

Systems of Regression Equations

General set up

$$y_1 = X_1 \beta_1 + \epsilon_1 \quad \epsilon_1 \sim (0, \sigma_1^2 I_p)$$

$$p \times 1 \quad p \times k_1 \quad k_1 \times 1 \quad p \times 1$$

$$y_2 = X_2 \beta_2 + \epsilon_2 \quad \epsilon_2 \sim (0, \sigma_2^2 I_p)$$

$$p \times 1 \quad p \times k_2 \quad k_2 \times 1 \quad p \times 1$$

$\vdots$

$$y_M = X_M \beta_M + \epsilon_M \quad \epsilon_M \sim (0, \sigma_M^2 I_p)$$

$$p \times 1 \quad p \times k_M \quad k_M \times 1 \quad p \times 1$$

- X_i is exogenous $i = 1, \dots, M$ (Not a simultaneous equations system)
- elements of ϵ_i may be contemporaneously correlated with the elements of ϵ_j
- Variables in X_i may overlap with those in X_j (common regressors)
- Context may imply across equation restrictions among the β s & the elements of the across equation covariances

Examples

I. Exchange Rate Regressions

$$S_{i,t+1} = \alpha_i + \gamma_i f_{i,t} + \epsilon_{i,t+1} \quad i=1, \dots, M \text{ countries}$$

where

$t=1, \dots, T$ months

$S_{i,t}$ = log of spot exchange rate for
currency i (wrt \$ say) in month
 t

$f_{i,t}$ = log of forward exchange rate for forward
contract that settles in month $t+1$ (1-month
forward contract) for currency i in month
 t

In the previous notation

$$f_{i,t} = S_{i,t+1}$$

$$\tilde{X}_{it} = (1, f_{i,t})'$$

$$\tilde{\beta}_i = (\alpha_i, \gamma_i)'$$

← different regressors
for each equation.

Also $\epsilon_{i,t}$ is likely to be correlated with $\epsilon_{j,t}$ due
to common shocks affecting exchange rates

Under rational expectations and risk neutrality

it can be shown that

$$E[S_{i,t+1} | I_t] = f_{i,t} \quad i=1, \dots, M$$

↑ into available at
time t

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_i = 0 \text{ and } \beta_i = 1 \quad i=1, \dots, M$$

$$E[\epsilon_{i,t+1} | I_t] = 0$$

Hence testing the RE & Risk neutrality assumption requires testing across equation restrictions.

2. CAPM Regressions

The Capital asset pricing model (CAPM) can

be represented in the excess return market model regression

$$R_{it} - r_{ft} = \alpha_i + \beta_i (R_{Mt} - r_{ft}) + \epsilon_{it}$$

$i=1, \dots, M$ assets

$t=1, \dots, T$ months

where

R_{it} = continuously compounded return on asset i in month t ($R_{it} = \ln(\frac{P_{it}}{P_{it-1}})$)

r_{Ft} = return on risk free rate (30 day T-bill)

R_{Mt} = return on Market index

(usually value weighted index of traded equities)

Here

$$y_{it} = (R_{it} - r_{Ft}) \quad i = 1, \dots, M$$

$$\tilde{x}_{it} = (1, R_{Mt} - r_{Ft})' \quad i = 1, \dots, M$$

$$\tilde{\beta}_i = (\alpha_i, \beta_i)'$$

Same regressors for each equation!

ϵ_{it} may be correlated with ϵ_{jt}

but ϵ_{it} not correlated with ϵ_{js} for $t \neq s$.

CAPM requires $\alpha_i = 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, M$ { cross equation restriction

$$\Rightarrow E[R_{it} - r_{Ft} | R_{Mt}] = \beta_i (R_{Mt} - r_{Ft})$$

$\Rightarrow \beta_i$ captures portfolio risk

3. Factor Demand Functions

General Form based on minimizing cost function

$$X_i = f_i(Y, P; \beta) + \epsilon_i \quad i=1, \dots, M$$

$\uparrow$ $\uparrow$ $\nwarrow$
 input output / input
 demand revenue prices

Economic theory places a lot of restrictions on the coefficients β .

Estimating the Multiequation System

Consider the general "seemingly unrelated regression system"

$$\underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{price}}}{Y_i} = \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{price}}}{X_i} \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{price}}}{\beta_i} + \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{price}}}{\epsilon_i} \quad i=1, \dots, M$$

where

$$E[\epsilon_{it}] = 0 \quad i=1, \dots, M, \quad t=1, \dots, N$$

but

$$E[\epsilon_{it}^2] = \sigma_i^2 \quad i=1, \dots, M$$

and

$$E[\epsilon_{it} \epsilon_{jt}] = \sigma_{ij} \quad i, j=1, \dots, M$$

$$E[\epsilon_{it} \epsilon_{is}] = 0 \quad i, j=1, \dots, M; \quad t \neq s$$

→ Initially, assume that there are no cross equation restrictions ←

We can stack ~~eq~~ each equation and create a "giant" regression model

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \sim \\ y_2 \\ \sim \\ \vdots \\ y_M \\ \sim \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & & & \\ & x_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & x_M \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \sim \\ \beta_2 \\ \sim \\ \vdots \\ \beta_M \\ \sim \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \sim \\ \epsilon_2 \\ \sim \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_M \\ \sim \end{bmatrix} \\
 MT \times 1 \qquad \qquad MT \times K \qquad \qquad K \times 1 \qquad \qquad MT \times 1
 \end{array}$$

where

$$K = \sum_{i=1}^M K_i$$

or

$$\begin{array}{c}
 y \\ \sim \\ MT \times 1
 \end{array}
 =
 \begin{array}{c}
 X \\ MT \times K
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \beta \\ \sim \\ K \times 1
 \end{array}
 +
 \begin{array}{c}
 \epsilon \\ \sim \\ MT \times 1
 \end{array}$$

Note

$$E[\epsilon \epsilon'] = E \left[\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \sim \\ \epsilon_2 \\ \sim \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_M \\ \sim \end{bmatrix} (\epsilon_1' \epsilon_2' \dots \epsilon_M') \right]$$

$$= E \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \epsilon_1' & \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2' & \dots & \epsilon_1 \epsilon_m' \\ \epsilon_2 \epsilon_1' & \epsilon_2 \epsilon_2' & \dots & \epsilon_2 \epsilon_m' \\ & & \ddots & \\ \epsilon_m \epsilon_1' & & & \epsilon_m \epsilon_m' \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 I_T & \sigma_{12} I_T & \dots & \sigma_{1m} I_T \\ \sigma_{12} I_T & \sigma_{22} I_T & \dots & \sigma_{2m} I_T \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \sigma_m^2 I_T \end{bmatrix} \quad = V_{mT \times mT}$$

which is not a diagonal Matrix, Hence OLS is not, in general, the most efficient estimation procedure. GLS is the efficient estimator and has the familiar form

$$\hat{\beta}_{GLS} = (X' V^{-1} X)^{-1} X' V^{-1} y$$

Digression on Kronecker products

$$\begin{array}{c}
 A \otimes B \\
 \begin{array}{cc}
 n \times k & m \times l \\
 (nm) \times (kl)
 \end{array}
 \end{array}
 =
 \begin{bmatrix}
 a_{11} \cdot B & a_{12} \cdot B & \dots & a_{1k} \cdot B \\
 \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 a_{n1} \cdot B & a_{n2} \cdot B & \dots & a_{nk} \cdot B
 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{e.g. } \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 5 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 4 & 7 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 4 & 7 \end{bmatrix} & 0 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 4 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \\ 5 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 4 & 7 \end{bmatrix} & 2 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 4 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

Properties of Kronecker products

$$(1) \quad (A \otimes B)^{-1} = A^{-1} \otimes B^{-1}$$

$$(2) \quad \text{trace}(A \otimes B) = \text{trace}(A) \cdot \text{trace}(B)$$

$$(3) \quad \|A \otimes B\| = \|A\|^m \|B\|^n$$

$$(4) \quad (A \otimes B)' = A' \otimes B'$$

$$(5) \quad (A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = AC \otimes BD$$

$$(6) \quad A \otimes (C + D) = A \otimes C + A \otimes D$$

Using Kronecker products we may write

$V =$

$$E\{\epsilon\epsilon'\} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 I_T & \sigma_{12} I_T & \dots & \sigma_{1m} I_T \\ & \sigma_{22} I_T & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \sigma_m^2 I_T \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \underbrace{\sum_{m \times m} \otimes I_T}_{mT \times mT}$$

where

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_{12} & \dots & \sigma_{1m} \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_2^2 & \dots & \sigma_{2m} \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \sigma_m^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

In addition

$$\begin{aligned} V^{-1} &= (\Sigma \otimes I_T)^{-1} \\ &= (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I_T) \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$\hat{\beta}_{OLS} = (X'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I_T)X)^{-1} X'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I_T)y$$

Feasible GLS

The GLS estimator is infeasible if Σ is unknown (which is usually the case). In practice we must estimate Σ giving rise to the feasible GLS estimator

$$\hat{\beta}_{\text{Feas}} = (X'(\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \otimes I_T)X)^{-1} X'(\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \otimes I_T)y$$

where

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\sigma}_1^2 & \hat{\sigma}_{12} & \dots & \hat{\sigma}_{1m} \\ & \hat{\sigma}_2^2 & & \hat{\sigma}_{2m} \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \hat{\sigma}_m^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a consistent estimator of Σ , i.e. $\hat{\Sigma} \xrightarrow{p} \Sigma$.

Estimating Σ

~~Efficiency can be estimated from~~

Since x_i are exogenous, β_i can be estimated consistently (but not efficiently) by OLS

$$\hat{\beta}_{it}$$

on each equation

$$\underset{T+1}{\hat{y}_i} = \underset{T \times 1}{x_i} \underset{T \times 1}{\hat{\beta}_i} + \underset{T \times 1}{\hat{\epsilon}_i} \quad i = 1, \dots, M$$

Furthermore, σ_{ij} can be consistently estimated using

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}_{ij} &= \frac{1}{T} \hat{\epsilon}_i' \hat{\epsilon}_j \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{\epsilon}_{it} \hat{\epsilon}_{jt} \end{aligned} \quad i, j = 1, \dots, M$$

Iterated FGLS

The estimate of Σ in FGLS uses the inefficient OLS estimates of β . The iterated FGLS estimator repeats the construction of the FGLS estimator using an updated estimator of Σ based on the FGLS estimates

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\sigma}_1^2 & \hat{\sigma}_{12} & \dots & \hat{\sigma}_{1M} \\ & \hat{\sigma}_2^2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \hat{\sigma}_m^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ij} = \frac{1}{T} \hat{e}_i' \hat{e}_j$$

$$\hat{e}_i = y_i - X_i \hat{\beta}_{i, \text{FOLS}}$$

$$\hat{e}_0 = y_0 - X_0 \hat{\beta}_{i, \text{FOLS}}$$

This process gives

$$\hat{\beta}_{\text{IFOLS}} = (X'(\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \otimes I_T)X)^{-1} (X'(\hat{\Sigma} \otimes I_T)y)$$

and can be "iterated" until $\hat{\beta}_{\text{IFOLS}}$ doesn't change from iteration to iteration.

Result : Iterated FOLS is numerically equivalent to ~~the~~ MLE if ~~it is normally~~

$$\underset{mT \times 1}{\tilde{z}} \sim N(0, \Sigma \otimes I_T)$$