4.2 Multilocus linkage analysis4.2.1 Meiosis indicators at multiple loci

- For multiple loci, j, j=1,...,L
 - S_ij = 0 if gene at meiosis i locus j is parent's maternal
 - = 1 if gene at meiosis i locus j is parent's paternal.
- We define Sv(j) = { S_ij; i=1,..., m }, for j=1,..., L Sm(i) = { S_ij; j=1,..., L}, for i=1,..., m where m is the number of meioses in the pedigree, and L the number of loci along the chromosome.
- Dependence of the {S_ij}:

Sm(i) are independent over i, i=1,...,m.

- S_ij are independent for loci j on different chromosome pairs
- Sv(j) are dependent among loci j on the same chromosome pair

- Another way of expressing this Markov dependence is through the probability of any given indicator S_ij conditional on all the others.
- S_ij given S_{-(i,j)} = { S_kl; (kl) ≠ (i,j)}, depends only on the indicators for the same meiosis and the two neighboring loci.
- For s=0,1, P(S_ij =s | S_{-(i,j)}) = P(S_ij=s | S_i,j+1, S_i,j-1) which is proportional to
 - $\rho(j-1)^{|s-S_i,j-1|} \times (1 \rho(j-1))^{|s-S_i,j-1|} \times \rho(j-1)^{|s-S_i,j-1|}$

 $x \rho(j)^{s-s_i,j+1} x (1 - \rho(j))^{1-s-s_i,j+1}$ where $\rho(j) = P(T(i,j) = 1) = P(S_{ij} \neq S_{i,j+1})$ is the recombination frequency in I(j).

- Note that the equation just counts the recombination/non-recombination events in intervals I(j-1) and I(j), implied by the three indicators (S_i,j-1, S_ij=s, S_i,j+1).
- There are fewer ibd patterns than values of {S_ij}. However, although the component S_ij are Markov over loci j, gene ibd patterns are not.
- Different values of Sv(j) may give rise to the same ibd pattern at locus j. Grouping the states of a Markov chain does not, in general, produce a Markov chain. So to use the Markov dependence, we have to use {S_ij}.

4.2.2 Conditional independence (no interference)

- Assume that *L* loci are ordered 1,...,*L* along the chromosome
- Let the intervals between successive loci be I(1),...,I(L-1).
- Let T(i, j)=1 if a gamete resulting from meiosis i is recombinant on interval I(j), and T(i, j) =0 otherwise (j=1,...,L-1).
- Then, in a given meiosis i

- and T(i, j) = 0 if $S_{ij} = S_{i,j+1}$, for j=1,...,L-1.
- A model for Sm(i) is equivalent to a model for (T(i,1}, ..., T(i,L-1)).
- The simplest models for meiosis assume no interference.
- In this case the T(i,j) are independent over i and j.
- Then the S_ij are first-order Markov over loci j, with meioses i always being independent.
- One way to express this is that

 $P(S_i | S_i1,..., S_{i,j-1}) = P(S_i | S_{i,j-1})$ so that

 $P(Sm(i)) = P(S_i, 1) \prod_{j=2}^{L} P(S_i | S_i, j-1) \text{ or},$

combining the meioses

 $P({S_{ij}}) = P(Sv(1)) \prod_{j=2}^{L} P(Sv(j) | Sv(j-1))$ (see also 4.2.4).

4.2.3 The hidden Markov structure



- The conditional independence structure of data, in the absence of genetic interference.
- The figure shows the Markov dependence of the Sv(j).
- Also the data Yv(j) at locus j depends only on the inheritance Sv(j) at that locus, (and on allele frequencies etc. for locus j).
- Given Sv(j), {Yv(k), k=(j+1), ... L}, Yv(j), and Sv(j-1) are mutually independent.
- OR, given Sv(j), {Yv(k),k=1, ...,j-1} = Y^(j-1), Yv(j), and Sv(j+1) are mutually independent.

4.2.4 Baum algorithm for total probability

- For data observations Y=(Yv(j), j=1,...,L), we want to compute P(Y).
- Due to the first-order Markov dependence of the Sv(j), we have P (Y) = $\Sigma_{S_{ij}} P(\{S_{ij}\}, bY) = \Sigma_{S_{ij}} P(Y | \{S_{ij}\}) P(\{S_{ij}\})$

= $\Sigma_{S_{ij}}$ ($P(Sv(1)) \prod_{j=2}^{L} P(Sv(j) | Sv(j-1))$)

(П_{j=1}^L P(Yv(j) | Sv(j))).

- We can go forwards. Let Y[^](j) = (Yv(1), ..., Yv(j)), the data along the chromosome up to and including locus j. Note Y = Y[^](L).
- Now define the joint probability R*_j(s) = P(Yv(k), k=1,...,j-1, Sv(j)=s) = P(Y^(j-1), Sv(j)=s) with R* 1(s) = P(Sv(1) = s).
- Then for j=1,2,...,L-1
 R* {j+1}(s) = Σ s* (P(Sv(j+1) = s | Sv(j) = s*)
 - $2_3 (F(3)(F) 5(3)(J) 5)$

 $P(Yv(j) | Sv(j) = s^*) R^*_j(s^*)$,

• With $P(Y) = \Sigma_s^* P(Yv(L) | Sv(L) = s^*) R^*_L (s^*)$.

4.2.5 Lander-Green algorithm

- We can compute P(Yv(j) | Sv(j)) for simple traits
 – recall the example at end
 of Chapter 2. Then the computation method of 4.2.4 can be applied.
- However this exact computation is limited to small pedigrees. If there are m meioses on the pedigree, then Sv(j) can take 2[^]m values. Computations involve, for each locus, transitions from the 2[^]m values of Sv(j) to the 2[^]m values of Sv(j+1).
- Computation is of order L 2ⁿ m 2^m = L 4^m. For Genehunter, for a pedigree with n individuals, f of whom are founders, m = 2 n -3 f, and m ≤ 16.
- Additionally, for each locus and for each value of Sv(j), we must compute P (Yv(j) | Sv(j)). Although this is easy for given Sv(j), this limits size of pedigree.
- Actually better algorithms using independence of meioses give us a factored HMM which means we can get an algorithm of order L m 2ⁿm but it is still exponential in pedigree size.
- The map-specific lod score is log_10 (L(d)/L(∞)), where d is the hypothesized chromosomal location of the trait locus measured in genetic distance, and d=∞ corresponds to p=1/2, or absence of linkage. (For Genehunter, distances are relative to first marker at d=0.)
- The *location score* is defined as 2 log_e (L(d)/L(∞)). Under appropriate conditions, this statistic has approximately a chi-squared distribution in the absence of linkage.
- We consider lod scores for the location d, rather than location scores.
- Genehunter, Allegro, and Merlin are packages using this general approach.

4.2.6 EM algorithm for estimating genetic maps

- Consider the complete-data log-likelihood
 - $$\begin{split} &\log \mathsf{P}(\{\mathsf{S}_ij\}, \mathsf{Y}) = \log \left(\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{Sv}(1)) + \Sigma_{j=2}^{L} \log \left(\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{Sv}(j) \mid \mathsf{Sv}(j-1))\right) \\ &+ \Sigma_{j=1}^{L} \log \left(\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{Yv}(j) \mid \mathsf{Sv}(j))\right) \end{split}$$
- Now recombination parameters enter through log (P(Sv(j) | Sv(j-1))) =
 D (m i d) log(c, (m i d)) i (M, m, D, (m i d))

 $\begin{array}{l} R_{m,j-1} \log(\rho_{m,j-1}) + (M_m - R_{m,j-1}) \log (1 - \rho_{m,j-1}) \\ + R_{f,j-1} \log(\rho_{f,j-1}) + (M_f - R_{f,j-1}) \log (1 - \rho_{f,j-1}) \end{array}$

- where $R_{m,j-1} = \Sigma_{i}$ male $| S_{i,j} S_{i,j-1} |$ is the number of recombinations in interval I(j-1) in male meioses, $p_{m,j-1}$ the recombination rate, and M_{m} is the total number of male meioses scored in the pedigree.
- and similarly R_{f,j-1}, ρ_{f,j-1}) and M_f for female meioses.
- The expected complete-data log-likelihood requires only computation of

$$\label{eq:response} \begin{split} R^*_\{m,j-1\} &= E \;(\; R_{m,j-1} \mid Y) \; = \Sigma_{i} \; \text{male} \; E(\; | \; S_{ij} - S_{i,j-1} \mid | \; Y) \\ \text{and similarly} \; R^*_{f,j-1}. \end{split}$$

- Since the complete-data log-likelihood is a simple binomial log-likelihood, the M-step sets the new estimate of ρ_{m,j-1} to
 R*_{m,j-1}/M_m, and similarly for all intervals j=2,3,...,L and for both
 the male and female meioses.
- Note that P(Sv(j-1), Sv(j) | Y) = P(Sv(j-1), Sv(j), Y) / P(Y) and P(Sv(j-1), Sv(j), Y) = P(Y^(j-2), Sv(j-1)) P(Yv(j-1) | Sv(j-1)) P(Sv(j) | Sv(j-1)) P(Yv(j) | Sv(j)) P(Yv(j+1), ..., Yv(L) | Sv(j))
- The first term is just the R* {j-1}(Sv(j-1)) we had in the Baum algorithm, the second and fourth are just single-locus probabilities of data given inheritance, the third is just the recombination/nonrecombination transitions, and the final one can be computed by backwards (conditional) version of the Baum algorithm.
- Note there are many different forms of the Baum algorithm 4.2.4, all closely related but providing probabilities of slightly different events.
- The EM algorithm is thus readily implemented to provide maximum likelihood (MLE) estimates of recombination frequencies for all intervals and for both sexes.