

# 1 Beta as a Measure of Portfolio Risk

Key points:

- Asset specific risk can be diversified away by forming portfolios. What remains is “portfolio risk”.
- Riskiness of an asset may be judged in a portfolio context

## Motivating Example

Consider investing in an equally weighted portfolio with 99 assets

$$x_i = \frac{1}{99}, \quad R_{99} = \text{portfolio return}, \quad \sigma_{99}^2 = \text{var}(R_{99})$$

Now consider adding a new asset, say IBM, to the portfolio

$$\begin{aligned} R_{IBM} &= \text{return on IBM}, \quad \sigma_{IBM}^2 = \text{var}(R_{IBM}), \\ \sigma_{99,IBM} &= \text{cov}(R_{99}, R_{IBM}) \end{aligned}$$

Create a new equally weighted portfolio of 100 assets

$$R_{100} = (.99)R_{99} + (.01)R_{IBM}$$

Portfolio variance (risk)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{var}(R_{100}) &= (.99)^2\text{var}(R_{99}) + (.01)^2\text{var}(R_{IBM}) + \\ &\quad 2(.99)(.01)\text{cov}(R_{99}, R_{IBM}) \\ &= (.98)\sigma_{99}^2 + (.0001)\sigma_{IBM}^2 + (.02)\sigma_{99,IBM} \\ &\approx (.98)\sigma_{99}^2 + (.02)\sigma_{99,IBM}\end{aligned}$$

### 3 Cases

1. Adding IBM does not change the risk of portfolio

$$\sigma_{100}^2 = \sigma_{99}^2$$

2. Adding IBM increases portfolio risk

$$\sigma_{100}^2 > \sigma_{99}^2$$

3. Adding IBM decreases portfolio risk

$$\sigma_{100}^2 < \sigma_{99}^2$$

Case 1

If  $\sigma_{100}^2 = \sigma_{99}^2$  then

$$\begin{aligned} (.98)\sigma_{99}^2 + (.02)\sigma_{99,IBM} &= \sigma_{99}^2 \\ \Rightarrow (.02)\sigma_{99,IBM} &= (.02)\sigma_{99}^2 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\sigma_{99,IBM}}{\sigma_{99}^2} &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\text{cov}(R_{99}, R_{IBM})}{\text{var}(R_{99})} &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow \beta_{IBM,99} &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion: if

$$\beta_{IBM,99} = \frac{\text{cov}(R_{99}, R_{IBM})}{\text{var}(R_{99})} = 1$$

then adding IBM to the portfolio does not change risk (variance) of the portfolio.

Case 2

If  $\sigma_{100}^2 > \sigma_{99}^2$  then

$$\beta_{IBM,99} > 1$$

and adding IBM to the portfolio increases the risk (variance) of the portfolio.

Case 3

If  $\sigma_{100}^2 < \sigma_{99}^2$  then

$$\beta_{IBM,99} < 1$$

and adding IBM to the portfolio decreases the risk (variance) of the portfolio.

Conclusion: beta measures portfolio risk

$R_p$  = return on any portfolio

$R_i$  = return on any asset  $i$

$$\beta_{i,p} = \frac{\text{cov}(R_i, R_p)}{\text{var}(R_p)} = \frac{\sigma_{i,p}}{\sigma_p^2}$$

Conjecture: If  $\beta_{i,p}$  is the appropriate measure of the risk of an asset, then the asset's expected return,  $\mu_i$ , should depend on  $\beta_{i,p}$ . That is

$$\mu_i = f(\beta_{i,p})$$

The Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) formalizes this conjecture.

## 2 Sharpe's Single Index Model

$$\begin{aligned}R_{it} &= \alpha_i + \beta_i R_{Mt} + \varepsilon_{it} \\i &= 1, \dots, N; t = 1, \dots, T\end{aligned}$$

where

$\alpha_i, \beta_i$  are constant over time

$R_{Mt}$  = return on market index portfolio

$\varepsilon_{it}$  = random error term

Assumptions

$$\text{cov}(R_{Mt}, \varepsilon_{it}) = 0$$

$$\text{cov}(\varepsilon_{is}, \varepsilon_{jt}) = 0 \text{ for all } i \neq j, t \text{ and } s$$

$$\varepsilon_{it} \sim \text{iid } N(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon,i}^2)$$

$$R_{M,t} \sim \text{iid } N(\mu_M, \sigma_M^2)$$

Intuition:

$$\varepsilon_{it} = R_{it} - \alpha_i - \beta_i R_{Mt}$$

- Return on market index,  $R_{Mt}$ , captures common “market-wide” news.
- $\beta_i$  measures sensitivity to “market-wide” news
- Random error term  $\varepsilon_{it}$  captures “firm specific” news unrelated to market-wide news.
- Returns are correlated only through their exposures to common “market-wide” news captured by  $\beta_i$ .

Remark: CER model is a special case of Single Index (SI) Model where  $\beta_i = 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . In this case,  $\alpha_i = E[R_i] = \mu_i$

## 2.1 Statistical Properties of the SI Model

- $\mu_i = E[R_{it}] = \alpha_i + \beta_i \mu_M$
- $\sigma_i^2 = \text{var}(R_{it}) = \beta_i^2 \sigma_M^2 + \sigma_{\varepsilon,i}^2$
- $\sigma_{ij} = \text{cov}(R_{it}, R_{jt}) = \sigma_M^2 \beta_i \beta_j$

Derivations:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{var}(R_{it}) &= \text{var}(\alpha_i + \beta_i R_{Mt} + \varepsilon_{it}) \\ &= \beta_i^2 \text{var}(R_{Mt}) + \text{var}(\varepsilon_{it}) \\ &= \beta_i^2 \sigma_M^2 + \sigma_{\varepsilon,i}^2\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_i^2 \sigma_M^2 &= \text{variance due to market news} \\ \sigma_{\varepsilon,i}^2 &= \text{variance due to non-market news}\end{aligned}$$

Next

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{ij} &= \text{cov}(R_{it}, R_{jt}) \\ &= \text{cov}(\alpha_i + \beta_i R_{Mt} + \varepsilon_{it}, \alpha_j + \beta_j R_{Mt} + \varepsilon_{jt}) \\ &= \text{cov}(\beta_i R_{Mt}, \beta_j R_{Mt}) + \text{cov}(\beta_i R_{Mt}, \varepsilon_{jt}) \\ &\quad + \text{cov}(\beta_j R_{Mt}, \varepsilon_{it}) + \text{cov}(\varepsilon_{it}, \varepsilon_{jt}) \\ &= \beta_i \beta_j \text{cov}(R_{Mt}, R_{Mt}) \\ &= \sigma_M^2 \beta_i \beta_j\end{aligned}$$

## Implications:

- $\sigma_{ij} = 0$  if  $\beta_i = 0$  or  $\beta_j = 0$  (asset i or asset j do not respond to market news)
- $\sigma_{ij} > 0$  if  $\beta_i, \beta_j > 0$  or  $\beta_i, \beta_j < 0$  (asset i and j respond to market news in the same direction)
- $\sigma_{ij} < 0$  if  $\beta_i > 0$  and  $\beta_j < 0$  or if  $\beta_i < 0$  and  $\beta_j > 0$  (asset i and j respond to market news in opposite direction)

### 2.1.1 Interpretation of $\beta_i$

$$\beta_i = \frac{\text{cov}(R_{it}, R_{Mt})}{\text{var}(R_{Mt})} = \frac{\sigma_{iM}}{\sigma_M^2}$$

$\beta_i$  captures the contribution of asset  $i$  to the variance/risk of the market index.

Derivation:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{cov}(R_{it}, R_{Mt}) &= \text{cov}(\alpha_i + \beta_i R_{Mt} + \varepsilon_{it}, R_{Mt}) \\ &= \text{cov}(\beta_i R_{Mt}, R_{Mt}) \\ &= \beta_i \text{var}(R_{Mt}) \\ \Rightarrow \beta_i &= \frac{\text{cov}(R_{it}, R_{Mt})}{\text{var}(R_{Mt})}\end{aligned}$$

## 2.1.2 Decomposition of Total Risk

$$\sigma_i^2 = \text{var}(R_{it}) = \beta_i^2 \sigma_M^2 + \sigma_{\varepsilon,i}^2$$

total risk = market risk + non-market risk

Divide both sides by  $\sigma_i^2$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \frac{\beta_i^2 \sigma_M^2}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon,i}^2}{\sigma_i^2} \\ &= R_i^2 + 1 - R_i^2 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_i^2 = \frac{\beta_i^2 \sigma_M^2}{\sigma_i^2} = \text{proportion of market risk}$$

$$1 - R_i^2 = \text{proportion of non-market risk}$$

Sharpe's Rule of Thumb: A typical stock has  $R^2 = 30\%$ ; i.e., proportion of market risk in typical stock is 30% of total risk.

### 2.1.3 Return Covariance Matrix

3 asset example

$$R_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta_i R_{Mt} + \varepsilon_{it}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

$$\sigma_i^2 = \text{var}(R_{it}) = \beta_i^2 \sigma_M^2 + \sigma_{\varepsilon,i}^2$$

$$\sigma_{ij} = \text{cov}(R_{it}, R_{jt}) = \sigma_M^2 \beta_i \beta_j$$

Covariance matrix

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{13} \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_2^2 & \sigma_{23} \\ \sigma_{13} & \sigma_{23} & \sigma_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1^2 \sigma_M^2 + \sigma_{\varepsilon,1}^2 & \sigma_M^2 \beta_1 \beta_2 & \sigma_M^2 \beta_1 \beta_3 \\ \sigma_M^2 \beta_1 \beta_2 & \beta_2^2 \sigma_M^2 + \sigma_{\varepsilon,2}^2 & \sigma_M^2 \beta_2 \beta_3 \\ \sigma_M^2 \beta_1 \beta_3 & \sigma_M^2 \beta_2 \beta_3 & \beta_3^2 \sigma_M^2 + \sigma_{\varepsilon,3}^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sigma_M^2 \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1^2 & \beta_1 \beta_2 & \beta_1 \beta_3 \\ \beta_1 \beta_2 & \beta_2^2 & \beta_2 \beta_3 \\ \beta_1 \beta_3 & \beta_2 \beta_3 & \beta_3^2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{\varepsilon,1}^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{\varepsilon,2}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{\varepsilon,3}^2 \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Simplification using matrix algebra

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{D} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{\varepsilon,1}^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{\varepsilon,2}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{\varepsilon,3}^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$\underset{(3 \times 3)}{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} = \sigma_M^2 \cdot \underset{(3 \times 1)}{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \underset{(1 \times 3)}{\boldsymbol{\beta}'} + \underset{(3 \times 3)}{\mathbf{D}}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_M^2 \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}\boldsymbol{\beta}' &= \text{covariance due to market} \\ \mathbf{D} &= \text{asset specific variances} \end{aligned}$$

## 2.2 SI Model and Portfolios

2 asset example

$$R_{1t} = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 R_{Mt} + \varepsilon_{1t}$$

$$R_{2t} = \alpha_2 + \beta_2 R_{Mt} + \varepsilon_{2t}$$

$$x_1 = \text{share invested in asset 1}$$

$$x_2 = \text{share invested in asset 2}$$

$$x_1 + x_2 = 1$$

Portfolio return

$$\begin{aligned}R_{p,t} &= x_1 R_{1t} + x_2 R_{2t} \\&= x_1(\alpha_1 + \beta_1 R_{Mt} + \varepsilon_{1t}) \\&\quad + x_2(\alpha_2 + \beta_2 R_{Mt} + \varepsilon_{2t}) \\&= (x_1 \alpha_1 + x_2 \alpha_2) + (x_1 \beta_1 + x_2 \beta_2) R_{Mt} \\&\quad + (x_1 \varepsilon_{1t} + x_2 \varepsilon_{2t}) \\&= \alpha_p + \beta_p R_{Mt} + \varepsilon_{p,t}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_p &= x_1 \alpha_1 + x_2 \alpha_2 \\ \beta_p &= x_1 \beta_1 + x_2 \beta_2 \\ \varepsilon_{p,t} &= x_1 \varepsilon_{1t} + x_2 \varepsilon_{2t}\end{aligned}$$

## 2.2.1 SI Model with Large Portfolios

$$\begin{aligned}i &= 1, \dots, N \text{ assets (e.g. } N = 500) \\x_i &= \frac{1}{N} = \text{equal investment shares} \\R_{it} &= \alpha_i + \beta_i R_{Mt} + \varepsilon_{it}\end{aligned}$$

Portfolio return

$$\begin{aligned}R_{p,t} &= \sum_{i=1}^N x_i R_{it} \\&= \sum_{i=1}^N x_i (\alpha_i + \beta_i R_{Mt} + \varepsilon_{it}) \\&= \sum_{i=1}^N x_i \alpha_i + \left( \sum_{i=1}^N x_i \beta_i \right) R_{Mt} + \sum_{i=1}^N x_i \varepsilon_{it} \\&= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i + \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i \right) R_{Mt} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \varepsilon_{it} \\&= \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta} R_{Mt} + \bar{\varepsilon}_t\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\alpha} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \\ \bar{\beta} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i \\ \bar{\varepsilon}_t &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \varepsilon_{it}\end{aligned}$$

**Result:** For large  $N$ ,

$$\bar{\varepsilon}_t = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \varepsilon_{it} \approx 0$$

because  $\varepsilon_{it} \sim \text{iid } N(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon,i}^2)$ .

## Implications

In a large well diversified portfolio, the following results hold:

- $R_{p,t} \approx \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}R_{Mt}$  : all non-market risk is diversified away
- $\text{var}(R_{p,t}) = \bar{\beta}^2 \text{var}(R_{Mt})$  : Magnitude of portfolio risk is proportional to market risk. Magnitude of portfolio risk is determined by portfolio beta  $\bar{\beta}$
- $R_p^2 \approx 1$  : Approximately 100% of portfolio risk is due to market risk