STAT/BIOST 572: Intro Student Presentation

Wen Wei Loh

April 5, 2012

Title of paper

Transparent Parametrizations of Models for Potential Outcomes

• Richardson, T., Robins, J.M. and Evans, R.J. (2011)

Background

- Potential Outcomes Models
 - Models for estimating causal effects for inference [Rubin, 1974]
 - Estimands of interest involve comparisons of *potential outcomes*
 - Outcomes that would have been observed under different exposures of units to treatment [Rubin, 2004]
 - Well-established framework for formalizing causal assumptions

Background

- Problem of non-compliance
 - Each unit's compliance with assigned treatment is not perfect
 - Even if assignment to a treatment was ignorable, the exposure to treatment is not
 - Lee et al. [1991] proposed Intention-To-Treat (ITT) Analysis
 - Angrist et al. [1996] made use of Instrumental Variables

Motivation

- How to identify causal effects?
 - Hirano et al. [2000] use a hidden variable model for the distribution of the compliance and response types
 - Pearl [2000] derives bounds for causal effects
 - Stable (invariant to changes in compliance behaviour)
 - Can yield information on marginal and subject-specific effects
 - Bayesian approach put a prior on it

Motivation

- Observed
 - Z = Assignment to treatment (Instrument)
 - X = Receipt/Exposure to treatment
 - Y = Response
- Unobserved (describing *potential outcomes*)
 - $t_X =$ Underlying compliance "type"
 - t_Y = Underlying response "type"



Introduction to Methods

- Non-identifiability
 - Distribution over potential outcomes $p(t_X, t_Y)$ may only be partially-identified [Richardson et al., 2011]
 - Causal estimands of interest would hence depend on parameters that are not fully-identified.
- Transparent Parametrizations
 - Re-parameterize the model such that the complete parameter vector may be divided into point-identified and entirely non-identified subvectors
 - Parts of the analysis which have been informed by the data become clear and distinct ("transparent")

Introduction to Methods

- Re-parameterize $p(t_X, t_Y)$ into $f(\theta, \zeta)$
 - θ = identifiable parameter (estimable from observed (X, Y, Z))
 - $\zeta = \text{non-identifiable parameter}$



Introduction to Methods

- Inference without the latent-variable model
 - Derive the distribution of the observed data implied by the potential outcomes model
 - Compute the posterior distribution of the instrumental variables
 - Apply inequality restrictions ("truncate") by Monte-Carlo rejection sampling
- Further extensions
 - Include baseline covariates
 - Build in robustness under mis-specification under the Intent-To-Treat null hypothesis (that Z and Y are independent)

References

- J.D. Angrist, G.W. Imbens, and D.B. Rubin. Identification of causal effects using instrumental variables. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 91(434):444–455, 1996.
- Keisuke Hirano, Guido W. Imbens, Donald B. Rubin, and Xiao-Hua Zhou. Assessing the effect of an influenza vaccine in an encouragement design. *Biostatistics*, 1(1):69–88, 2000. doi: 10.1093/biostatistics/1.1.69. URL http://biostatistics. oxfordjournals.org/content/1/1/69.abstract.
- Y.J. Lee, J.H. Ellenberg, D.G. Hirtz, and K.B. Nelson. Analysis of clinical trials by treatment actually received: is it really an option? *Statistics in medicine*, 10(10):1595–1605, 1991.
- J. Pearl. *Causality: models, reasoning, and inference*, volume 47. Cambridge Univ Press, 2000.
- T.S. Richardson, R.J. Evans, and J.M. Robins. Transparent parameterizations of models for potential outcomes. *Bayesian Statistics*, 9:569–610, 2011.

References

- D.B. Rubin. Estimating causal effects of treatments in randomized and nonrandomized studies. *Journal of Educational Psychology; Journal of Educational Psychology*, 66(5):688, 1974.
- D.B. Rubin. Teaching statistical inference for causal effects in experiments and observational studies. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, 29(3):343–367, 2004.