Stat 581,2004: Homework 5: Outline solutions

1. (a) We find that $\prod_{1}^{n} x_{i}$ is maximized s.t. $\sum_{1}^{n} x_{i} = K$ when all the x_{i} are equal, so $G_{n}^{n} = \prod_{1}^{n} x_{i} \leq \overline{x_{n}}^{n}$, so $G_{n} \leq A_{n}$. Repeating with $1/x_{i}$ in place of x_{i} we get $G_{n}^{-1} \leq H_{n}^{-1}$. So for all real positive values of x_{i} , $H_{n} \leq G_{n} \leq A_{n}$, and hence for non-negative random variables X_{i} with probability 1.

(b) If $E|X_i| < \infty$, $E(1/|X_i|) < \infty$, and $E|\log(X_i)| < \infty$, and $E(X_i) = a$, $E(1/X_i) = h^{-1}$, and $E(\log(X_i)) = \log(g)$ then, by SLLN,

 $(A_n, \log(G_n), 1/H_n) \rightarrow_{a.s.} (a, \log(g), h^{-1})$, Then, by continuous mapping theorem $(A_n, G_n, H_n) \rightarrow_{a.s.} (a, g, h)$. (Hence also converges in probability.)

(c) If also $EX_i^2 < \infty$, $E((1/X_i)^2) < \infty$, and $E(\log(X_i)^2 < \infty$, then by the multivariate CLT

$$\sqrt{n}(A_n - a, \log(G_n) - \log(g), H_n^{-1} - h^{-1}) \to_d Z \sim N_3(0, \Sigma)$$

where $\Sigma_{11} = \operatorname{var}(X_i), \Sigma_{12} = \operatorname{Cov}(X_i, \log(X_i)), \Sigma_{13} = \operatorname{Cov}(X_i, 1/X_i)$, etc. Now use δ -method, with $v(x, y, z) = (x, \exp(y), 1/z)$, so $\nabla v = \operatorname{diag}(1, \exp(y), -z^{-2}) = \operatorname{diag}(1, g, -h^{-2})$ at the mean point, and

$$\sqrt{n}(A_n - a, G_n) - g, H_n - h) \rightarrow_d (\bigtriangledown v) \cdot Z \sim N_3(0, (\bigtriangledown v)\Sigma(\bigtriangledown v)')$$

2. $Z_i = \min(X_i, Y_i), \ \delta_i = I(X_i \leq Y_i), \ f_{X,Y}(x, y) = f(x; \theta)g(y).$ (a) On $Z = z, \ \delta = 1, \ \text{pdf} = f(z; \theta)g(y) \ \text{on } y > z)$ integrates to $f(z; \theta)(1 - G(z)).$ On $Z = z, \delta = 0, \ \text{pdf} = f(x; \theta)g(z) \ \text{on } x > z)$ integrates to $g(z)(1 - F(z; \theta)).$ Together: $h(z, \delta; \theta) = (f(z; \theta)(1 - G(z)))^{\delta}(g(z)(1 - F(z; \theta)))^{1-\delta}.$ (b)

$$\begin{split} \log h &= \delta \log f + \delta \log (1 - G) + (1 - \delta) \log g + (1 - \delta) \log (1 - F) \\ &= -\delta (\log \theta + Z/\theta) + \dots - (1 - \delta) Z/\theta \\ \frac{\partial \log h}{\partial \theta} &= -\delta/\theta + Z/\theta^2 \\ \frac{\partial^2 \log h}{\partial \theta^2} &= \delta/\theta^2 - 2Z/\theta^3 \end{split}$$

Now $E(\delta) = P(X \leq Y) = \int F(y)g(y)dy = \int (1 - \exp(y/\theta))g(y)dy$. Also $E(\partial \log h/\partial \theta) = 0$ gives $E(Z) = \theta E(\delta)$. So $I_1(\theta) = \theta^{-3}(2\theta E(\delta) - \theta E(\delta)) = E(\delta)/\theta^2$. For an *n*-sample, $I_n(\theta) = nI_1(\theta) = nE\delta/\theta^2$. CRLB = $1/I_n(\theta) = \theta^2/n(\int (1 - e^{-y/\theta})g(y)dy)$ Note this makes sense: if observe all the X_i , info is n/θ^2 and we expect to observe a proportion $E(\delta)$ of them.

3. (a)
$$p_{\theta}(x) = \theta f_1(x) + (1 - \theta) f_2(x)$$
.

$$\frac{\partial \log p}{\partial \theta} = \frac{f_1(x) - f_2(x)}{p_{\theta}(x)} \text{ so}$$

$$I_1(\theta) = \operatorname{E}\left(\left(\frac{\partial \log p}{\partial \theta}\right)^2\right) = \int \frac{(f_1(x) - f_2(x))^2}{p_{\theta}(x)} dx$$

$$I_n(\theta) = nI_1(\theta), \quad \operatorname{var}(T) \ge 1/I_n(\theta), \quad \text{if } \operatorname{E}(T) = \theta.$$

(b) Let S_i be the support of $f_i: S_1 \cap S_2 = \Phi$.

$$I_{1}(\theta) = \int_{S_{1}} \frac{f_{1}^{2}}{\theta f_{1}} dx + \int_{S_{2}} \frac{f_{2}^{2}}{(1-\theta)f_{2}} dx$$
$$= \theta^{-1} + (1-\theta)^{-1} = 1/\theta(1-\theta)$$

(c) Regarding this as a missing data problem (see JAW 3.10, as per hint)

$$Y_{i} = (X_{i}, \delta_{i}), \ \delta_{i} = 1, 0 \text{ as } X_{i} \sim f_{1}, f_{2}$$

$$q_{\theta}(x, \delta) = (f_{1}(x)\theta)^{\delta}(f_{2}(x)(1-\theta))^{1-\delta}$$

$$\log q = \text{const} + \delta \log \theta + (1-\delta) \log(1-\theta)$$

$$\frac{\partial \log q}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\delta}{\theta} - \frac{1-\delta}{1-\theta}, \ \frac{\partial^{2} \log q}{\partial \theta^{2}} = -\frac{\delta}{\theta^{2}} - \frac{1-\delta}{(1-\theta)^{2}}, \ I_{1}^{(q)}(\theta) = 1/\theta(1-\theta)$$

$$\text{Now } \frac{\partial \log p}{\partial \theta} = \frac{f_{1}(x) - f_{2}(x)}{p_{\theta}(x)} = \text{E}\left(\frac{\partial \log q}{\partial \theta} \mid X = x\right) \text{ since } \text{E}(\delta \mid X = x) = \frac{\theta f_{1}(x)}{p_{\theta}(x)}$$

$$\text{So } \text{E}\left(\left(\frac{\partial \log p}{\partial \theta}\right)^{2}\right) = \text{E}\left(\text{E}\left(\frac{\partial \log q}{\partial \theta} \mid X\right)^{2}\right)$$

$$\leq \text{E}\left(\text{E}\left(\frac{\partial \log q}{\partial \theta}\right)^{2} \mid X\right) = \text{E}\left(\left(\frac{\partial \log q}{\partial \theta}\right)^{2}\right)$$

4. (a) $K \sim \mathcal{P}(\mu B)$, so $\ell(\mu) = \text{const} - \mu B + K(\log(\mu) + \log(B))$, so $\hat{\mu} = K/B$ which has variance $B^{-2}(\mu B) = \mu/B$.

(b) Presence and observation of plants are independent, so this is still a (now non-homogeneous) Poisson process. Expected number of plants observed is $2\int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda x)(\mu L)dx = 2\mu L/\lambda$. Further, $\Pr(x|\text{observed}) \propto \Pr(\text{obs}|x)\Pr(x) \propto \exp(-\lambda x)$, so normalizing the density we have $f_X(x;\lambda) = \lambda \exp(-\lambda x)$.

(c) The likelihood for (μ, λ) is

$$\Pr(K = k; 2\mu L/\lambda) f_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_k | K = k) \propto \left(\frac{mu}{\lambda}\right)^k \exp\left(-\frac{2\mu L}{\lambda}\right) \prod_{i=1}^k \lambda \exp(-\lambda x_i)$$
$$= \mu^k \exp\left(-\frac{2\mu L}{\lambda} - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^k x_i\right)$$

(d) $\ell = k \log(\mu) - 2\mu L/\lambda - \lambda \sum_i x_i$ and solving the likelihood equations gives $\lambda = (\overline{x})^{-1}$ and $\mu = k\lambda/2L$. Taking negative of expected second derivatives gives the information matrix (inverse of asymptotic variance-covariance matrix) as $I_{\mu\mu} = 2L/\lambda\mu$, $I_{\mu\lambda} = -2L/\lambda^2$, and $I_{\lambda\lambda} = 4\mu L/\lambda^3$.

(e) If $B = 2L/\lambda$, $I^{\mu\mu} = B/\mu$, confirming (a). Then $I_{\mu\mu\cdot\lambda} = (B/\mu) - (B/\lambda)^2(\lambda^2/2\mu B) = B/(2\mu)$, so exactly one half the information about μ is lost by the need to estimate λ .