Treebanks and HPSG

Workshop on Treebanking, NAACL-HLT, Rochester, 26 April 2007

Dan Flickinger

CSLI Stanford

danf@csli.stanford.edu

Treebanks for HPSG: Usually grammar-derived

Derived from parsed corpora using hand-built-grammars:

English: Redwoods (25,000 items in three domains)

Japanese: Hinoki (100,000 items in one domain)

German: (15,000 items in one domain)

- Discriminant-based annotation tool to identify correct parse
- References, data, and software:

www.delph-in.net



Desirable information for grammar extraction

• Fine-grained lexical subcategorization

300 verb types

200 noun types

100 adjective types

- Complement/adjunct distinction
- Specifier/adjunct distinction the ten-foot tall tree a much larger room
- Compositional semantics (at each node)



Challenges for consistent annotation

- PP attachment: underspecification or ambiguity packing?
 We reserved a room for Browne.
 The best route is from on top of the hill near the cave across the valley.
- Noun-noun compounds: ambiguity again airline reservation counter
- Empty vs contentful prepositions
 that picture of me/mine
 their rejection of the proposal
 It turned out that they won
- Gerunds: nominal or verbal
 Their singing was surprising



Challenges for consistent annotation (cont.)

- Attributive participles
 the sleeping dog/pill
 the closed/open/opened window
- Adjectives or nouns?
 the red car
 the east wing
- Complex modifiers
 the three-legged chair
 the snow-covered mountain
- Discourse connectives
 So we left
 Well, we have two choices.
 Then let's meet at five.



Desirable treebank annotations

Marked word order

Heavy NP-shift: We saw on Tuesday a most amazing film.
Relative clause extraposition: Someone walked in who I hadn't met.

Locative inversion: In the corner stood an antique coatrack.

Expletive elements
 It took ten minutes to park the car.
 In the corner there is an old desk.

- Idioms
- Words with spaces: (in spite of)
- Flagging errors in text (especially for non-native text)
 in the the room



Potential benefits of deriving treebank from grammar

- More consistency in annotation
 Every word and phrase gets a legal annotation
- Richer data for statistical parse selection
 Alternative grammatical analyses included
- More precision in extracted grammars
 Treebank includes all and only well-formed analyses
- Compositional (flat) semantics
 Every node in a tree has semantic annotation
- Maintainability: semi-automatic re-annotation
 Less costly improvements/corrections in annotations



Potential disadvantages of grammar-derived treebanks

- Robustness
 - Currently missing good analyses for 5–20% of a given corpus
- Idiosyncratic analyses for some phenomena
 I think that they should leave.

 They weren't here that week.
- Lack of lexical semantics, anaphor binding, pragmatics, ...

