## Bayesian Generalized Linear Mixed Models

**Bayesian Generalized Linear Mixed Models** 

▶ < 문 ▶ < 문 ▶</p>

æ

Suppose we have response measurements,  $Y_{ij}$ , at time *j* for subject *i*. For  $\mu_{ij} = E(Y_{ij}|t_{ij}, b_i)$ , we can fit a model with random intercepts:

$$g(\mu_{ij}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot t_{ij} + b_{0,i},$$

where  $g(\cdot)$  can be any of the usual link functions (identity, log, logit,  $\cdots$ ).

伺下 イヨト イヨト

In a Bayesian framework, we will need to assign prior distributions to  $b_i$ ,  $\beta_0$ , and  $\beta_1$  (and any other hyperparameters that may arise). Usually, we will assign flat priors to  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\beta_0) &= N(0, 10^2) \\ \pi(\beta_1) &= N(0, 10^2). \end{aligned}$$

We could assign  $b_i$  a  $N(0, \tau^2)$  prior, in which case we would need to specify a prior for  $\tau^2$  (maybe an IG(1, 0.0260)). Note that our likelihood is given by

$$\prod_{i,j} p(Y_{ij}|t_{ij},b_i)$$

If we assume that  $p(Y_{ij}|t_{ij}, b_i) = N(\mu_{ij}, \sigma^2)$ , where

$$\mu_{ij} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot t_{ij} + b_{0,i},$$

then we would need to assign prior distributions to  $b_i$ ,  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$ , and  $\sigma^2$ . If we used the above prior for  $b_i$ , our posterior distribution would be proportional to:

$$\prod_{i,j} p(Y_{ij}|t_{ij}, b_i, \sigma^2, \tau^2) \prod_i \pi(b_i|\tau^2) \pi(\beta_0) \pi(\beta_1) \pi(\sigma^2) \pi(\tau^2).$$

向下 イヨト イヨト

Recall that in WinBUGS, we need to parametrize the Normal distribution in terms of the precision, rather than the variance or standard deviation. So in that case, we would usually assign Gamma(1, 0.0260) priors to the precision variables.

高 とう モン・ く ヨ と

- A study conducted in Indonesia to determine the causes and effects of vitamin A deficiency in pre-school children.
- Xerophthalmia is an ocular manifestation of vitamin A deficiency.
- Question of interest: Are vitamin A deficient children at an increased risk of respiratory infection?

Along with the presence of respiratory infection, the following variables of interest were measured for 275 children for up to six consecutive quarterly visits:

- age (measured in months, centered at 36)
- presence of xerophthalmia
- cosine and sine terms for the annual cycle (seasons)
- gender
- height for age (measured as a percent of the NCHS standard (centered at 90%); indicates longterm nutritional status)
- presence of stunting (being below 85% in height for age)

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

## Xerophthalmia Example

	ID	respinf	age	xerop	ctime	stime	sex	hage	stunt
1	121013	0	31	0	-1	0	0	-3	0
2	121013	0	34	0	0	-1	0	-3	0
3	121013	0	37	0	1	0	0	-2	0
4	121013	0	40	0	0	1	0	-2	0
5	121013	1	43	0	-1	0	0	-2	0
6	121013	0	46	0	0	-1	0	-3	0
7	121113	0	-9	0	-1	0	1	2	0
8	121113	0	-6	0	0	-1	1	0	0
9	121113	0	-3	0	1	0	1	-1	0
10	121113	0	0	0	0	1	1	-2	0
11	121113	1	3	0	-1	0	1	-3	0
12	121113	0	6	0	0	-1	1	-3	0
13	121114	0	-26	0	-1	0	0	8	0
14	121114	0	-23	0	0	-1	0	5	0
15	121114	0	-20	0	1	0	0	3	_0

- Since we are interested in inference at the individual level (rather than the population level), we want to fit a generalized linear mixed effects model (ie. a conditional model).
- Fitting a random effects model to the data allows us to address the question of how an individual child's risk for respiratory infection would change if their vitamin A status were to change.
- How will we do this? By allowing each child to have a distinct intercept, which represents their propensity for infection.

伺 とう ヨン うちょう

Conditional Regression Model:

$$Y_{ij}$$
 = presence of a respiratory infection at visit  $j$  for child  $i$   
 $\mu_{ij} = E(Y_{ij}|\mathbf{X}_{ij}, b_i)$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{logit}(\mu_{ij}) &= b_i + \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot \mathsf{age}_{ij} + \beta_2 \cdot \mathsf{xerop}_{ij} + \beta_3 \cdot \mathsf{sex}_{ij} + \\ \beta_4 \cdot \mathsf{cos}(\mathsf{time})_{ij} + \beta_5 \cdot \mathsf{sin}(\mathsf{time})_{ij} + \beta_6 \cdot \mathsf{height}(\mathsf{age})_{ij} + \\ \beta_7 \cdot \mathsf{stunted}_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

æ

Prior specification:

$$b_i \sim N(0, \tau_b)$$
 where  $\tau_b$  is the **precision**  
 $\beta_j \sim N(0, 10^{-4})$   $j = 0, \cdots, 7$   
 $\tau_b \sim Gamma(1, 0.0260)$ 

node	mean	sd	MC error	2.5%	median	97.5%
$\beta_0$	-2.612	0.2223	0.006759	-3.089	-2.598	-2.22
$\beta_1$	-0.034	0.0071	7.431E-5	-0.0482	-0.034	-0.0204
$\beta_2$	0.6214	0.4814	0.003674	-0.3736	0.6402	1.509
$\beta_3$	-0.4283	0.2469	0.002228	-0.9212	-0.4247	0.0447
$eta_{4}$	-0.5993	0.1734	0.001316	-0.947	-0.597	-0.267
$\beta_5$	-0.1729	0.1732	0.001014	-0.5157	-0.171	0.1636
$\beta_{6}$	-0.0481	0.02619	2.593E-4	-0.1011	-0.0475	0.00172
$\beta_7$	0.158	0.4326	0.003831	-0.7069	0.1613	1.002
$\sigma_{b}$	0.5528	0.3007	0.01699	0.1026	0.5437	1.147

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

- Bayesian methods are still likelihood based.
- Inference obtained using Bayesian GLMMs will be at the individual level.
- If you hate WinBUGS, that's ok! These models aren't too bad to fit using R. You can come see me or talk to me for help with Bayesian models in R.

伺下 イヨト イヨト