus more likely to show concordant ASE (Figure S3). Of introgressed SNPs showing concordant ASE and eQTL effects, 80% fall within an eGene whose lowest p value SNP has an alternative allele that matches an Altai Neanderthal allele, supporting the ability of our analysis to tag potential causal regulatory variants of Neanderthal-introgressed origin. Most of the remaining 20% are also expected to be Neanderthal in origin, with mismatches attributable to diversity within and between the introgressing Neanderthal and Altai Neanderthal populations.

We observed that the Neanderthal allele was upregulated for 49.8% and downregulated for 50.2% of SNPs showing significant ASE, indicating no overall directional bias (binomial test: 95% CI [0.469, 0.526], p = 0.887). Notable examples of variants exhibiting extreme ASE include linked SNPs (r^2 = 1.0) rs73236617, rs3924112, rs5743557, and rs5743556 in TLR1, an innate immunity gene previously suggested to be a target of adaptive introgression (Dannemann et al., 2016; Gittelman et al., 2016; Quach et al., 2016; Nédélec et al., 2016; Deschamps et al., 2016). The Neanderthal haplotype is associated with significantly increased expression of TLR1 (rs5743557, binomial GLMM: β = 1.122, 95% CI [0.708, 1.563], p = 5.50 x 10^-9, MAFEUR = 0.221), consistent with recent eQTL results from Dannemann et al. (2016).
Disease-associated Neanderthal regulatory variants furthermore reveal how hybridization contributed to the genomic complexity of modern humans. These include rs950169, a SNP in extracellular matrix protein ADAMTSL3 that is significantly associated with both height (Table 1; Wood et al., 2014) and schizophrenia risk (Table 1; Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, 2014). In the GTEx data, rs950169 shows tissue-wide downregulation of the Neanderthal-introgressed allele (binomial GLMM: $\beta = -0.413$, 95% CI $[-0.463, -0.362]$, $p < 1 \times 10^{-10}$, MAF_{EUR} = 0.273), though a SNP on the same haplotype (rs2135551, $P^2 = 1.0$) shows nearly balanced expression of the two alleles (binomial GLMM: $\beta = -0.431$, 95% CI $[-0.463, -0.362]$, $p < 1 \times 10^{-10}$, MAF_{EUR} = 0.273). This pattern is consistent with a model of splicing regulation proposed by Need et al. (2009), which provides a detailed mechanistic explanation for the observed ASE. Specifically, the Neanderthal allele introduces a splice acceptor site in exon 3 of the ADAMTSL3 gene, which is required for endosomal Toll-like receptor (TLR) signaling and secretion of proinflammatory cytokines by plasmacytoid dendritic cells (Blasius et al., 2010). This example thus adds to growing evidence that Neanderthal introgression contributed to risk of autoimmune disorders (Sankararaman et al., 2014) and innate immune response (Quach et al., 2016; Nédélec et al., 2016).
equalized the frequencies of introgressed and non-introgressed SNPs exhibiting ASE (Figure 4B). This finding sug-

ferences between the proportions of introgressed and non-introgressed SNPs (binomial test [BRNCHA]: \( p = 1.7 \times 10^{-5} \)), indicating significant differences across tissues (Figure 5A; see STAR Methods). Contributing to this result, we observed a striking bias toward downregulation of Neanderthal alleles in the brain and testes (Figure 5A). This observation was robust when limiting the analysis to (1) common variants with derived allele frequency >5% and (2) variants that were also called as eQTL in one or more tissues based on published GTEx data, together suggesting that linked rare variants do not drive the effect (Figure S4). Brain regions had significantly lower expression of Neanderthal alleles (binomial GLMM: \( \beta = -0.0168 \), 95% CI \([-0.0200, -0.0136]\), \( p < 10^{-15} \)) than non-brain tissues, particularly in the neuron-rich cere-

bellum (BRNCHA) and basal ganglia regions (BRNCDT, BRNNCC). Supporting analyses confirmed that this effect was not driven by reference mapping bias (see STAR Methods; Figure S5), as Neanderthal haplotypes of brain-ex-

pressed genes have lower levels of divergence with the human reference genome than genes expressed in other tissues (Figure S6). This level of downregulation is exceptional, as equal-

ized samples of non-introgressed SNPs matched for sample sizes of individuals and tissues showed no such bias (\( p < 1 \times 10^{-3} \)). Further consistent with these data, brain regions including the cerebellum were enriched for significantly down-

regulated compared to significantly upregulated Neanderthal SNPs (binomial test [BRNCHA]: \( p = 1.7 \times 10^{-4} \); Figure 5B; Fig-

ure S7; Table S1). Significant downregulation of introgressed alleles in the brain is particularly remarkable given the previous observation by the GTEx Consortium that brain-expressed genes show less ASE overall, a finding that was attributed to reduced levels of genetic diversity in this gene set (GTEx Consortium, 2015).

One brain-specific gene that exemplifies this pattern of downregulation is NTRK2 (Figure 5D), which encodes a neurotrophic tyrosine receptor kinase that regulates neuron survival and differ-

entiation as well as synapse formation (Nakagawara, 2001). This gene contains a pair of adjacent Neanderthal tag SNPs, heterozy-

gous in 22 individuals, which show strong signatures of down-

regulation (rs138535351, binomial GLMM: \( \beta = -0.542 \), 95% CI \([-0.604, -0.480]\), \( p < 10^{-10} \), MAF\textsubscript{EUR} = 0.037; rs74356179,

### Table 1. Neanderthal-Introgressed Haplotypes Are Associated with Modern Human Phenotypes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tag SNP</th>
<th>( \beta_0 )</th>
<th>ASE p value</th>
<th>Gene Symbol</th>
<th>GWAS p value</th>
<th>Phenotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rs950169</td>
<td>-0.413</td>
<td>(&lt; 1 \times 10^{-10})</td>
<td>ADAMTSL3</td>
<td>6 \times 10^{-23}</td>
<td>height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs72705102</td>
<td>-0.813</td>
<td>(&lt; 1 \times 10^{-10})</td>
<td>CEP72</td>
<td>4 \times 10^{-11}</td>
<td>cystic fibrosis lung function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs3765107</td>
<td>-0.428</td>
<td>(&lt; 1 \times 10^{-10})</td>
<td>SLC15A4</td>
<td>2 \times 10^{-11}</td>
<td>systemic lupus erythematosus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs5744258</td>
<td>0.474</td>
<td>1.89 \times 10^{-9}</td>
<td>IL18</td>
<td>1 \times 10^{-4}</td>
<td>interleukin-18 (IL-18) levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs61854810</td>
<td>-1.504</td>
<td>0.00264</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2 \times 10^{-10}</td>
<td>optic disc size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs22353711</td>
<td>-0.146</td>
<td>0.0127</td>
<td>IRF6</td>
<td>1 \times 10^{-14}</td>
<td>cleft lip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs10418340</td>
<td>-0.103</td>
<td>0.0212</td>
<td>CEP89</td>
<td>5 \times 10^{-11}</td>
<td>serum creatinine levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs35370743</td>
<td>-0.120</td>
<td>7.17 \times 10^{-6}</td>
<td>INTS12</td>
<td>1 \times 10^{-16}</td>
<td>pulmonary function (interaction with smoking)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Negative values of \( \beta_0 \) indicate downregulation of Neanderthal alleles, while positive values indicate upregulation.

30 (Figure 3), resulting in alternative splicing and truncation of the protease and lacunin (PLAC) domain encompassing rs950169.

**Introgressed Variants Are Not Enriched for Regulatory Effects**

Recent theoretical work predicts that Neanderthals suffered a high load of weakly deleterious mutations accumulated during extended population bottlenecks (Juric et al., 2016; Harris and Nielsen, 2016). Assuming additive fitness effects, this mutational burden was estimated to have reduced Neander-
thal fitness by at least 40% compared to modern humans (Harris and Nielsen, 2016). Under this model, deleterious hap-

lotypes introgressed into larger modern human populations would have been subject to strong selection during the first ~20 generations after hybridization—a prediction with growing empirical support from genetic data (Sankararaman et al., 2014, 2016; Vernot et al., 2016; Currat and Excoffier, 2011). Nevertheless, many weakly deleterious variants (\( s < 5 \times 10^{-8} \)) are predicted to persist in present-day human pop-

ulations, with a cumulative impact comparable to that of the Out-of-Africa bottleneck (Harris and Nielsen, 2016). As many of these deleterious mutations presumably influence gene regulation, we hypothesized that Neanderthal-introgressed variants may be enriched for ASE compared to segregating mutations that arose in modern humans. We therefore con-

trasted the proportions of introgressed and non-introgressed variants exhibiting significant ASE, stratifying by derived allele frequency to control for power differences (see STAR Methods). Within frequency bins, we found no significant differ-

ences between the proportions of introgressed and non-introgressed SNPs exhibiting ASE (Figure 4B). This finding sug-


gests that purifying selection after introgression has largely equalized the frequencies of introgressed and non-intro-

gressed regulatory variants with similar magnitudes of allelic effects.

**Brain Sub-regions Show Downregulation of Neanderthal Alleles**

The model for detecting ASE described above effectively aver-

ages information across tissues. To test more fine-scaled reg-

ulatory hypotheses, we therefore extended our mixed model approach to consider all introgressed SNPs together and examine whether the direction of ASE varied among tissues. A model including tissue parameters was favored over a reduced model without this term (\( \text{WAIC} = 150; \chi^2(\text{df} = 51) = 281.3, p < 10^{-10} \)), indicating significant differences across tissues (Figure 5A; see STAR Methods). Contributing to this result, we observed a striking bias toward downregulation of Neanderthal alleles by the GTEx Consortium that brain-expressed genes have lower levels of divergence with the human reference genome than genes expressed in other tissues (Figure 5D), which encodes a neurotrophic tyrosine receptor kinase that regulates neuron survival and differ-

entiation as well as synapse formation (Nakagawara, 2001). This


binomial GLMM: $\beta = -0.554$, 95% CI $[-0.615, -0.493]$, $p < 10^{-10}$, $\text{MAF}_{\text{EUR}} = 0.037$. Mutations and polymorphisms in this gene have been associated with a range of neuropsychiatric and neurological disorders including depression (Juhasz et al., 2011), suicide attempts (Murphy et al., 2011; Kohli et al., 2010), impaired speech and language development (Yeo et al., 2004), severe obesity (Gray et al., 2007), autism (Correia et al., 2010), obsessive-compulsive disorder (Alonso et al., 2008), Alzheimer’s disease (Chen et al., 2008), anorexia nervosa (Ribases et al., 2005), nicotine dependence (Li et al., 2008; Beuten et al., 2007), and pilocytic astrocytoma (Jones et al., 2013). Intriguingly, $NTRK2$ is among a small set of brain-specific genes whose regulatory domains overlap signatures of modern human selective sweeps that occurred after divergence from Neanderthals (Peyrégne et al., 2016).

Morphometric studies of hominin fossils have demonstrated substantial anatomical differences between brains of Neanderthals and modern humans that may be consistent with divergent regulatory evolution targeting this organ. While overall brain size was similar, Neanderthal endocranial capacity was less than that of modern humans when adjusted for body size and size of the visual system (Pearce et al., 2013). Our analysis revealed that downregulation of Neanderthal alleles was especially pronounced in the cerebellum and basal ganglia (Figure 5A). These brain regions have traditionally been associated with motor control and perception, but a broader role in cognitive function—including language processing—and behavior is now appreciated (Booth et al., 2007; Mariën et al., 2014). Intriguingly, the cerebellum has undergone rapid expansion in the great ape lineage (Barton and Venditti, 2014), and modern humans possess

Figure 3. Introgression Introduced Functional Variants with Complex Regulatory Effects
Height and schizophrenia-associated introgression tag SNP (rs950169) in ADAMTSL3 shows evidence of ASE mediated by an effect on splicing regulation. The Neanderthal-introgressed (T) allele of this variant is predicted to increase binding of the SRp55 splicing factor compared to the modern human (C) allele and splice out a portion of exon 30 containing the SNP itself. Patterns of ASE (histograms) are consistent with this model of splicing regulation. The SRp55 consensus motif was obtained from https://cb.utdallas.edu/cgi-bin/tools/ESE3/esefinder.cgi?process=matrices (Liu et al., 1998).
proportionally larger cerebella (greater cerebellum to total brain volume ratio) than did Neanderthals (Hublin et al., 2015).

Neanderthal Alleles Are Downregulated in the Testes

Similar to brain-expressed genes, testis-expressed genes exhibited significant downregulation of Neanderthal alleles relative to genes expressed in other tissues (Figure 5A; binomial GLMM: $\beta = -0.0145$, 95% CI $[-0.0231, -0.0058]$, $p = 0.001$). This pattern was again evident in the excess of significantly down-versus upregulated testis-expressed SNPs (binomial test: $p = 5.5 \times 10^{-4}$; Figures 5B and 5F; Table S1) and is unexpected based on equivalent samples of non-introgressed SNPs ($p = 0.04$). Testis-expressed genes exemplifying the broader pattern of downregulation of Neanderthal alleles include DNALI1 (rs41267319, binomial GLMM: $\beta = 0.476$, 95% CI $[0.519, 0.432]$, $p < 10^{-10}$, $\text{MAFEUR} = 0.055$), which encodes an axonemal dynein protein that functions in the sperm flagella. Highlighting the potential fitness consequences of introgression on testis-expressed genes, altered regulation of this gene set has been shown to contribute to hybrid incompatibility in other species (Turner and Harr, 2014). Consistent with this observation, genes with high expression in the testes are significantly depleted of Neanderthal ancestry, suggesting that purifying selection disproportionately removed Neanderthal haplotypes at these genes following introgression (Sankararaman et al., 2014). This finding is also consistent with the hypothesis that male hybrid individuals may have incurred reduced fertility (Sankararaman et al., 2014, 2016; Currat and Excoffier, 2011).

Tissue-Specific Effects of Individual Introgressed Variants

Of 3,401 introgressed SNPs expressed in more than one tissue, we identified only six SNPs showing strong evidence of tissue heterogeneity of ASE (Bayes factor $|BF| > 10$) and nine additional SNPs showing weak to moderate evidence ($1 < |BF| < 10$). The paucity of SNPs exhibiting large effects is consistent with previous studies showing that cis-regulatory effects are generally consistent across tissues and experimental conditions (Price et al., 2011). While we note that power to detect heterogeneity for any individual SNP may be limited, this finding also implies that the tissue-specific patterns we previously observed are largely attributable to genes differentially expressed among tissues. Indeed, introgressed SNPs with more total read counts in brain than non-brain tissues (i.e., genes differentially expressed in the brain) had lower representation of Neanderthal alleles than other SNPs, even when limiting the analysis to non-brain samples (binomial GLMM: $\beta = 0.0227$, 95% CI $[0.0421, 0.0033]$, $p = 0.022$).

The small set of variants displaying strong effects is nonetheless intriguing. The most extreme example ($|BF| = 3.01 \times 10^9$) is rs746885 (MAF EUR = 0.055) in the apelin receptor gene (APLNR). This variant exhibits strong ASE favoring the Neanderthal allele in brain tissues (binomial GLMM: $\beta = -0.631$, 95% CI $[-0.470, 0.791]$, $p = 1.48 \times 10^{-10}$), but ASE favoring the modern human allele in non-brain tissues (binomial GLMM: $\beta = -0.340$, 95% CI $[-0.491, -0.191]$, $p = 3.06 \times 10^{-5}$). Apelin is a signal peptide that influences several aspects of cardiac, digestive, brain, and vascular function, including regulation of oxygen levels. The peptide and its receptor encoded by APLNR have been implicated in cardiovascular disease (Yu et al., 2014) and regulation of fluid homeostasis (O’Carroll et al., 2013).
Figure 5. The Impact of Neanderthal Introgression on ASE Varies across Tissues

(A) Model estimates of the proportion of reads supporting the Neanderthal allele per tissue (see Table S2 for tissue abbreviations). Tissues with fewer than ten samples are excluded.

(B) Heatmap (upper panel) of SNPs showing significant ASE in the testes or at least one brain region. Purple cells indicate significant upregulation of the Neanderthal allele, while blue cells indicate significant downregulation. The lower panel contrasts the proportions of up- and downregulation for all SNPs with significant ASE in that tissue.

(legend continued on next page)
Models of Tissue-Specific Regulatory Divergence

We propose that downregulation of Neanderthal alleles in brain- and testes-expressed genes may be explained by elevated rates of regulatory divergence affecting these tissues. Regulatory divergence may manifest as gene expression divergence, but expression divergence is not necessary in the case of compensatory co-evolution between cis- and trans-elements of regulatory circuits (Landry et al., 2005). When Neanderthal cis-regulatory elements introgressed into the modern human trans-regulatory background, genes may have failed to be expressed at the same level as in their native regulatory environments (Figure 6). Such epistasis between cis- and trans-acting factors is well documented in nature, can arise rapidly as a consequence of selection on gene regulation, and is known to contribute to hybrid incompatibilities (Mack and Nachman, 2017). We note, however, that the functional epistasis invoked by our model need not affect fitness and is presumably much more common than the fitness epistasis contributing to hybrid dysfunction. While misregulation due to epistasis may also lead to increased expression, decreased expression may be more common, as recently concluded by Guerrero et al. (2016) who found significant downregulation of introgressed genes in nightshade plants. Global downregulation compared to parental strains has also been documented for Drosophila interspecific F1 hybrids (Michalak and Noor, 2003) and was specifically enriched among male reproductive genes, which are known to experience rapid divergence in both sequence and gene expression (Brawand et al., 2011). More recent work on heterospecific introgression in fertile Drosophila found comparable levels of downregulation of autosomal introgressed spermatogenesis-related genes, which were similarly attributed to regulatory incompatibilities (Ferguson et al., 2013). Elevated regulatory divergence in the brain—especially neuron-rich sub-regions—is meanwhile supported by enrichment of human-accelerated conserved non-coding sequences for regulation of genes with neuronal activity (Prabhakar et al., 2006; Capra et al., 2013; Gittelman et al., 2015). Furthermore, modern human genomic regions with signatures of ancient selective sweeps, postdating divergence from Neanderthals, are enriched for regulatory elements of brain-expressed genes (Peyrège et al., 2016).

One alternative model to explain our observations is a bias toward upregulation of brain- and testis-expressed genes in the modern human lineage or downregulation of these genes in the Neanderthal lineage. Human-specific upregulation of brain-expressed genes was indeed suggested in several early comparative studies of gene expression (Cáceres et al., 2003) but is now thought to be an artifact of species-specific microarray probes (Gilad et al., 2006). Furthermore, these studies compared expression in humans to extant non-human primates, such that most of the “human-specific” expression divergence is likely to have been shared with Neanderthals.

A second alternative model involves selection to attenuate the expression of deleterious Neanderthal alleles, for example by purging Neanderthal alleles that confer upregulation of genes expressed in the brain or testes. To assess the evidence for this model, we tested whether Neanderthal haplotypes harboring deleterious introgressed variants showed any bias toward downregulation. We detected no significant association between the maximum (binomial GLMM: $β = -0.00398$, 95% CI $[-0.0122, 0.00422]$, $p = 0.338$) or mean (binomial GLMM: $β = -7.58 \times 10^{-5}$, 95% CI $[-0.0218, 0.0217]$, $p = 0.989$) CADD score (Kircher et al., 2014) of Neanderthal tag SNPs on introgressed haplotypes and the level of downregulation. Neanderthal-introgressed haplotypes possessing missense variants predicted as deleterious with SIFT (Kumar et al., 2009; binomial GLMM: $β = 0.00426$, 95% CI $[-0.0553, 0.0637]$, $p = 0.893$) or PolyPhen2 (Adzhubei et al., 2010; binomial GLMM: $β = -0.0154$, 95% CI $[-0.0774, 0.0466]$, $p = 0.622$) also showed no significant biases toward downregulation.

One phenomenon potentially complicating our interpretations is that some mutations on introgressed haplotypes arose in the modern human lineage subsequent to admixture. If such variants were to confer regulatory effects, these could drive ASE that we would spurious attribute to regulatory substitutions that occurred prior to introgression. Based on coalescent theory and reasonable estimates of demographic parameters, we estimate that these recent mutations comprise only 5%–10% of all differences between the average introgressed and non-introgressed haplotype (see STAR Methods). This result arises from the fact that introgression occurred recently (~50 kya) relative to the time of divergence between modern humans and Neanderthals (~700 kya). The incorporation of a more complex demographic model including recent human population growth should not qualitatively alter this estimate, as levels of individual variation are relatively insensitive to the resulting excess of rare mutations at the population level (Fu et al., 2014).

Neanderthals went extinct approximately 40,000 years ago, yet much of their DNA lives on in the genomes of modern humans. Our study demonstrates that many of these sequences are functionally significant, contributing to genome complexity and patterns of gene expression variation in modern humans. Together, these data provide the first functional genomic evidence that divergence in the regulatory architecture of modern humans and Neanderthals varied across tissues, with implications for phenotypes that may have distinguished our species.

(C) Tissue-specific expression-weighted levels of nonsynonymous divergence per site between the human reference and Altai Neanderthal genomes. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.

(D) Expression of NTRK2 (probe A_23_P216779), a brain-specific gene exemplifying the pattern of downregulation of Neanderthal-introgessed alleles. Sagittal (top) and coronal (middle) views of NTRK2 expression profile from donor H0351.2002 in the Allen Human Brain Atlas (Hawrylycz et al., 2012). NTRK2 is broadly expressed across brain tissues, with somewhat elevated expression in the basal ganglia and reduced expression in the cerebellar cortex, as indicated by expression Z-scores across samples from different brain tissues. Histograms (lower panel) demonstrate that Neanderthal haplotype-tagging SNPs in NTRK2 exhibit allele-specific expression biased against the introgressed allele. See also Figures S4, S5, S6, and S7, and Tables S1 and S2.
All results generated by our study can be accessed through an interactive web application that facilitates visualization of ASE patterns at individual loci: https://neanderthal-ase.shinyapps.io/neanderthal_ase. This resource should be useful for experimental studies seeking to map the causal variants underlying these signals as well as further investigation of evolutionary mechanisms driving tissue-specific patterns.
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<td>Vernot et al., 2016</td>
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<td>GENCODE v19 gene annotations</td>
<td>Harrow et al., 2012</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>GWAS Central</td>
<td>Beck et al., 2014</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHGRI-EBI Catalog of published GWAS</td>
<td>Welter et al., 2014</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/">https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined Annotation Dependent Depletion (CADD) scores</td>
<td>Kircher et al., 2014</td>
<td><a href="http://cadd.gs.washington.edu">http://cadd.gs.washington.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen Human Brain Expression Data</td>
<td>Hawrylycz et al., 2012</td>
<td><a href="http://human.brain-map.org/static/brainexplorer">http://human.brain-map.org/static/brainexplorer</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASE results for introgressed SNPs</td>
<td>This paper</td>
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</tr>
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Software and Algorithms

| Analysis scripts | This paper | https://github.com/rmccoy7541/neanderthal_ase |
| TopHat           | Kim et al., 2013 | https://ccb.jhu.edu/software/tophat/index.shtml |
| GATK            | McKenna et al., 2010 | https://software.broadinstitute.org/gatk/ |
| ASEReadCounter tool | Castel et al., 2015 | https://software.broadinstitute.org/gatk/gatkdocs/org_broadinstitute_gatk_tools_walkers_maseq_ASEReadCounter.php |
| R-INLA package   | Rue et al., 2009 | http://www.r-inla.org |
| SNAP Proxy Search | Johnson et al., 2008 | http://archive.broadinstitute.org/mpg/snap/lodsearch.php |
| SIFT            | Kumar et al., 2009 | http://sift.jcvi.org |
| PolyPhen2        | Adzhubei et al., 2010 | http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/ |

CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources should be directed to and will be fulfilled by Lead Contact Joshua Akey (akeyj@uw.edu).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

All analyses were performed using published RNA-seq data obtained from GTEx Consortium (v6; phs000424.v6.p1), which derive from 53 tissues from 544 deceased individuals, 449 of whom were also genotyped to facilitate eQTL analysis. Information about the donors (gender, ethnicity, age, cause of death) can be found at http://www.gtexportal.org/home/tissueSummaryPage#donorInfo. The donor enrollment, biospecimen acquisition, and the consent process is thoroughly described in Lonsdale et al. (2013) and at https://biospecimens.cancer.gov/resources/sops/library.asp.

METHOD DETAILS

Overview of GTEx RNA-seq data

RNA-seq data were collected and processed as part of the GTEx Project (GTEx Consortium, 2015; Version 6; dbGaP: phs000424.v6.p1). The GTEx Consortium aligned data to the human genome (hg19) using TopHat (Kim et al., 2013) based on GENCODE (Harrow et al., 2012; V19) reference annotations of 57,820 transcribed genes. They then used the GATK (McKenna et al., 2010; v3.4) ASEReadCounter tool (Castel et al., 2015) to tabulate reads supporting each allele at heterozygous sites which were identified based on separate exome sequencing. As reference allele bias has the potential to confound analysis of ASE (Degner et al., 2009), we undertook multiple steps to filter potentially biased sites in accordance with best practices (Castel et al., 2015), as
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well as confirming the robustness of key results in light of possible residual biases (see below). We further required at least 10 total reads per site per sample and between 10% and 90% of reads supporting the alternative allele (i.e., 10%–90% reference ratio). This step eliminates the contribution of erroneous heterozygous genotypes to false ASE signal, but has the side effect of filtering true cases of monoallelic expression (Castel et al., 2015).

### Identifying Neanderthal-introgressed haplotypes
Neanderthal-introgressed haplotypes were previously identified (Vernot et al., 2014; Vernot et al., 2016) using the $S^*$ approach (Plagnol and Wall, 2006), which detects putative introgressed sequences based on extreme divergence from the human reference and high identity to the Neanderthal reference genome. Tag SNPs were then defined as the set of SNPs that maximized $S^*$ on an individual haplotype that was later classified as putatively introgressed from Neanderthals (Vernot et al., 2014; Vernot et al., 2016). $S^*$ is a dynamic programming algorithm that enriches for archaic haplotypes before comparing to an archaic genome (Plagnol and Wall, 2006). $S^*$-maximizing SNPs are thus SNPs that define highly divergent haplotypes not present in the African reference population. An $S^*$ value for an individual in a 50 Kb window is considered significant if it is in the 99th percentile based on simulations. Putative Neanderthal-introgressed haplotypes are the set of $S^*$ significant haplotypes, bounded by the furthest $S^*$-maximizing SNPs that have a significant match to the Neanderthal genome (Vernot et al., 2014; Vernot et al., 2016).

We restricted analysis to the RNA-seq read counts from individuals whose self-reported race was white. We note that these individuals also cluster together when applying principal component analysis (PCA) to a genotype matrix of all samples, indicating that the grouping is biologically meaningful in this case. This subsample was chosen on the basis that 1) Neanderthal ancestry is limited to non-African individuals, 2) introgressed haplotypes and the SNPs tagging those haplotypes were discovered in an ethnically similar population (Vernot et al., 2014; Vernot et al., 2016), and 3) the subsample comprises more than half of the total number of individuals in the GTEx dataset. Of 139,694 SNPs (genic and non-genic) meeting the initial tag-SNP criteria, 5674 SNPs within a cohesively similar population (Vernot et al., 2014; Vernot et al., 2016), and high identity to the Neanderthal reference genome. Tag SNPs were then defined as the set of SNPs that maximized $S^*$ on an individual haplotype that was later classified as putatively introgressed from Neanderthals (Vernot et al., 2014; Vernot et al., 2016). $S^*$-maximizing SNPs are thus SNPs that define highly divergent haplotypes not present in the African reference population. An $S^*$ value for an individual in a 50 Kb window is considered significant if it is in the 99th percentile based on simulations. Putative Neanderthal-introgressed haplotypes are the set of $S^*$ significant haplotypes, bounded by the furthest $S^*$-maximizing SNPs that have a significant match to the Neanderthal genome (Vernot et al., 2014; Vernot et al., 2016).

To further refine the set of tag SNPs, we used the 1000 Genomes data (1000 Genomes Project Consortium, 2012) to require that the alternative allele at each SNP be nearly fixed (frequency > 0.9) on Neanderthal-introgressed haplotypes and nearly absent (frequency < 0.1) on non-introgressed haplotypes or vice versa (Figure S1), reducing the set to 5055 tag SNPs in 2034 unique genes and unannotated transcribed regions were observed among the sequences in the filtered GTEx dataset.

To further refine the set of tag SNPs, we used the 1000 Genomes data (1000 Genomes Project Consortium, 2012) to require that the alternative allele at each SNP be nearly fixed (frequency > 0.9) on Neanderthal-introgressed haplotypes and nearly absent (frequency < 0.1) on non-introgressed haplotypes or vice versa (Figure S1), reducing the set to 5055 tag SNPs in 2034 unique genes and unannotated regions. All other SNPs were considered non-introgressed.

### Estimating the proportion of recent mutations
Suppose we have identified an individual heterozygous for an introgressed haplotype. We wish to estimate the proportion of variants on the Neanderthal haplotype that arose more recently than introgression, as these are variants that arose in the modern human population. We use a coalescent argument in a simplified demographic model in which introgression occurred $t_{GF}$ coalescent time units ago, and Neanderthals and modern humans share a common ancestor $t_N$ coalescent time units ago. Given that the two haplotypes coalesce $T$ time units ago (n.b. $T > t_N$ by assumption that the individual is heterozygous for a Neanderthal haplotype), the proportion of variants that arose on the Neanderthal haplotype in the human lineage is simply $t_{GF} / T$. We then need to integrate over all possible $T$, noting that

$$
E\left(\frac{t_{GF}}{T}\right) = \frac{t_{GF}}{E(T)} \cdot \frac{t_{GF}}{E(T)} \cdot \text{Var}(T)
$$

after expanding in a Taylor series about $E(T)$. Under the standard coalescent model, $T = t_N + T_{\text{THN}}$ where $T_{\text{THN}}$ the waiting time for coalescence in the modern human-Neanderthal ancestral population, is distributed exponentially with rate 1. Hence, $E(T) = t_N + 1$ and $\text{Var}(T) = 1$. So, the expected proportion of variants that arose more recently than introgression is approximately

$$
\frac{t_{GF}}{t_N + 1} + \frac{t_{GF}}{(t_N + 1)^2}
$$

which is expected to be accurate for $t_{GF} < t_N$. Taking $t_{GF}$ to be between 50,000 and 100,000 years ago, $t_N$ to be between 500,000 and 800,000 years ago, an effective population size of 10,000, and a generation time of 25 years, we estimate that approximately 4.5 to 10% of the mutations arose in the modern human population.

### Generation of figures
Graphs were generated using the ggplot2 (Wickham, 2009) and gplots packages in R.

### QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

#### Statistical model to detect ASE

We developed a Bayesian generalized linear mixed model approach to quantify ASE based on RNA-seq read counts. The model was implemented using the INLA package (Rue et al., 2009) in the R statistical computing environment (R Core Team, 2015). For the
individual SNP analyses, data were subset by SNP and a binomial mixed model was fit with counts of reference and non-reference reads as the outcome variable and random effects of tissue (α_t) and subject (γ_i): logit(p_{it}) = β_0 + α_t + γ_i, where p_{it} is the proportion of reads supporting the alternative allele in individual i and tissue t. The posterior probability distribution of the intercept term (β_0) was used to quantify the degree of ASE. Credible intervals were estimated by taking empirical quantiles of these distributions, and two-tailed posterior predictive p values were calculated based on the areas in the tail more extreme than the null alternative allele ratio. This null ratio (0.4896) was based on the median across all observations (introgressed and non-introgressed) in the filtered dataset. Based on these p values, we used the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995) to control the FDR at 10%. Results for a range of FDRs are presented in Figure S2.

When comparing the proportions of SNPs with significantly up- versus downregulated Neanderthal alleles within tissues (Figure S2), we did not use the FDR procedure, but instead considered variants significant if a 95% credible interval of the marginal posterior distribution of the intercept term did not include the null alternative allele ratio. Direction was then assessed by considering whether the Neanderthal allele matched the reference or alternative allele at each SNP.

**Evaluating the method using simulation**

To evaluate the sensitivity and specificity of our statistical method, we simulated alternative allele read counts by sampling from the total read counts in the observed data. This approach maintains important structure of the data: sample sizes of individuals, sample sizes of tissues, allele frequencies, and gene expression patterns. We performed simulation under two different distributions of allelic effects (proportion of alternative alleles), rescaled to the interval (0.5, 1): a gamma distribution (shape and scale parameters of 1) and a uniform distribution. While the true distribution of effect sizes is unknown, we suspect that it more closely resembles the gamma distribution, with many regulatory variants of small effect. We then logit transformed these effect sizes and added tissue-specific, individual-specific, and random noise, all of which were sampled from a normal distribution (mean of 0 and standard deviation of 0.1). We also simulated an equal number of SNPs with null effects (proportion of alternative alleles = 0.5), again adding tissue-specific, individual-specific and random noise to these observations. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves (Figure 1B) were calculated by combining the null SNPs with the effect SNPs and determining the true positive and false positive rates at various thresholds of the posterior predictive p values.

**Comparison of ASE results to eQTL data**

Overlaps between ASE and eQTL effects were assessed using published single-tissue eQTL data obtained from the GTEx Portal (http://gtexportal.org/static/datasets/gtex_analysis_v6/single_tissue_eqtl_data/GTEx_Analysis_V6_eQTLs.tar.gz). A 2x2 Fisher’s Exact test was used to evaluate the proportion of introgressed SNPs with/without significant ASE with/without significant eQTL effects in the same gene and same direction in one or more tissues. This analysis was then repeated for non-introgressed SNPs and stratifying into 5% bins of European minor allele frequency (Figure S3).

Significant eQTL were defined according to thresholds described by the GTEx Consortium (http://www.gtexportal.org/static/doc/analysis/Portal_Analysis_Methods_v6_08182016.pdf). Briefly, within tissues, genes with significant eQTL (“eGenes”) were identified by permuting sample labels for gene expression matrix data. For each permuted set, the minimum nominal p value for all SNPs in a cis- window (±1 Mb from the transcription start site) of a given gene was used as a test statistic to produce an empirical distribution. The observed minimum p value was compared to this distribution to produce an empirical p value. These empirical p values were then used to control the FDR at 5% using the method of Storey (2003). To define significant eQTL within eGenes, a permutation threshold was then defined as the empirical p value of the gene falling on the q value = 0.05 threshold. For each eGene, a gene-specific nominal p value threshold was calculated as the minimum p value from the permutations that corresponded to this permutation threshold. SNPs with nominal p values less than or equal to the gene-specific nominal p value threshold were defined as significant eQTL of that eGene.

**Contrasting ASE at non-introgressed SNPs**

At an FDR of 10%, a total of 1236 introgressed SNPs (24.5%) In 767 genes showed significant ASE (Figure 2; Figure S2). In comparison, 161,590 non-introgressed SNPs (27.8%) in 19,955 genes showed significant ASE at the same FDR. We restricted analysis to the 526,000 biallelic SNPs (4964 introgressed and 521,036 non-introgressed) for which the ancestral state was confidently inferred by the 1000 Genomes Project (1000 Genomes Project Consortium, 2012) and matched either the reference or alternative allele. Comparing comparison between introgressed and non-introgressed SNPs, power to detect ASE is a function of the number of heterozygotes.

We thus repeated the calculation of the proportion of SNPs showing significant ASE, stratifying by derived allele frequency in bins of 1% (Figure 4A). Frequencies were based on the European (EUR) individuals in the 1000 Genomes Project, Phase 3 and polarized based on the ancestral allele recorded in the same VCF files. As expected, the proportion of SNPs showing significant ASE was highest at intermediate derived allele frequencies (where the number of heterozygotes is maximized). To assess differences within bins, we used the following procedure. Suppose that the true proportion of introgressed SNPs showing ASE is p_{ii}, while the true proportion of non-introgressed SNPs showing ASE is p_{in}. The total number of introgressed and non-introgressed SNPs are denoted by N_i and
\( N_H \), respectively. The number of introgressed and non-introgressed SNPs in our dataset observed to show significant ASE at 10% FDR (\( Y_N \) and \( Y_H \), respectively) are then drawn from binomial distributions:

\[
Y_N \mid \rho_N \sim \text{Binomial}(N_N, \rho_N)
\]

\[
Y_H \mid \rho_H \sim \text{Binomial}(N_H, \rho_H).
\]

Assuming uniform priors on \( \rho_N \) and \( \rho_H \), we have:

\[
\rho_N \mid Y_N \sim \text{Beta}(Y_N + 1, N_N - Y_N + 1)
\]

\[
\rho_H \mid Y_H \sim \text{Beta}(Y_H + 1, N_H - Y_H + 1).
\]

For each frequency bin, we then simulated 100,000 draws from each of these distributions to form the distribution of \( \rho_N - \rho_H \), taking empirical 2.5% and 97.5% quantiles of this distribution to form credible intervals (Figure 4B). We note that while the FDR (10% overall) may vary across frequency bins, it is not expected to differ between introgressed and non-introgressed SNPs within frequency bins.

**Overlap with GWAS data**

Intersection with GWAS results was conducted by first identifying all SNPs in strong linkage disequilibrium with Neanderthal introgression tag-SNPs that showed significant ASE at 10% FDR. This step was implemented using the SNAP Proxy Search tool (Johnson et al., 2008), extracting all SNPs with pairwise \( r^2 > 0.8 \) based on European populations from the 1000 Genomes Pilot dataset. We excluded SNPs that had a frequency greater than 5% in any African population from the 1000 Genomes dataset, then queried the GWAS Central (Beck et al., 2014) and NHGRI-EBI Catalog of Published GWAS (Welter et al., 2014) for overlaps with this remaining set.

**Models to test differences across tissues**

The binomial mixed model approach was extended to test for associations between the direction of ASE and various fixed effect predictors, such as tissue. Rather than subsetting by SNP, we included all SNPs together and added a random effect of gene \( (g) \) to the model including the random effect of tissue \( (t) \) and converted the random effect of tissue \( (\beta) \) to a fixed effect \( (\beta_t) \): logit(\( p_d \)) = \( \beta_0 + \beta_t + \gamma_t + \gamma_g \). We then compared this model to a model without tissue parameters using the Watanabe-Akaike information criterion (WAIC; Watanabe, 2010; Gelman et al., 2014), thereby assessing the significance of the tissue parameters. We also fit the same model using frequentist methods with lme4 (Bates et al., 2015) and compared them with a likelihood ratio test.

To further examine the robustness of downregulation of brain- and testis-expressed Neanderthal-introgressed alleles, we fit GLMMs to all introgressed SNPs with binary variables indicating whether the tissue was brain/non-brain \( (\beta_b) \) or testis/non-testis \( (\beta_t) \). We then compared the relative regression coefficient estimates \( (\beta_b \text{ and } \beta_t, \text{ respectively}) \) to those obtained from an equal sized control samples of non-introgressed SNPs matched on number of subjects, number of tissues, and number of genes. Covariate matching was implemented using the Matching package (Sekhon, 2011) in R. This procedure was repeated a sufficient number of times to achieve accurate empirical \( p \) value estimates to one significant digit. Empirical \( p \) values were obtained by taking the proportion of matched samples of non-introgressed SNPs with coefficient estimates as extreme or more extreme than that estimated for introgressed SNPs.

**Measuring divergence across tissues**

To understand how divergence varied across tissues expressed in different tissues, we calculated mean sequence divergence between the Neanderthal and human reference gene sequences, weighting by corresponding gene expression in each tissue. Expression was defined as the median RPKM across individuals, taken from summary data available in the GTEx Portal (http://www.gtexportal.org/static/datasets/gtex_analysis_v6/rna_seq_data/GTEx_Analysis_v6_RNA-seq_RNA-SeQCv1.1.8_gene_median_rpkm.gct.gz). Divergent sites were defined by considering all genomic positions where the Altai Neanderthal is homozygous for an allele that is at less than 2% frequency in African modern human populations (based on continental frequencies recorded in the Altai Neanderthal VCFs). We applied minimal quality filters to the Altai variants (map35_50%; https://bioinf.eva.mpg.de/altai_minimal_filters/) and limited the analysis to the 2034 expressed genes harboring Neanderthal tag SNPs (i.e., the data underlying all previous analyses).

**Individual SNPs exhibiting tissue-specific ASE**

In addition to detecting overall differences in patterns of ASE across tissues, we were interested in identifying individual SNPs that showed tissue-specific effects. To this end, we tested for each SNP whether a model including tissue as a fixed effect \( (M_1: \logit(p_d) = \beta_0 + \beta_t + \gamma_t) \) fit the data significantly better than a reduced model without this term \( (M_0: \logit(p_d) = \beta_0 + \gamma) \), where \( \rho_t \) is the proportion of
reads supporting the alternative allele in individual $i$ and tissue $t$ and $\gamma_i$ is the random effect of individual. We then compared the likelihoods of the nested models by calculating a Bayes factor ($BF$):\

$$BF_{1,0} = \frac{p(\text{data } | M1)}{p(\text{data } | M0)}$$

We considered SNPs with $BF > 10$ as showing strong evidence of tissue heterogeneity in ASE.

**Accounting for reference mapping bias**

Reads originating from alleles that match the reference genome preferentially map to that reference (Degner et al., 2009). This phenomenon is amplified by sequencing error and may confound analyses of ASE by generating an allelic bias that is technical rather than biological in origin. While some studies have argued that this confounding effect is limited (Panousis et al., 2014), analyses involving introgression may especially susceptible because introgressed haplotypes are more divergent from the reference genome than are alternative haplotypes of intraspecific origin. We thus undertook several steps to mitigate this effect:

1) We restricted the analysis to high quality tag SNPs (Vernot et al., 2014; Vernot et al., 2016).
2) We removed SNPs in regions of low mappability (ENCOD 50-mer mappability score < 1). Mappability reflects the uniqueness of a genomic region. Repetitive regions of low mappability can cause false mapping and inaccurate quantitation of read originating from a given genomic location. This effect may be magnified by attempting to map divergent introgressed haplotypes (which may themselves be repetitive) to these regions.
3) We removed SNPs that showed mapping bias in simulation (Panousis et al., 2014; http://jungle.unige.ch/~lappalainen/EUR01_50bp_result_stats_05bias_fixed.vcf.gz). Previous work demonstrated that this reduces the proportion of sites with strong bias by approximately 50% (Castel et al., 2015).
4) We adjusted the null alternative allele ratio to the median across all sites (0.4896). This accounts for the modest mapping bias that remains after removing known biased sites.

Further supporting the robustness of our results, we detected no consequential effect of sequence divergence on tissue-specific patterns of downregulation, indicating that the impact of reference bias on this analysis is minimal.

**Correlation in ASE among linked SNPs**

The presence of multiple SNPs tagging a single introgressed haplotype may amplify apparent directional patterns of ASE in particular tissues. The previously described mixed model approach (Figure 5A) accounts for this issue by including a random effect of gene and thus combining across SNPs within genes. We also examined the robustness of comparisons between counts of significantly up- and downregulated SNPs to linkage among SNPs within genes by randomly sampling one SNP per gene and repeating the calculation of these proportions. As expected, the signal is reduced upon accounting for correlated effects of SNPs within genes in this manner, yet brain tissues and testis both remain enriched for SNPs with down- versus upregulation of Neanderthal-introgressed alleles (Figure S7).

**DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY**

Raw GTEx v6 data are available through dbGaP (dbGaP: phs000424.v6.p1) and summarized data are available through the GTEx Portal: http://www.gtexportal.org/home. Analysis scripts are posted on GitHub: https://github.com/rmccoy7541/neanderthal_ase. ASE results for all introgressed variants are freely available through our interactive browser: https://neanderthal-ase.shinyapps.io/neanderthal_ase/.
Figure S1. Minor Allele Frequencies of Expressed Neanderthal-Introgressed Haplotype-Tagging SNPs on and off of Called Introgressed Haplotypes, Related to STAR Methods

SNPs defining significant S* Neanderthal haplotypes and matching the Altai Neanderthal allele were further filtered based on the criteria that the Neanderthal allele be observed at > 90% frequency on Neanderthal haplotypes and < 10% frequency off of these haplotypes or vice versa (European samples in the 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 dataset).
Figure S2. Proportion of Neanderthal SNPs with Significant ASE as a Function of FDR Threshold, Related to Figure 2
The gray dashed line indicates the FDR $\leq 10\%$ threshold.
Figure S3. Enrichment of SNPs Showing Significant ASE for Directionally Concordant Single-Tissue eQTL, Related to STAR Methods
Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals. Blue points indicate introgressed SNPs, while green points indicate non-introgressed SNPs. Enrichment was evaluated within 5% European minor allele frequency bins using a $2 \times 2$ Fisher's Exact Test, comparing tested SNPs with significant ASE to tested SNPs without significant ASE.
Figure S4. Model Estimates of the Proportion of Reads Supporting the Neanderthal Allele per Tissue, Subsetting Data into Relevant Groups, Related to Figure 5

Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.

(A) The full dataset of introgressed SNPs, equivalent to Figure 5A. This panel sets the order for the remaining panels.

(B) Rare introgressed variants with European derived allele frequency less than or equal to 5%.

(C) Common introgressed variants with European derived allele frequency greater than 5%.

(D) The subset of introgressed SNPs that show significant single-tissue eQTL effects for the same gene based on published GTEx data.
Figure S5. Model Estimates of the Proportion of Reads Supporting the Neanderthal Allele per Tissue, Related to Figure 5
Analogous to Figure 5A, but including Neanderthal-modern human sequence divergence per gene as a covariate in the GLMM.
Figure S6. Per-Tissue Rate of Modern Human-Neanderthal Sequence Divergence, Related to Figure 5
Error bars indicate 2.5% and 97.5% empirical quantiles of bootstrap distributions.
Figure S7. Proportions of Significantly Up- and Downregulated SNPs per Tissue, Related to Figure 5

One SNP is sampled per gene to account for correlation among linked SNPs. Brain and testis tissues are enriched for downregulated compared to upregulated SNPs.