

Econ 582  
Nonlinear Regression

Eric Zivot

June 3, 2013

## Nonlinear Regression

In linear regression models

$$y_i = \underset{(1 \times K)}{\mathbf{x}'_i} \underset{(K \times 1)}{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \varepsilon_i, \quad E[\varepsilon_i | \mathbf{x}_i] = 0$$

$$E[y_i | \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}] = \mathbf{x}' \boldsymbol{\beta}, \quad \varepsilon_i = y_i - E[y_i | \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}]$$
$$\frac{\partial E[y_i | \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}]}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \boldsymbol{\beta}$$

it is assumed that the regression function  $m(\mathbf{x}) = E[y_i | \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}] = \mathbf{x}' \boldsymbol{\beta}$  is a linear (in  $\mathbf{x}$ ) function of the  $K \times 1$  vector  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ .

In parametric nonlinear regression, the regression function  $m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$  is a nonlinear function of  $p \geq K$  parameters  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$

$$y_i = m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + \varepsilon_i$$
$$E[y_i | \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}] = m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad \varepsilon_i = y_i - E[y_i | \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}]$$

## Examples of nonlinear regression functions

$$m(x, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \theta_1 + \theta_2 \frac{x}{1 + \theta_3 x}, \quad K = 1, p = 3$$

$$m(x, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \theta_1 + \theta_2 x^{\theta_3}, \quad K = 1, p = 3$$

$$m(x, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \theta_1 + \theta_2 \exp(\theta_3 x), \quad K = 1, p = 3$$

$$m(x, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \theta_1 + \theta_2 x + \theta_3 (x - \theta_4) \mathbf{1}(x > \theta_4)$$

$$m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = G(\mathbf{x}'\boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad G \text{ known}, \quad K = p$$

$$m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \boldsymbol{\theta}'_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + (\boldsymbol{\theta}'_2 \mathbf{x}_1) \Phi \left( \frac{x_2 - \theta_3}{\theta_4} \right)$$

$$m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = (\boldsymbol{\theta}'_1 \mathbf{x}_1) \mathbf{1}(x_2 < \theta_3) + (\boldsymbol{\theta}'_2 \mathbf{x}_1) \mathbf{1}(x_2 > \theta_3)$$

## Remarks

- Typically  $m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$  is a continuous and differentiable function of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$
- In the switching examples with the indicator function,  $m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$  is not differentiable in  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$
- The form of  $m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$  is sometimes motivated by economic theory (e.g. cost function estimation)
- Sometimes the form of  $m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$  is adopted as a flexible approximation to an unknown regression function (e.g.  $m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \text{polynomial in } \mathbf{x}$ )

## Nonlinear Least Squares Estimation

$$y_i = m\left( \underset{(K \times 1)}{\mathbf{x}_i}, \underset{(p \times 1)}{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \right) + \varepsilon_i$$

Example (Cobb-Douglas production function)

$$y_i = \theta_1 x_{1i}^{\theta_2} x_{2i}^{\theta_3} + \varepsilon_i$$
$$K = 2, p = 3$$

The nonlinear least squares estimator solves

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} S(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - m(\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}))^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i^2$$

Assume  $m(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$  is a continuous and differentiable function of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ . The FOCs for a minimum are

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial S(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} &= -2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - m(\mathbf{x}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})) \frac{\partial m(\mathbf{x}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \\ &= -2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - m(\mathbf{x}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})) \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = \mathbf{0}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{\partial m(\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial m(\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial m(\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_p} \end{pmatrix}$$

Note

$$-2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - m(\mathbf{x}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})) \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$$

## Matrix Notation

$$\underset{n \times 1}{\mathbf{y}} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underset{n \times 1}{\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta})} = \begin{pmatrix} m(\mathbf{x}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ \vdots \\ m(\mathbf{x}_n, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\underset{n \times K}{\mathbf{X}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}'_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}'_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underset{n \times 1}{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$S(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}))'(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}))$$

$$\underset{n \times p}{\mathbf{m}_\theta(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta})} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial m(\mathbf{x}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial m(\mathbf{x}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_p} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial m(\mathbf{x}_n, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial m(\mathbf{x}_n, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_p} \end{pmatrix}$$

FOCs

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial S(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} &= -2 \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{X}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} \right)' (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{X}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})) \\ &= -2 \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{X}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})) \\ &= -2 \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \mathbf{0}\end{aligned}$$

Note: In general we have  $p$  nonlinear equations in  $p$  unknown and there is no analytical solution. Hence,  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$  must be found numerically using an iterative algorithm. The most commonly used algorithm is Gauss-Newton iteration.



## Gauss-Newton (GM) Algorithm

The GN algorithm can be motivated as follows. Consider a 1st order Taylor series approximation to  $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$  at  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_1$  (starting value)

$$\underset{(n \times 1)}{\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta})} = \underset{(n \times 1)}{\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_1)} + \underset{(n \times p)}{\mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_1)} \underset{(p \times 1)}{(\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_1)} + \text{error}$$

Approximate the nonlinear regression using the TS approximation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \\ &\approx \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) + \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_1)(\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

Using

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) + \mathbf{m}_\theta(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_1)(\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) \\ = & [\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) - \mathbf{m}_\theta(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_1)\boldsymbol{\theta}_1] + \mathbf{m}_\theta(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_1)\boldsymbol{\theta} \end{aligned}$$

rewrite the model as

$$\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) + \mathbf{m}_\theta(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_1)\boldsymbol{\theta}_1 = \mathbf{m}_\theta(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_1)\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{y}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1) &= \mathbf{m}_\theta(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_1)\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \\ \bar{\mathbf{y}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1) &= \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) + \mathbf{m}_\theta(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_1)\boldsymbol{\theta}_1 \end{aligned}$$

This approximate model is linear in  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$

Estimate the approximate linear model by least squares

$$\begin{aligned}\min_{\theta} \bar{S}_1(\theta) &= (\bar{y}(\theta_1) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}, \theta_1)\theta)' (\bar{y}(\theta_1) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}, \theta_1)\theta) \\ \Rightarrow \theta_2 &= \left( \mathbf{m}_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}, \theta_1)' \mathbf{m}_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}, \theta_1) \right)^{-1} \mathbf{m}_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}, \theta_1)' \bar{y}(\theta_1)\end{aligned}$$

Then repeat estimation of approximate linear model using updated estimate  $\theta_2$

$$\begin{aligned}\min_{\theta} \bar{S}_2(\theta) &= (\bar{y}(\theta_2) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}, \theta_2)\theta)' (\bar{y}(\theta_2) - \mathbf{m}_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}, \theta_2)\theta) \\ \Rightarrow \theta_3 &= \left( \mathbf{m}_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}, \theta_2)' \mathbf{m}_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}, \theta_2) \right)^{-1} \mathbf{m}_{\theta}(\mathbf{X}, \theta_2)' \bar{y}(\theta_2)\end{aligned}$$

At iteration  $n$  we have

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{n+1} = \left( \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n)' \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n) \right)^{-1} \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n)' \bar{\mathbf{y}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n)$$

Substituting in  $\bar{\mathbf{y}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n) = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n) + \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n) \boldsymbol{\theta}_n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{n+1} &= \left( \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n)' \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n) \right)^{-1} \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n)' \\ &\quad \times [\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n) + \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n) \boldsymbol{\theta}_n] \\ &= \left( \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n)' \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n) \right)^{-1} \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n)' [\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n)] \\ &\quad + \left( \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n)' \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n) \right)^{-1} \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n)' \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n) \boldsymbol{\theta}_n \\ &= \boldsymbol{\theta}_n + \left( \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n)' \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n) \right)^{-1} \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n)' [\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n)] \end{aligned}$$

Note: Using  $\frac{\partial S(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = -2\mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n)' [\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n)]$  we have

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_n - \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n)' \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n) \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial S(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

Provided that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n)' \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n) &\text{ is pd} \\ \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n) &\text{ is full rank } p \end{aligned}$$

Then the FOCs are satisfied if  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{n+1} \approx \boldsymbol{\theta}_n$ . That is,

$$\frac{\partial S(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n)' [\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n)] \approx \mathbf{0}$$

## Common Convergence Criteria

- Stop when

$$\begin{aligned}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_n\| &< \varepsilon \approx 10^{-6} \\ \|\mathbf{x}\| &= (x_1^2 + \dots + x_p^2)^{1/2}\end{aligned}$$

To avoid issues with the units of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ , it is better to stop when

$$\frac{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{n+1} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_n\|}{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}_n + \boldsymbol{\tau}\|} < \eta \approx 10^{-5}$$

- Stop when

$$\left\| \frac{\partial S(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \right\| < \varepsilon$$

- Stop when

$$\frac{\|S(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{n+1}) - S(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n)\|}{\|S(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n) + \tau\|} < \eta$$

## Remarks

- The solution to the FOCs can be a local minimum, local maximum or a global minimum.
- The GN iteration scheme always leads in the direction of a minimum instead of a maximum provided  $\mathbf{m}_\theta(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n)' \mathbf{m}_\theta(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n)$  is pd

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\theta}_{n+1} &= \boldsymbol{\theta}_n + \left( \mathbf{m}_\theta(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n)' \mathbf{m}_\theta(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n) \right)^{-1} \mathbf{m}_\theta(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n)' [\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n)] \\ &= \boldsymbol{\theta}_n - \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathbf{m}_\theta(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n)' \mathbf{m}_\theta(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n) \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial S(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}\end{aligned}$$

If  $\frac{\partial S(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} > 0$  then  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{n+1} < \boldsymbol{\theta}_n$ ; if  $\frac{\partial S(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} < 0$  then  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{n+1} > \boldsymbol{\theta}_n$



- The GN iteration scheme can overshoot the global minimum. To guard against this a step-length correction,  $\lambda_n$ , is often added to the algorithm

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_n - \lambda_n \left( \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n)' \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_n) \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial S(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

where  $\lambda_n$  is chosen such that  $S(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{n+1}) > S(\boldsymbol{\theta}_n)$

- To guard against getting stuck at a local minimum it is often suggested that different starting values be used.

## Asymptotic Distribution of NLS estimator (Homoskedastic Case)

$$y_i = m(\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + \varepsilon_i, \quad E[\varepsilon_i^2] = \sigma^2$$

Consider the linear approximation evaluated at the true value of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$

$$\bar{y}_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})' \boldsymbol{\theta} + \varepsilon_i$$

Assuming

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \varepsilon_i \xrightarrow{p} 0$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})' \xrightarrow{p} E \left[ \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})' \right] = \mathbf{M}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}\boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \varepsilon_i \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2 \mathbf{M}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}\boldsymbol{\theta}})$$

Then

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}) \xrightarrow{d} N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{M}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{-1})$$

Equivalently,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \sim N \left( \boldsymbol{\theta}, \frac{1}{n} \sigma^2 \mathbf{M}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{-1} \right)$$

The asymptotic variance  $\sigma^2 \mathbf{M}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{-1}$  can be consistently estimated using

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{-1}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}^2 &= \frac{1}{n-p} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i^2 \\ \widehat{\mathbf{M}}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}\boldsymbol{\theta}} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \end{aligned}$$

## Asymptotic Distribution of NLS estimator (Heteroskedastic Case)

$$y_i = m(\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) + \varepsilon_i, \quad E[\varepsilon_i^2 | \mathbf{x}_i] = \sigma^2(\mathbf{x}_i)$$

Then

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}) \xrightarrow{d} N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{M}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{-1} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{M}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{-1})$$
$$\mathbf{V} = E \left[ \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta})' \varepsilon_i^2 \right]$$

The matrix  $\mathbf{V}$  can be consistently estimated using the White-type HC estimator

$$\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{HC} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \mathbf{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}_i, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \hat{\varepsilon}_i^2$$