**Astr 509: Astrophysics III: Stellar Dynamics** Winter Quarter 2005, University of Washington, Željko Ivezić

# Lecture 6: The Orbits of Stars

**Axisymmetric Potentials** 

The problem: given the initial conditions  $\mathbf{x}(t_o)$  and  $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t_o)$ , and the potential  $\Phi(R, z)$ , find  $\mathbf{x}(t)$ .

A better description of real galaxies than spherical potentials, and the orbital structure is much more interesting.

- Poisson's equation for axisymmetric potentials, meridional plane
- Surfaces of Section
- Examples (non-axisymmetric!)
- Epicycle approximation

The equations of motion in an axisymmetric potential (cylindrical coordinates) are

$$\ddot{R} = -\frac{\partial \Phi_{\text{eff}}}{\partial R}$$
(1)  
$$\ddot{z} = -\frac{\partial \Phi_{\text{eff}}}{\partial z}$$
(2)  
$$\Phi_{\text{eff}} \equiv \Phi + \frac{L_z^2}{2R^2}$$
(3)

Also

where

and

$$\frac{\mathsf{d}}{\mathsf{d}t}(R^2\dot{\phi}) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad R^2\dot{\phi} = L_z. \tag{4}$$

3

Hence, if solve the first two equations, the solution for  $\phi$  can be obtained from the third equation as

$$\phi(t) = \phi(t_o) + \dot{\phi}(t_o) R^2(t_o) \int_{t_o}^t dt' / R^2(t')$$
(5)

Meridional plane: non-uniformly rotating plane. The three-dimensional motion in the cylindrical  $(R, z, \phi)$  space is reduced to a two-dimensional problem in **Cartesian** coordinates R and z.

Example from the textbook (see figs. 3-2, 3-3 and 3-4).

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{2}v_0^2 \ln\left(R^2 + \frac{z^2}{q^2}\right)$$
(6)



#### Surfaces of Section

In the spherical or nearly spherical case, the **third integral** can be found analytically (in addition to E and  $L_z$ )

In the general 2-D case, we can use a graphical device: Poincaré's surface of section.

1. Choose an energy condition

- 2. Choose a coordinate condition (e.g. x = 0 or z = 0)
- 3. Integrate the orbit for given initial conditions and potential
- 4. Plot the other coordinate vs. its conjugate momentum (the consequent) whenever the coordinate condition is satisfied, e.g.  $\dot{y}$  vs. y, or  $v_R$  vs. R

#### Surfaces of Section

- If the orbit is not restricted by another integral, the consequents will fill an area.
- If the orbit is restricted by another integral, the consequents will lie on a curve.



## Example: non-axisymmetric harmonic oscillator

- $\Phi(x,y) = A x^2 + B y^2$
- For A = B a single ellipse centered on the origin. Here a finite number of orbits because A/B = n/m = 10/11. In general, an infinite number of **box** orbits which fill the whole box
- Surface of section: bottom panel,  $\dot{y}$  vs y for x = 0

## Loop Orbit



## Box Orbit



### Banana Orbit



## Fish Orbit



## Box Orbit Scattered by a Point Mass



For a modern approach, see Thomas et al. 2004, MNRAS 353, 391: orbit libraries, a Voronoi tessellation of the surface of section, the reconstruction of phase-space distribution function

For a more classic orbital analysis (and if you are interested in finding out what is an "antipretzel"), see Miralda-Escudé & Schwarzschild 1989 (ApJ 339, 752):

Another classic paper is de Zeeuw 1985 (MNRAS 216, 272) (interested in "unstable butterflies"?)

#### ORBIT STRUCTURE OF LOGARITHMIC POTENTIAL



4:3

х







pretzel





#### Epicycle Approximation

Assume an axisymmetric potential  $\Phi_{eff}$  and nearly circular orbits; expand  $\Phi_{eff}$  in a Taylor series about its minimum:

$$\Phi_{\rm eff} = {\rm const} + \frac{1}{2}\kappa^2 x^2 + \frac{1}{2}\nu^2 z^2 + \cdots,$$
 (7)

where

$$x \equiv R - R_g, \quad \kappa^2 \equiv \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_{\text{eff}}}{\partial R^2} \bigg|_{(R_g, 0)}, \quad \nu^2 \equiv \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_{\text{eff}}}{\partial z^2} \bigg|_{(R_g, 0)}.$$
(8)

The equations of motion decouple and we have two integrals:

$$x = X \cos(\kappa t + \phi_0) \qquad z = Z \cos(\nu t + \zeta)$$
$$E_R \equiv \frac{1}{2} [v_R^2 + \kappa^2 (R - R_g)^2] \qquad E_z \equiv \frac{1}{2} [v_z^2 + \nu^2 z^2].$$

#### Epicycle Approximation

Now compare the **epicycle frequency**,  $\kappa$ , with the angular frequency,  $\Omega$ .

$$\Omega^{2} \equiv \frac{v_{c}^{2}}{R^{2}} = \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial R} = \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial \Phi_{\text{eff}}}{\partial R} + \frac{L_{z}^{2}}{R^{4}}, \qquad (9)$$

$$\kappa^{2} = \frac{\partial (R^{2} \Omega^{2})}{\partial R} + \frac{3L_{z}^{2}}{R^{4}} = R \frac{\partial \Omega^{2}}{\partial R} + 4\Omega^{2}.$$
 (10)

Since  $\Omega$  always decreases, but never faster than Keplerian,

$$\Omega \le \kappa \le 2\Omega. \tag{11}$$

#### Epicycle Approximation

The epicycle approximation also makes a prediction for the  $\phi$ motion since  $L_z = R^2 \dot{\phi}$  is conserved. Let

$$y \equiv R_g[\phi - (\phi_0 + \Omega t)] \tag{12}$$

be the displacement in the  $\phi$  direction from the "guiding center". If we expand  $L_z$  to first order in displacements from the guiding center, we obtain

$$\phi = \phi_0 + \Omega t - \frac{2\Omega X}{\kappa R_g} \sin(\kappa t + \phi_0).$$
(13)

Therefore

$$y = -Y\sin(\kappa t + \phi_0)$$
 where  $\frac{Y}{X} = \frac{2\Omega}{\kappa} \equiv \gamma \ge 1.$  (14)

 $\Rightarrow$  The epicycles are elongated tangentially (for Keplerian motion  $\gamma = 2 - \text{epicycles}$  are not circles as assumed by Hipparchus and Ptolomey!)

The epicycle frequency  $(\kappa)$  is related to Oort's constants:

$$A \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{v_c}{R} - \frac{dv_c}{dR} \right)_{R_{\odot}} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( R \frac{d\Omega}{dR} \right)_{R_{\odot}}$$
(15)

$$B \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{v_c}{R} + \frac{dv_c}{dR} \right)_{R_{\odot}} = -\left( \frac{1}{2} R \frac{d\Omega}{dR} + \Omega \right)_{R_{\odot}} = A - \Omega_{\odot}$$
(16)

Then

$$\kappa_{\odot}^2 = -4B(A - B) = -4B\Omega_{\odot} \tag{17}$$

In the solar neighborhood,

 $A = 14.5 \pm 1.5 \text{ km/s/kpc}, \quad B = -12 \pm 3 \text{ km/s/kpc}, \quad (18)$  and so

$$\kappa_{\odot} = 36 \pm 10 \text{ km/s/kpc}, \tag{19}$$

and

$$\frac{\kappa_{\odot}}{\Omega_{\odot}} = 1.3 \pm 0.2 \quad (>1 \text{ and } < 2!) \tag{20}$$

For improvements to epicycle approximation see Dehnen 1999 (AJ 118, 1190)

#### MISSED from Chapter 3:

. . .

- Non-Axysymmetric Potentials (box orbits, loop orbits, etc)
- Rotating Potentials, Lagrange Points
- Bar Potentials, Lindblad Resonances
- Phase-space structure, Stäckel potentials, Delaunay variables

#### Integrals

We define an integral to be a function  $I(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})$  of the phase-space coordinates such that

$$\left. \frac{\mathrm{d}I}{\mathrm{d}t} \right|_{\mathrm{orbit}} = 0.$$

We do not allow I to depend explicitly on time. In the spherical case,  $L_x$ ,  $L_y$ ,  $L_z$ , and E are integrals, and any function of integrals is also an integral (for example  $|\mathbf{L}|^2$ ). When we start to count integrals, we are actually looking for the largest number of mutually independent integrals.

We expect each independent integral to impose a constraint, I = constant on the phase space coordinates of the orbit. We start out with a 6-D phase space, and each integral will lower the dimensionality of the orbit by one.

For the Kepler problem we know of four integrals, but the Kepler orbit is a 1-D curve. If we look at it in velocity space, it is still a 1-D curve, so there must be a fifth integral. To find the fifth, consider

$$r = \frac{a(1-e^2)}{1+e\cos(\psi-\psi_0)}$$

where

$$a \equiv \frac{L^2}{GM(1-e^2)} = -\frac{GM}{2E}$$

is the semi-major axis. Note that a(E) and e(E, L) are integrals. Solving for  $\psi_0$ , we find that it is also an integral:

$$\psi_0(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) = \psi - \arccos\left\{\frac{1}{e}\left[\frac{a}{r}(1 - e^2) - 1\right]\right\}.$$

So we've found that the number of integrals is (6 - the dimensionality of the orbit). However the number of integrals can exceed this. Consider

$$\Phi = -GM\left(\frac{1}{r} + \frac{r_0}{r^2}\right).$$

An orbit in this potential creates a rosette, which fills a 2-D area of real space, (and also fills a 2-D area in phase space) yet the potential still has a fifth integral,  $\psi_0$ . The equation of motion is now

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 u}{\mathrm{d}\psi^2} + \left(1 - \frac{2GMr_0}{L^2}\right)u = \frac{GM}{L^2}.$$

This is, as in the Kepler case, the equation for a harmonic oscillator, but the frequency is no longer  $2\pi$ . The solution of which is

$$u = \frac{GM}{L^2} \left[ K^2 + e \cos\left(\frac{\psi - \psi_0}{K}\right) \right],$$

where

$$K \equiv 1/\sqrt{1 - \frac{2GMr_0}{L^2}}.$$

So  $\psi_0$  is an integral. To see why it is not isolating, look at the solution for  $\psi$ :

$$\psi = \psi_0 + K \arccos\left\{\frac{1}{e}\left[\frac{a}{r}(1-e^2) - K^2\right]\right\}.$$

But we can always add  $2m\pi$  to the value of the arccos, adding  $2mK\pi$  to  $\psi$ . But K will always be irrational so we can approach any value of  $\psi$ . Therefore,  $\psi_0$  imposes no useful constraint on the particle's motion.