

INTRODUCTION: (*from Fleming and Harrington, 1991*)

Quoting from F&H, Section 0.2:

“Between January, 1974 and May, 1984, the Mayo Clinic conducted a double-blinded randomized trial in primary biliary cirrhosis of the liver (PBC), comparing the drug D-penicillamine (DPCA) with a placebo. There were 424 patients who met the eligibility criteria seen at the Clinic while the trial was open for patient registration. Both the treating physician and the patient agreed to participate in the randomized trial in 312 of the 424 cases. The date of randomization and a large number of clinical, biomedical, serologic, and histologic parameters were recorded for each of the 312 clinical trial patients. The data from the trial were analyzed in 1986 for presentation in the clinical literature. For that analysis, disease and survival status as of July, 1986, were recorded for as many patients as possible. By that date, 125 of the 312 patients had died, with only 11 deaths not attributable to PBC. Eight patients had been lost to follow-up, and 19 had undergone liver transplantation. ”

“PBC is a rare but fatal chronic liver disease of unknown cause, with a prevalence of about 50-cases-per-million population. The primary pathologic event appears to be the destruction of interlobular bile ducts, which may be mediated by immunologic mechanisms. The data are important in two respects. First, controlled clinical trials are difficult to complete in rare diseases, and this case series of patients uniformly diagnosed, treated, and followed in the largest existing for PBC. Second, the data present an opportunity to study the natural history of disease.”

OBJECTIVES:

1. Use these data to present the evidence regarding the impact of DPCA. We will use Kaplan-Meier survival curves, the log-rank test, and Cox regression to summarize the treatment comparison.
2. Use these data to construct a model that can be used for predicting survival based on a small number of measurements.

The following pages contain the data from the Mayo Clinic trial in primary biliary cirrhosis (PBC) of the liver conducted between 1974 and 1984. An extended discussion can be found in Dickson, et al., *Hepatology* 10:1-7 (1989) and in Markus, et al., *N Eng J of Med* 320:1709-13 (1989)."

The data, `mayo.dat`, is on the class web page in the Datasets directory.

Analysis Variables:

VARIABLE	CODES
1. age	in years
2. albumin	gm/dl
3. alkaline phosphatase	U/liter . = missing
4. ascites	0 = no 1 = yes . = missing
5. serum bilirubin	mg/dl
6. serum cholesterol	mg/dl . = missing
7. edema	0 = no 1 = yes
8. edema treatment	0 = none and no treatment .5 = edema but no treatment, or edema resolved by treatment 1 = edema despite treatment
9. hepatomegaly	0 = no 1 = yes . = missing

10. time	days between registration and earliest of death, liver transplantation and July 1986
11. platelets	count per mm ³ blood/1000
12. prothrombin time	seconds
13. sex	0 = male 1 = female . = missing
14. SGOT	U/ml . = missing
15. spiders	0 = no 1 = yes . = missing
16. stage	1,2,3,4 . = missing
17. censoring	1 = death 0 = other
18. treatment	1 = D-penicillamine 2 = placebo . = not randomized
19. triglycerides	mg/dl . = missing
20. urine copper	micrograms/day . = missing

=====

312 records on the 312 randomized patients

Week 1: May 13-17, 2002

1. Discuss the scientific objects of analysis.
2. Discuss the measurements.
3. Summarize the univariate distribution of each variable.
4. Compare the covariates for the two treatment arms.
5. Discuss analysis plans for the DPCA treatment analysis (primary analysis and adjusted analysis).
6. Formulate the scientific questions in terms of statistical hypotheses.

Week 2: May 20-24, 2002

1. Use Kaplan-Meier and the log-rank test to summarize the effectiveness of treatment.
2. Use Kaplan-Meier and the log-rank test to summarize the association between survival and each of the other covariates.
3. Use Cox regression to obtain an estimate and confidence interval for the relative hazard comparing DCPA to control.
4. Formulate a Cox regression model that that could be used to estimate an adjusted treatment effect and/or consider interactions.

Week 3: May 27-31, 2002

1. Use Cox regression to build the “Mayo model” as discussed in the article by Dickson et al. (1989).
2. Assess the adequacy of the model.
3. Data on additional patients is available to check the prognostic model.
4. Conclusions?