A COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR THE CALCULATION OF TANDEM ACCELERATOR BEAM TUBE OPTICS*

J. G. CRAMER

Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, U.S.A.

Received 25 January 1968

A FORTAN II computer program for the calculation of particle trajectories through inclined-field or straight accelerating tubes of the type commonly used in Tandem Van de Graaff accelerators is described. Details of the program and results of tube optics calculations are discussed.

Accelerator tubes are one of the most expensive and vulnerable parts of a tandem accelerator. In an accelerator using "straight" tubes, i.e., tubes with electrode surface perpendicular to the direction of acceleration, a faulty acceleration gap can be shorted out without greatly impairing the operation of the accelerator. However, with the introduction of inclined-field tubes¹) by High Voltage Engineering Corporation, the shorting of a single accelerator gap can radically alter the trajectory of particles through the accelerator and seriously damage the performance of the machine. Moreover, many considerations of accelerator development and improvement require some detailed information on the optics of the accelerator. Such information is not, unfortunately, provided by the manufacturer. For these reasons, an elementary computer program has been written in FORTRAN II for the computation of particle trajectories through inclined (or straight) acceleration tubes, as well as other optical elements in an accelerator system.

In the writing of this program, it was first necessary to derive the transfer equations which apply to electrostatic inclined-field accelerating tubes. This was accomplished by considering the non-relativistic equations for a non-inclined acceleration gap, and then performing a rotation of the coordinate system from the field axis to the tube axis. The transfer equations given below are the result of this derivation.

The equations for the position and inclination (x_1, \dot{x}_1) of a single charged particle emerging from an accelerating gap, in terms of the entrance position and inclination (x_0, \dot{x}_0) , the potentials V_0 and V_1 of the entrance and exit acceleration electrodes, the length L of the gap, and the inclination angle θ of the electric field in the accelerating gap, are:

$$x_1 = x_0 + L[\{2\dot{x}_0'/(1+R)\} + \tan\theta],$$

$$\dot{x}_1 = (\dot{x}_0'\cos\theta + R\sin\theta)/(R\cos\theta - \dot{x}_0'\sin\theta),$$

where

 $\dot{x}_0' = (\dot{x}_0 \cos\theta - \sin\theta) / (\dot{x}_0 \sin\theta + \cos\theta) =$

= particle inclination with respect to field,

$$\mathbf{R} = (V_1/V_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Here we have used the convention that all potentials are referred to a zero potential at which the charged particle has zero velocity. Thus R above represents the ratio of exit to entrance velocity. Unfortunately, these equations are non-linear, so that the usual matrix methods²) can not be used. This non-linearity does not present any serious problems in the calculation, as examination of the program will show.

Several approximations were used in preparing the computer program, and they are enumerated here. The first was the assumption of non-relativistic kinematics. The principal justification for this assumption is that the uncertainties in tube and resistor construction introduce errors of such a magnitude that the refinement of relativistic mechanics is not warranted. A second assumption was that all magnetic fields due to electron-suppression magnets, etc., could be neglected. A third assumption was that the particle in passing through each acceleration gap covers a distance along the tube axis equal to the axial gap width. This is not quite true because inclined field gaps have slanting boundaries, so that if a particle enters low and exits high it may traverse more (or less) than one gap width between boundaries. However, errors due to this assumption will tend to average out. A fourth assumption is that of the aperture lens formula given by eq. (5-20) of Livingston and Blewett³). This formula is applied to describe the lens action which occurs when a particle passes from one gap to another and the two gaps have different electric fields. Finally, a gap which is a transition element between a straight section and an inclined field section is treated as having half the inclination of the inclined field section.

* Work was supported in part by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

i u	CH:	Sento	1: •	ST#CR1#1	81=CR18.	A#≠CP1w	LO-LP. X	1=HT1W .	
ļř	CRIMAN	51.1	• . (44. 14.		MPTICS C			
2	2	ç		ANDCH 0					FD 1844
=	4	C C)	(D(1) 15	THE INITI	TAL ENERG	E OF THE R	CCELERA CAM WIT	H THE Z AXIS
5 2	5	c c	L,	JV 15 f∺ ((1)15 T	E NORMAL ME INITIA	VƏLTAGE I NU POSITI	RISE PER S IN MF THE	ECTION GEAM	
	2	c ·	2	SC AND	XDSL ARE	SCALE FAI	TOPS USED	IN PLB	TTING OUT THE RESULTS
÷	9	c	,	IELEM IS	THE TYPE	OF TURE	ELEMENT		
2	10	C C		=0	FOR END C	AED FIEL	0 SECTION		
-	12	c c		P	1=GAP W11 2=V0L1AG8	DIH PER S PISE PE	LCIION R Section/	υV	
•	14	č		۹ د ب	3-INCLINA	TURE SE	E		
÷.	16	č		۳ - ~	1=LENGTH	HER SECT	100		
-	11	c		- 3 P	1≃DEFLEC	LION ANGL	E(RADJAMS)	PER SE	CTION
*	19	c c		=4 P	FOR LENS '1=⊦bCAL l	LNGTH OF	LENS(CM)	PER SEC	TIAN
8 2	21	č	,	IMA NFLEM IS	THE NUME	ANDED FOR BUR OF 1D	OTHER ELE Entical el	MENTS L Ements	ATERI IN A PARTICULAR TUBE SEC
*	23	Č,							
=	25	U	1	DIMENSIO	N VI360)	x1300).x	GE JOU FRANG	(300)	
-	27		i	LGUIVALE	NCE (X.I)	(),(XU(300 (),(XU,IX	י גע		
* *	26	1	00 i 10 i	REAG 110 Fermaliz	(AVI1146.V. (F1045)	• 3 (1) • X L (1).x>C.xDS	L	
	36	Ĵ.	11	IF (XSC)	112.112.	113			
-	. 32	1	13	1F (X D 5 C)	1142114	115			
*	53 34	1	14 : 15	x05C=};i N1=1)				
-	35	1	16 20	E1=0. RFAD 130	DITELEMIN	ELENAPIAP	2.03.64,05		
-	37	1	30 I	FRRMAT(2	215.0E10.	5J +NFLEM			
ż	39	i.	45	N2=N	00.140.7	34.36			
1	41	c '	50 1	INCLINEL	J FILLU T	JOE SECTI	8N		
ž	43	1	60 61:	ANGLE=PJ Str=Slni	3+0:01/45 (ANGLE)				
a a	44	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	62 I 63 I	CTH=C0S(E2=P2+DV	(ANGLE) //(P]+C1H)			
•	46	1	75	v(N)≐P2+ EP+/F2-F	• DV • V (N-1) ////////////////////////////////////			
-	48	ŝ	90	A=X0(N+1	1)-X(N-1)	•rR			
÷	50	2	10	t1=E2	· ·				
-	51 52-	2	20 i 50 i	H21=SURT 6={A+CTH	「(v(N)/V(→─STH)/(A	4−1)) *STH+ÇTH)			
-	53	2	60 I 70	C=R21+1.		2.***/0+51	H/CTH)		
ь. 	55	2	80	XD(N)=te	9*C1H+H21	*oTH)/(F2	1 * CTH-R * ST	H)	
÷	57	ç	90	URIFT TU	JHE SECTI	6 N			
-	58	3	00 10	XIN)=X[} XU(N)=X[N-1)+XD(N D(N-1)	-11491			
1	60 61	3	15	ANG(N)=(V(N)=V(N	01 N-11				
*	62	5	20	GA TO PE	DO SECTION				
*	64	<u></u> 3	30	×(N)=×(N	N-1)				
ž	65	3	45	ANG (N) * P	21 21				
2	67	- 3	46 50	V(N)≈V(N Gr T8 80	v~1)				
*	69 20	C 3	60	LENS SEC X(N)=X(M	CT10N N=1)				
*	21	3	20	x1:(N)=¥1 ANG (N)#(U(N−1)→¥(N=1)/P1			
*	73	ž	76	v(N)=v(P	N-1)				
4	/4	c	00	Ge fu hi					
-	76	с в	00	ENU 0F 1 Contimut	TUHE CALC E	ULATION			
	78 79	5 5	05	N1=N2 Ge T€ 11	20				
11 12	÷ 0	6	20	IF (SENSE	E SWITCH	41 860,82	2		
=	55	6	25	FORMATC	1H125X+ TA	NUEM ACCE	LERAIOR OF	AM OPTI	CS CALCULATION ///)
5	83 84	8 5	35	FERMAIL	63. ELEMEN	1 . 8x . ANGL	L. 14X. ENER	GY. 14X.	POSITION 13X SLAPE /20X
*	85 86	P	4 U ¹	PRINT 8	15% MEV 50.(N; ANG	18X+UM-18 (4).V(N).	x (N) . X U (N)	->>=1+>>1	1
а ::	67 6 0	8	50 50	FORMÁTC UN 890 I	11051P4E2 N≈12N1	0.6)			
E z	89	8	70	1×(N)=x	(N) *XSC*1	24.0+125. C+248u:04	5		
-	90	8	90	CONTINUE	t .				
-	92 93	9	10	CALL HI	47) Plot(1%)[XU.N1.125	20,25,0.,	<0.)	
5	94 95	4	26	68 TO 11 END	uo				
PHELPAM ALLOCATION									
	CC016	x		0061	7 1 x	01146	X D	01147 I	xD. SLEM
	02276 64560	V NELE	м	0456	I N	L4550	N2	u4563 I	NV
	U4585 U4575	*5C P2		G456. D457.	/ RP2	04571 04501	E 1 P 4	045/3 P	5
	04605 04615	ANGL FR	ŧ.	0460. 0461.	7 STH 7 A	04611 04621	СТН #21	04613 E 04623 E	. 2
	04625	C		,					
SUGPANGHAMS REGULAED S1% CBS SOKT MIPLUT									
- 1	HE END								



Fig. 1 shows a compilation listing of the FORTRAN program, as compiled on the SDS 930 on-line computer system of this laboratory. The program is written in standard FORTRAN II and should run on any computer, except for one incompatibility. The subroutine HIPLOT called by this program is a plotting routine which uses the special high-density plotting symbols present on our line printer. In adapting the program to another computer, another plotting routine (or dummy subroutine) should be substituted.

Fig. 2 shows a typical particle trajectory through the University of Washington FN tandem accelerator, as calculated by this program. The beam is injected at 60 keV along the tube axis, and the accelerator has a terminal potential of 5.46 MV, so that the energy of the emerging particles is 10.98 MeV. Both the position and the angle of the beam are plotted. It is interesting to note that although the beam is injected on-axis, it emerges parallel and 2 mm above the tube axis.

Many exploratory calculations have been made with this code, studying such things as the effect of resistor variations on accelerator optics, the effect produced by shorting inclined field sections and methods of compensation, and the possible improvements in accelerator optics produced by terminal steering and focusing⁴). However, since these calculations are directly applicable only to our accelerator, they will not be discussed here.

One rather interesting result of such calculations is perhaps worth mentioning; in tracing rays backward from the stripper to the low energy end of the machine, it was discovered that a real image of the stripper is formed by these rays. If the beam entering the accelerator passes through this stripper-image, it will pass through the stripper itself and presumably through the whole accelerator, provided it does not collide with an accelerating electrode or alter its trajectory by smallangle scattering with residual gas in the tube. Fig. 3 shows this stripper-image for a terminal potential of 5.46 MeV and 60 keV injected protons. The position of the stripper-image depends, of course, on the terminal potential of the accelerator, and the injection energy of the accelerated particles, but the image position shown in fig. 3 is fairly typical and provides a clear idea of the requirements on the ion-source optics to achieve maximum transmission through the accelerator.

The author wishes to thank Prof. F. H. Schmidt and Mr. J. S. Heagney for helpful discussions while this work was in progress, and to acknowledge helpful correspondence with Dr. J. D. Larson of Brookhaven National Laboratory, who has been making similar



Fig. 2. Typical particle trajectory through the University of Washington FN tandem accelerator, as calculated by the program described here. Beam is injected on-axis at 60 keV. The terminal potential is 5.46 MV.



Fig. 3. Image of stripper formed just in front of entrance to low energy tube, assuming 60 keV protons injected, and a 5.46 MV terminal potential.

calculations and was kind enough to send some of his results for comparison.

References

- ¹) K. H. Purser, A. Galejs, P. H. Rose, R. J. Van de Graaff and A. B. Wittkower, Rev. Sci. Instr. **36** (1965) 453.
- ²) S. Penner, Rev. Sci. Instr. 32 (1961) 150.
- ³) M. S. Livingston and J. P. Blewett, *Particle accelerators* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1962).
- 4) E. Preikschat and J. G. Cramer, Rev. Sci. Instr. 37 (1966) 1722.