Emily M. Bender & Alex Lascarides

Morgan & Claypool Synthesis Lectures on Human Language Technologies ©2020, Morgan & Claypool

хi

## **Contents**

	Acknowledgments
1	Introduction
	#0 Understanding semantics and pragmatics is beneficial for building scalable Natural Language Processing (NLP) systems
	#1 Knowledge of semantics and pragmatics can inform the design of NLP systems for understanding and generation
2	What is Meaning?5
	#2 Meaning can be modeled in terms of validity and reference
	#3 Natural language understanding requires commonsense reasoning 8
	#4 Meaning derived from form is different from meaning in context of use 11
	#5 Many extant models of meaning distinguish locutionary, illocutionary
	and perlocutionary acts
	#6 Philosophical concepts like indirect speech acts and common ground are useful for dialogue processing, but they have a different status from
	public commitments when resolving anaphora
	#7 Some speech acts are relations
	#8 Linguistic meaning includes emotional content
	#9 Linguistic meaning includes social meaning
	#10 There is ambiguity at many levels
	#11 What's done as a pipeline in many approaches to NLP is done in human processing via incremental interpretation/incremental building of
	the discourse model
	#12 In face-to-face conversation, people use both verbal and non-verbal actions to convey meaning
	#13 Linguistic meaning and non-linguistic perception interact in complex
	ways
	#14 (Disambiguated) linguistic form produces an abstract meaning
	representation, while coherence and cognitive states yield more specific
	contents

3	Lexical Semantics: Overview
	#15 In formal grammar, words are often represented as opaque predicate symbols, but on its own this misses a lot of important information about
	word meaning
	#16 Lexical semantics includes word sense, semantic roles, and connotation 32
	#17 Sometimes lexical semantics attaches to small collections of words
	rather than individual words
4	Lexical Semantics: Senses
	#18 A single word form can have many senses
	#19 Regular polysemy describes productively related sense families
	#20 Structured lexical meaning representations can support the analysis of
	constructional polysemy
	#21 Homonymy arises when the senses of a word are not now related to
	each other, even if they were according to etymology
	#22 Derivational morphology can be used to create new words with predictable senses
	#23 Word senses that are predicted by productive processes can be blocked
	by sufficiently high frequency underived words with the same sense
	#24 Word senses can change over time
	#25 You know the meaning of a word by the company it keeps!
	#26 Vector space representations offer a different perspective on word
	meaning from the set theoretic concepts used in formal semantics
	#27 Word senses can be extended through metaphor, shifting word
	meaning in unbounded ways
	#28 Metaphorical extensions are nonetheless governed by established word
	meanings and how they relate to each other
	#29 Metaphorical meanings can be conventionalized
	#30 Words can have surprising nonce uses through meaning transfer 52
	#31 Word senses comprise different dimensions and some of those are
	marked as defeasible in the lexicon
5	Semantic Roles
	#32 Word meanings are sometimes best represented as predicate symbols
	that take multiple arguments
	#33 Semantic arguments are differentiated by their role with respect to the
	predicate

	#34 Semantic roles can be analyzed at varying degrees of granularity 57
	#35 Selectional restrictions are soft constraints on the type of argument
	expected to fill each semantic role
	#36 When an argument is not overtly expressed, the semantic predicate
	still carries a role for it
6	Collocations and Other Multiword Expressions
	#37 Multiword expressions (MWEs) straddle the boundary between
	lexical and compositional semantics
	#38 Collocations are dependent on word form and not just word senses 63
	#39 Collocations are often less ambiguous than the words taken in isolation 64
	#40 The strength of a collocation is not equivalent to its frequency 65
	#41 Like individual words, MWEs can have sense ambiguity 66
	#42 Like derivational morphology, there are patterns for generating new
	MWEs
	#43 Like derived words, the sense of an MWE can drift from what the
	productive pattern would predict
	#44 MWEs vary in their syntactic flexibility
	#45 MWEs vary in their compositionality
	#46 It's challenging to represent the relationship between the meaning of
	an idiom and the meanings of its parts
7	Compositional Semantics
	#47 Compositional semantics is largely about working out who did what
	to whom, where, when, how, and why
	#48 Compositional semantic representations can be constructed for
	strings, with and without types and with and without explicit syntax 76
	#49 Comparatives express the degree to which an entity has some gradable
	property in relation to some standard of comparison
	#50 Coordinate constructions can be understood in terms of pluralities of
	entities and events
	#51 Quantifiers express relations between sets described by
	predicate-argument structure
	#52 The semantics of natural language determiners can be represented as
	generalized quantifiers
	#53 Quantifier scope ambiguity is not fully resolved by syntactic ambiguity resolution
	10001441011

	#54 The relative scope of other scopal operators, however, is resolved by syntactic structure
	#55 The importance of correctly representing scopal operators depends on the NLP application
	#56 Compositional semantics interacts with word sense
8	Compositional Semantics beyond Predicate-Argument Structure95
	#58 Compositional semantics includes grammaticized concepts, such as tense, aspect, evidentials, presupposition triggers, or politeness
9	Beyond Sentences
	#66 Understanding meaning in discourse involves some kind of function of discourse update, using a representation of discourse context and a representation of what's just been said
	discourse segments
	#69 The semantic representation of discourse should be dynamically
	interpreted
	#70 Discourse understanding and dialogue generation seem amenable to game-theoretic modeling, but discourse is too open-ended to assume the requisite complete knowledge

<b>10</b>	Reference Resolution
	#71 Discourse processing requires reference resolution
	#72 An antecedent to a pronoun can be abstract content expressed by a
	whole sentence
	#73 Reference resolution depends on grammatical factors
	#74 Reference resolution depends on logical form
	#75 Reference resolution interacts with modals in interesting ways 130
	#76 Reference resolution depends on discourse structure
11	Presupposition
	#77 Utterances can have both entailments and presuppositions
	#78 Presupposition triggers are a wide and varied set
	#79 Some linguistic expressions pass embedded presuppositions up, some
	don't, and with others it depends
	#80 Presupposition accommodation depends on the absence of accessible antecedents in the discourse
	#81 Presuppositions interact with discourse coherence
<b>12</b>	Information Status and Information Structure
12	#82 Information status is a property of linguistic descriptions of referents,
12	#82 Information status is a property of linguistic descriptions of referents, describing their relationship to the common ground
12	#82 Information status is a property of linguistic descriptions of referents, describing their relationship to the common ground
12	#82 Information status is a property of linguistic descriptions of referents, describing their relationship to the common ground
12	#82 Information status is a property of linguistic descriptions of referents, describing their relationship to the common ground
12	#82 Information status is a property of linguistic descriptions of referents, describing their relationship to the common ground
12	#82 Information status is a property of linguistic descriptions of referents, describing their relationship to the common ground
12	#82 Information status is a property of linguistic descriptions of referents, describing their relationship to the common ground
12	#82 Information status is a property of linguistic descriptions of referents, describing their relationship to the common ground
12	#82 Information status is a property of linguistic descriptions of referents, describing their relationship to the common ground
12	#82 Information status is a property of linguistic descriptions of referents, describing their relationship to the common ground
13	#82 Information status is a property of linguistic descriptions of referents, describing their relationship to the common ground
	#82 Information status is a property of linguistic descriptions of referents, describing their relationship to the common ground

	#91 Implicatures can often (but not always) be recognized without appealing to cognitive states.	168
	#92 Constructing a representation of what was said is distinct from evaluating whether what was said is true.	
	#93 In strategic conversation, implicatures can be safe or unsafe	
	#94 Agreement and denial can be implicated, and implicatures can be	1/1
	agreed upon or denied	173
	#95 Silence can be a meaningful act	
	#96 The prosody of an utterance affects what the speaker publicly commits	
	to	175
14	Resources	177
	#97 Lexical resources systematize useful information about word sense and semantic roles	177
	#98 There are also resources annotated with sentence-level semantic	170
	information	
	#100 Discourse information in available corpora comes in many guises	
A	Approaches to Discourse Structure	187
В	Grams Used in IGT	189
	Bibliography	193
	Authors' Biographies	233
	General Index	235
	Index of Languages	249