

Class Notes 1-30-2019

- **Example: Cards**

We draw a card at random from a standard deck of 52 cards. The event A that the card is an ace is independent of the event C that the card is a club. However, this breaks down as soon as the king of diamonds is missing from the deck, but not when all kings are missing.

- **Theorem:** Independence of E, F implies independence of E, F^c , of E^c, F and of E^c, F^c .

Proof (partial):

$$P(EF^c) + P(EF) = P(E) \Rightarrow P(EF^c) = P(E) - P(E)P(F) = P(E)(1 - P(F)) = P(E)P(F^c)$$

- Assume A and B are independent. Find an expression, in terms of only $P(A)$ and $P(B)$, for the probability of the event C that only exactly one of A and B occur.

Solution: $C = AB^c \cup A^cB$ is a disjoint union, thus

$$P(C) = P(AB^c) + P(A^cB) = P(A)P(B^c) + P(A^c)P(B) = P(A)(1 - P(B)) + (1 - P(A))P(B)$$

where the second $=$ uses the previous theorem.

- **Mutually Independent:** Events A_1, \dots, A_n are called *mutually independent* if for every collection A_{i_1}, \dots, A_{i_k} with $2 \leq k \leq n$ and $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ we have

$$P(A_{i_1}A_{i_2} \cdots A_{i_k}) = P(A_{i_1})P(A_{i_2}) \cdots P(A_{i_k})$$

- **Mutual Independence of 3 Events A, B, C**

Need to check the truth of

$$P(AB) = P(A)P(B), P(AC) = P(A)P(C), P(BC) = P(B)P(C), P(ABC) = P(A)P(B)P(C)$$

- **Mutual Independence Carries over to Complements:**

If events A_1, \dots, A_n are *mutually independent* so are A_1^*, \dots, A_n^* , where A_i^* is either A_i or A_i^c .

- **Example of Events not Mutually Independent:**

Choose a point randomly on the interval $\Omega = [0, 1]$ and consider the events

$$A = \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1 \right], \quad B = \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad C = \left[\frac{1}{16}, \frac{9}{16} \right] \quad \text{then} \quad ABC = \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{9}{16} \right]$$

and $P(ABC) = \frac{1}{16} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2} = P(A)P(B)P(C)$ but $P(AB) = \frac{1}{4} \neq \frac{1}{8} = P(A)P(B)$.

- **Pairwise Independence:**

Events A_1, \dots, A_n are pairwise independent if any two of its events are independent, i.e., $P(A_iA_j) = P(A_i)P(A_j)$ for any $i \neq j$.

This is a weaker form of independence than mutual independence.

- **Example of Pairwise but not Mutual Independence:**

Flip 3 fair coins and consider the following events. A is the event of exactly one tails in the

first two flips, B is the event of exactly one tails in the last two flips, C is the event of exactly one tails in the first and last flip.

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{(T, H, H), (T, H, T), (H, T, H), (H, T, T)\} & P(A) &= \frac{1}{2} \\ B &= \{(H, T, H), (T, T, H), (H, H, T), (T, H, T)\} & P(B) &= \frac{1}{2} \\ C &= \{(T, H, H), (T, T, H), (H, H, T), (H, T, T)\} & P(C) &= \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$AB = \{(T, H, T), (H, T, H)\}, \quad AC = \{(T, H, H), (H, T, T)\}, \quad BC = \{(T, T, H), (H, H, T)\}, \quad ABC = \emptyset$$

$$P(AB) = \frac{1}{4} = P(A)P(B), \quad P(AC) = \frac{1}{4} = P(A)P(C), \quad P(BC) = \frac{1}{4} = P(B)P(C)$$

$$P(ABC) = 0 \neq P(A)P(B)P(C) = \frac{1}{8}$$

- **A Reliability Example:**

A system functions, event D , as long as one of two subsystems C_1 or C_2 functions. Subsystem C_1 functions as long both its components function, denoted as events S_1 and S_2 . C_2 functions as long as its one component functions, event S_3 . Assume that all components function or fail independently, with $P(S_i) = p_i$

$$D = C_1 \cup C_2 = (S_1S_2) \cup S_3$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(D) &= P(C_1 \cup C_2) = P(C_1) + P(C_2) - P(C_1C_2) = P(S_1S_2) + P(S_3) - P(S_1S_2S_3) \\ &= p_1p_2 + p_3 - p_1p_2p_3 \end{aligned}$$

Boeing's Scientific Research Laboratory (BSRL) played a big role in developing the field of *Reliability Theory*. Z.W. Birnbaum, founding father of statistics at the UW, was an active contributor to BSRL, as were Barlow, Proschan, Saunders and Pyke.

- **Independence of Random Variables:**

Random variables X_1, \dots, X_n , defined on the same probability space Ω , are called independent if

$$P(X_1 \in B_1, \dots, X_n \in B_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \in B_i)$$

for all reasonable subsets B_1, \dots, B_n on the real line \mathbb{R} .

This is not very practical to check, because of the innumeracy of such subsets. For discrete random variables we have the following equivalence.