

## Hypothesis Testing

1. Specify hypothesis to be tested

$H_0$  : null hypothesis versus.  $H_1$  : alternative hypothesis

2. Specify significance level of test

$$\text{level} = \Pr(\text{Reject } H_0 | H_0 \text{ is true})$$

3. Construct test statistic,  $T$ , from observed data

4. Use test statistic  $T$  to evaluate data evidence regarding  $H_0$

$$\begin{aligned} |T| \text{ is big} &\Rightarrow \text{evidence against } H_0 \\ |T| \text{ is small} &\Rightarrow \text{evidence in favor of } H_0 \end{aligned}$$

Decide to reject  $H_0$  at specified significance level if value of  $T$  falls in the rejection region

$$T \in \text{rejection region} \Rightarrow \text{reject } H_0$$

Usually the rejection region of  $T$  is determined by a critical value,  $cv$ , such that

$$|T| > cv \Rightarrow \text{reject } H_0$$

$$|T| \leq cv \Rightarrow \text{do not reject } H_0$$

## Decision Making and Hypothesis Tests

	Reality	
Decision	$H_0$ is true	$H_0$ is false
Reject $H_0$	Type I error	No error
Do not reject $H_0$	No error	Type II error

Significance Level of Test

$$\text{level} = \Pr(\text{Type I error}) \\ \Pr(\text{Reject } H_0 | H_0 \text{ is true})$$

Goal: Construct test to have a specified small significance level

$$\text{level} = 5\% \text{ or } \text{level} = 1\%$$

Power of Test

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 - \Pr(\text{Type II error}) \\ &= \Pr(\text{Reject } H_0 | H_0 \text{ is false}) \end{aligned}$$

Goal: Construct test to have high power

Problem: Impossible to simultaneously have level  $\approx 0$  and power  $\approx 1$ . As level  $\rightarrow 0$  power also  $\rightarrow 0$ .

## Hypothesis Testing in CER Model

$$r_{it} = \mu_i + \epsilon_{it} \quad t = 1, \dots, T; \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

$$\epsilon_{it} \sim \text{iid } N(0, \sigma_i^2)$$

$$\text{cov}(\epsilon_{it}, \epsilon_{jt}) = \sigma_{ij}, \quad \text{cor}(\epsilon_{it}, \epsilon_{jt}) = \rho_{ij}$$

$$\text{cov}(\epsilon_{it}, \epsilon_{js}) = 0 \quad t \neq s, \text{ for all } i, j$$

- Basic significance test

$$H_0 : \mu_i = 0 \text{ vs. } H_1 : \mu_i \neq 0$$

- Test for specific value

$$H_0 : \mu_i = \mu_i^0 \text{ vs. } H_1 : \mu_i \neq \mu_i^0$$

- Test for sign

$$H_0 : \mu_i = 0 \text{ vs. } H_1 : \mu_i > 0 \text{ or } \mu_i < 0$$

- Test for normal distribution

$$H_0 : r_{it} \sim \text{iid } N(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$$

$$H_1 : r_{it} \sim \text{not normal}$$

- Test for no autocorrelation

$$H_0 : \rho_j = \text{corr}(r_{it}, r_{i,t-j}) = 0, j > 1$$

$$H_1 : \rho_j = \text{corr}(r_{it}, r_{i,t-j}) \neq 0 \text{ for some } j$$

- Test of constant parameters

$$H_0 : \mu_i, \sigma_i \text{ and } \rho_i \text{ are constant over entire sample}$$

$$H_1 : \mu_i, \sigma_i \text{ or } \rho_i \text{ changes in some sub-sample}$$

Definition: Chi-square random variable and distribution

Let  $Z_1, \dots, Z_q$  be iid  $N(0, 1)$  random variables. Define

$$X = Z_1^2 + \dots + Z_q^2$$

Then

$$X \sim \chi^2(q)$$

$$q = \text{degrees of freedom (d.f.)}$$

Properties of  $\chi^2(q)$  distribution

$$X > 0$$

$$E[X] = q$$

$$\chi^2(q) \rightarrow \text{normal as } q \rightarrow \infty$$

## R functions

`rchisq()`: simulate data  
`dchisq()`: compute density  
`pchisq()`: compute CDF  
`qchisq()`: compute quantiles

Definition: Student's t random variable and distribution with  $q$  degrees of freedom

$$Z \sim N(0, 1), \quad X \sim \chi^2(q)$$

$Z$  and  $X$  are independent

$$T = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{X/q}} \sim t_q$$

$q$  = degrees of freedom (d.f.)

Properties of  $t_q$  distribution:

$$E[T] = 0$$

$$\text{skew}(T) = 0$$

$$\text{kurt}(T) = \frac{3q - 6}{q - 4}, \quad q > 4$$

$$T \rightarrow N(0, 1) \text{ as } q \rightarrow \infty \quad (q \geq 60)$$

## R functions

`rt()`: simulate data

`dt()`: compute density

`pt()`: compute CDF

`qt()`: compute quantiles

## Basic Significance Test

$$H_0 : \mu_i = 0 \text{ vs. } H_1 : \mu_i \neq 0$$

1. Test statistics: t-statistics

$$t_{\mu_i=0} = \frac{\hat{\mu}_i - 0}{\widehat{SE}(\hat{\mu}_i)} = \frac{\hat{\mu}_i}{\widehat{SE}(\hat{\mu}_i)}$$

Intuition:

- If  $|t_{\mu_i=0}| \approx 0$  then  $\hat{\mu}_i \approx 0$ , and  $H_0 : \mu_i = 0$  should not be rejected
- If  $|t_{\mu_i=0}| > 2$ , then  $\hat{\mu}_i$  is more than 2 values of  $\widehat{SE}(\hat{\mu}_i)$  away from 0. This is very unlikely if  $\mu_i = 0$ , so  $H_0 : \mu_i = 0$  should be rejected.

Distribution of t-statistic under  $H_0$

Under the assumptions of the CER model, and  $H_0 : \mu_i = 0$

$$t_{\mu_i=0} = \frac{\hat{\mu}_i}{\widehat{SE}(\hat{\mu}_i)} \sim t_{T-1}$$

where

$$\mu_i = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T r_{it}, \quad \widehat{SE}(\hat{\mu}_i) = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_i}{\sqrt{T}}, \quad \hat{\sigma}_i = \sqrt{\frac{1}{T-1} \sum_{t=1}^T (r_{it} - \hat{\mu}_i)^2}$$

$t_{T-1}$  = Student's t distribution with  
 $T - 1$  degrees of freedom (d.f.)

Remarks:

- $t_{T-1}$  is bell-shaped and symmetric about zero (like normal)
- d.f. = sample size - number of estimated parameters. In CER model there is one estimated parameter,  $\mu_i$ , so  $df = T - 1$
- Degrees of freedom determines kurtosis (tail thickness)

$$\text{d.f.} = T - 1 < 10, \text{kurt}(t_{T-1}) \gg 3$$

$$\text{d.f.} = T - 1 \geq 60, \text{kurt}(t_{T-1}) \approx 3$$

- For  $T \geq 60$ ,  $t_{T-1} \sim N(0, 1)$ . Therefore, for  $T \geq 60$

$$t_{\mu_i=0} = \frac{\hat{\mu}_i}{\widehat{\text{SE}}(\hat{\mu}_i)} \sim N(0, 1)$$

2. Set significance level and determine rejection region; e.g.,

$$\Pr(\text{Type I error}) = 5\%$$

Rejection region of t-test with 5% level

reject  $H_0 : \mu_i = 0$  in favor of  $H_1; \mu \neq 0$  if

$$t_{\mu_i=0} < cv.025 \text{ or } t_{\mu_i=0} > cv.975$$

$$\text{i.e., if } |t_{\mu_i=0}| > cv.975$$

3. Decision rule:

Reject  $H_0 : \mu_i = 0$  at 5% level if

$$|t_{\mu_i=0}| > cv.975 = q_{.975}^{t_{T-1}}$$

Useful Rule of Thumb:

If  $T \geq 60$  then  $cv_{.975} \approx 2$  and the decision rule is

Reject  $H_0 : \mu_i = 0$  at 5% level if  
 $|t_{\mu_i=0}| > 2$

4. P-Value of test

significance level at which test is just rejected

$$\begin{aligned} &= \Pr(|t_{T-1}| > t_{\mu_i=0}) \\ &= \Pr(t_{T-1} < -t_{\mu_i=0}) + \Pr(t_{T-1} > t_{\mu_i=0}) \\ &= 2 \cdot \Pr(t_{T-1} > |t_{\mu_i=0}|) \\ &= 2 \times (1 - \Pr(t_{T-1} \leq |t_{\mu_i=0}|)) \end{aligned}$$

Decision rule based on P-Value

Reject  $H_0 : \mu_i = 0$  at 5% level if  
P-Value  $< 5\%$

For  $T \geq 60$

$$\text{P-value} = 2 \times \Pr(z > |t_{\mu_i=0}|), \quad z \sim N(0, 1)$$

## Test for Specific Coefficient Value

$$H_0 : \mu_i = \mu_i^0 \text{ vs. } H_1 : \mu_i \neq \mu_i^0$$

1. Test statistic

$$t_{\mu_i = \mu_i^0} = \frac{\hat{\mu}_i - \mu_i^0}{\widehat{SE}(\hat{\mu}_i)}$$

Intuition:

- If  $t_{\mu_i = \mu_i^0} \approx \mu_i^0$  then  $\hat{\mu}_i \approx \mu_i^0$ , and  $H_0 : \mu_i = \mu_i^0$  should not be rejected
- If  $|t_{\mu_i = \mu_i^0}| > 2$ , say, then  $\hat{\mu}_i$  is more than 2 values of  $\widehat{SE}(\hat{\mu}_i)$  away from  $\mu_i^0$ . This is very unlikely if  $\mu_i = \mu_i^0$ , so  $H_0 : \mu_i = \mu_i^0$  should be rejected.

2. Set significance level and determine critical value

$$\Pr(\text{Type I error}) = 5\%$$

3. Decision rule:

Reject  $H_0 : \mu_i = \mu_i^0$  at 5% level if

$$|t_{\mu_i = \mu_i^0}| > cv_{.975} = q_{.975}^{t_{T-1}}$$

Useful Rule of Thumb:

If  $T \geq 60$  then  $cv_{.975} \approx 2$  and the decision rule is

Reject  $H_0 : \mu_i = \mu_i^0$  at 5% level if

$$|t_{\mu_i = \mu_i^0}| > 2$$

## Relationship Between Hypothesis Tests and Confidence Intervals

$$H_0 : \mu_i = \mu_i^0 \text{ vs. } H_1 : \mu_i \neq \mu_i^0 \\ \text{level} = 5\%$$

$$cv_{.975} = q_{.975}^{t_{T-1}} \approx 2 \text{ for } T > 60$$

$$t_{\mu_i = \mu_i^0} = \frac{\hat{\mu}_i - \mu_i^0}{\widehat{SE}(\hat{\mu}_i)}$$

Reject at 5% level if  $|t_{\mu_i = \mu_i^0}| > 2$

Approximate 95% confidence interval for  $\mu_i$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}_i &= \pm 2 \cdot \widehat{SE}(\hat{\mu}_i) \\ &= [\hat{\mu}_i - 2 \cdot \widehat{SE}(\hat{\mu}_i), \hat{\mu}_i + 2 \cdot \widehat{SE}(\hat{\mu}_i)] \end{aligned}$$

Decision: Reject  $H_0 : \mu_i = \mu_i^0$  at 5% level if  $\mu_i^0$  does not lie in 95% confidence interval.

## Test for Sign

$$H_0 : \mu_i = 0 \text{ vs. } H_1 : \mu_i > 0$$

### 1. Test statistic

$$t_{\mu_i=0} = \frac{\hat{\mu}_i}{\widehat{SE}(\hat{\mu}_i)}$$

Intuition:

- If  $t_{\mu_i=\mu_i^0} \approx 0$  then  $\hat{\mu}_i \approx 0$ , and  $H_0 : \mu_i = 0$  should not be rejected
- If  $t_{\mu_i=\mu_i^0} \gg 0$ , then this is very unlikely if  $\mu_i = 0$ , so  $H_0 : \mu_i = 0$  vs.  $H_1 : \mu_i > 0$  should be rejected.

2. Set significance level and determine critical value

$$\Pr(\text{Type I error}) = 5\%$$

Critical value  $cv$  is determined using

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(t_{T-1} > cv.05) &= 0.05 \\ \Rightarrow cv.05 &= q_{.95}^{t_{T-1}}\end{aligned}$$

where  $q_{.95}^{t_{T-1}} = 95\%$  quantile of Student-t distribution with  $T - 1$  degrees of freedom.

3. Decision rule:

Reject  $H_0 : \mu_i = 0$  vs.  $H_1 : \mu_i > 0$  at 5% level if

$$t_{\mu_i=0} > q_{.95}^{t_{T-1}}$$

Useful Rule of Thumb:

If  $T \geq 60$  then  $q_{.95}^{t_{T-1}} \approx q_{.95}^z = 1.645$  and the decision rule is

Reject  $H_0 : \mu_i = 0$  vs.  $H_1 : \mu_i > 0$  at 5% level if  
 $t_{\mu_i=0} > 1.645$

4. P-Value of test

significance level at which test is just rejected

$$\begin{aligned} &= \Pr(t_{T-1} > t_{\mu_i=0}) \\ &= \Pr(Z > t_{\mu_i=0}) \text{ for } T \geq 60 \end{aligned}$$

## Paired Two-Sample Test for Equality of Means

Example: Suppose it is thought that  $E[r_i] = E[r_j]$ . That is, the hypotheses of interest are

$$H_0 : \mu_i = \mu_j$$

$$H_1 : \mu_i \neq \mu_j$$

An equivalent set of hypotheses are

$$H_0 : \mu_i - \mu_j = 0$$

$$H_1 : \mu_i - \mu_j \neq 0$$

Q: How can we test these hypotheses?

Compute paired return differences

$$d_t = r_{it} - r_{jt}, \quad t = 1, \dots, T$$

Under  $H_0 : \mu_i - \mu_j = 0$  it follows that  $\mu_d = E[d_t] = 0$ . Therefore, the appropriate test statistic is

$$\begin{aligned} t_{\mu_d=0} &= \frac{\hat{\mu}_d}{\widehat{\text{SE}}(\hat{\mu}_d)} \\ \hat{\mu}_d &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T d_t \\ \widehat{\text{SE}}(\hat{\mu}_d) &= \frac{\hat{\sigma}_d}{\sqrt{T}} \end{aligned}$$

Result: Under the CER model and  $H_0 : \mu_i - \mu_j = 0$ ,

$$t_{\mu_d=0} \sim t_{T-1}$$

Decision rule: Reject  $H_0 : \mu_i - \mu_j = 0$  at 5% level if  $|t_{\mu_d=0}| > q_{.975}^{T-1}$  or if p-value is less than .05.

## Test for Normal Distribution

$$H_0 : r_t \sim \text{iid } N(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

$$H_1 : r_t \sim \text{not normal}$$

1. Test statistic (Jarque-Bera statistic)

$$JB = \frac{T}{6} \left( \widehat{\text{skew}}^2 + \frac{(\widehat{\text{kurt}} - 3)^2}{4} \right)$$

## Intuition

- If  $r_t \sim \text{iid } N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  then  $\widehat{\text{skew}}(r_t) \approx 0$  and  $\widehat{\text{kurt}}(r_t) \approx 3$  so that  $\text{JB} \approx 0$ .
- If  $r_t$  is not normally distributed then  $\widehat{\text{skew}}(r_t) \neq 0$  and/or  $\widehat{\text{kurt}}(r_t) \neq 3$  so that  $\text{JB} \gg 0$

Distribution of JB under  $H_0$

If  $H_0 : r_t \sim \text{iid } N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  is true then

$$\text{JB} \sim \chi^2(2)$$

where  $\chi^2(2)$  denotes a chi-square distribution with 2 degrees of freedom (d.f.).

2. Set significance level and determine critical value

$$\Pr(\text{Type I error}) = 5\%$$

Critical value  $cv$  is determined using

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(\chi^2(2) > cv) &= 0.05 \\ \Rightarrow cv &= q_{.95}^{\chi^2(2)} \approx 6\end{aligned}$$

where  $q_{.95}^{\chi^2(2)} \approx 6 \approx 95\%$  quantile of chi-square distribution with 2 degrees of freedom.

3. Decision rule:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Reject } H_0 : r_t &\sim \text{iid } N(\mu, \sigma^2) \\ &\text{at 5\% level if } JB > 6\end{aligned}$$

#### 4. P-Value of test

significance level at which test is just rejected

$$= \Pr(\chi^2(2) > JB)$$

## Test for No Autocorrelation

Recall, the  $j^{\text{th}}$  lag autocorrelation for  $r_t$  is

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_j &= \text{cor}(r_t, r_{t-j}) \\ &= \frac{\text{cov}(r_t, r_{t-j})}{\text{var}(r_t)}\end{aligned}$$

Hypotheses to be tested

$$H_0 : \rho_j = 0, \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, q$$

$$H_1 : \rho_j \neq 0 \text{ for some } j$$

1. Estimate  $\rho_j$  using sample autocorrelation

$$\hat{\rho}_j = \frac{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=j+1}^T (r_t - \hat{\mu})(r_{t-j} - \hat{\mu})}{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (r_t - \hat{\mu})^2}$$

Result: Under  $H_0 : \rho_j = 0$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, q$ , if  $T$  is large then

$$\hat{\rho}_j \sim N\left(0, \frac{1}{T}\right) \text{ for all } j \geq 1$$

$$\text{SE}(\hat{\rho}_j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}$$

2. Test Statistic

$$t_{\rho_j=0} = \frac{\hat{\rho}_j}{\text{SE}(\hat{\rho}_j)} = \sqrt{T}\hat{\rho}_j$$

and 95% confidence interval

$$\hat{\rho}_j \pm 2 \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}$$

### 3. Decision rule

Reject  $H_0 : \rho_j = 0$  at 5% level

$$\text{if } |t_{\rho_j=0}| = \left| \sqrt{T} \hat{\rho}_j \right| > 2$$

That is, reject if

$$\hat{\rho}_j > \frac{2}{\sqrt{T}} \text{ or } \hat{\rho}_j < \frac{-2}{\sqrt{T}}$$

## Diagnostics for Constant Parameters

$$r_t \sim \text{iid } N(\mu, \sigma^2), \quad t = 1, \dots, T$$

$H_0 : \mu$  is constant over time

$H_1 : \mu$  changes over time

Common test and diagnostic

- Two-Sample t-test for Structural Change
- Rolling estimates of  $\mu$

## Two-Sample t-test for Structural Change

Idea: Split sample into two pieces

$$\text{sample 1} \quad : \quad t = 1, \dots, T_1$$

$$\text{sample 2} \quad : \quad t = T_1 + 1, \dots, T; T_2 = T - T_1$$

The CER model assumptions imply that these two samples are independent.

Define

$$\mu_1 = E[r_t] \text{ on sample 1}$$

$$\mu_2 = E[r_t] \text{ on sample 2}$$

Then, we can test for structural change using the hypotheses

$$H_0 \quad : \quad \mu_1 = \mu_2 \text{ (no structural change)}$$

$$H_1 \quad : \quad \mu_1 \neq \mu_2 \text{ (structural change)}$$

## Remark

The test statistic can be computed assuming that  $\text{var}(r_t)$  is the same on samples 1 and 2, and it can be computed assuming that it is different on the two samples.

Test Statistic (assuming constant variance)

$$t_{\mu_1=\mu_2} = \frac{\hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2}{\widehat{SE}(\hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2)}$$
$$\widehat{SE}(\hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2) = \hat{\sigma}_{pool} \sqrt{\frac{1}{T_1} + \frac{1}{T_2}}$$
$$\hat{\sigma}_{pool}^2 = \left\{ \frac{(T_1 - 1)\hat{\sigma}_1^2 + (T_2 - 1)\hat{\sigma}_2^2}{T_1 + T_2 - 1} \right\}$$

Result: Under the CER model and  $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2$

$$t_{\mu_1=\mu_2} \sim t_{T-2}$$

Decision Rule: Reject  $H_0 : \mu_i - \mu_j = 0$  at 5% level if  $|t_{\mu_d=0}| > q_{.975}^{T-2}$  or if p-value is less than .05.

## Rolling Means

Idea: compute estimate of  $\mu$  over rolling windows of length  $n < T$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mu}_t(n) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} r_{t-i} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} (r_t + r_{t-1} + \cdots + r_{t-n+1})\end{aligned}$$

R function (package zoo)

`rollapply`

If  $H_0 : \mu$  is constant is true, then  $\hat{\mu}_t(n)$  should stay fairly constant over different windows.

If  $H_0 : \mu$  is constant is false, then  $\hat{\mu}_t(n)$  should fluctuate across different windows

## Rolling Variances and Standard Deviations

Idea: Compute estimates of  $\sigma^2$  and  $\sigma$  over rolling windows of length  $n < T$

$$\hat{\sigma}_t^2(n) = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (r_{t-i} - \hat{\mu}_t(n))^2$$
$$\hat{\sigma}_t(n) = \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}_t^2(n)}$$

If  $H_0 : \sigma$  is constant is true, then  $\hat{\sigma}_t(n)$  should stay fairly constant over different windows.

If  $H_0 : \sigma$  is constant is false, then  $\hat{\sigma}_t(n)$  should fluctuate across different windows

## Rolling Covariances and Correlations

Idea: Compute estimates of  $\sigma_{jk}$  and  $\rho_{jk}$  over rolling windows of length  $n < T$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{jk,t}(n) = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (r_{jt-i} - \hat{\mu}_j(n))(r_{kt-i} - \hat{\mu}_k(n))$$
$$\hat{\rho}_{jk,t}(n) = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{jk,t}(n)}{\hat{\sigma}_{jt}(n)\hat{\sigma}_{kt}(n)}$$

If  $H_0 : \rho_{ij}$  is constant is true, then  $\hat{\rho}_{jk,t}(n)$  should stay fairly constant over different windows.

If  $H_0 : \rho_{ij}$  is constant is false, then  $\hat{\rho}_{jk,t}(n)$  should fluctuate across different windows