Chapter 7: EM algrithm and NPMLE: JAW Ch.4 ctd 7.1 The EM Algorithm.

- (i) See hard-copy handout notes from Stat 582, 2001.
- (ii) Recall homework example (with added parameter σ^2): Y_i i.i.d. from mixture $\frac{1}{2}N(-\theta,\sigma^2)+\frac{1}{2}N(\theta,\sigma^2)$

(iii)

$$f_{Y}(y;\theta,\sigma^{2}) = \frac{1}{2}(2\pi\sigma^{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\exp(-y^{2}/(2\sigma^{2}))\exp(-\theta^{2}/(2\sigma^{2}))$$

$$(\exp(-y\theta/\sigma^{2}) + \exp(y\theta/\sigma^{2}))$$

$$\ell_{n}(\theta,\sigma^{2}) = \operatorname{const} - (n/2)\log(\sigma^{2}) - (\sum_{i}Y_{i}^{2})/(2\sigma^{2}) - n\theta^{2}/(2\sigma^{2})$$

$$+ \sum_{i}\log((\exp(-Y_{i}\theta/\sigma^{2}) + \exp(Y_{i}\theta/\sigma^{2}))$$

- (iv) What is the sufficient statistic? How would we estimate (θ, σ^2) ?
- (v) Now suppose each Y_i caries a "flag" $Z_i = -1$ or 1 as obsn i comes from $N(-\theta, \sigma^2)$ or $N(\theta, \sigma^2)$. Let $X_i = (Y_i, Z_i)$.

$$f_X(y, z; \theta, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{2} (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-(y - \theta z)^2 / 2\sigma^2)$$

$$\ell_{c,n}(\theta, \sigma^2) = \sum_{i} \log f(Y_i, Z_i; \theta, \sigma^2)$$

$$= \cot - (n/2) \log(\sigma^2) - \sum_{i} (Y_i - \theta Z_i)^2 / 2\sigma^2$$

$$= \cot - (n/2) \log(\sigma^2) - (2\sigma^2)^{-1} (\sum Y_i^2 - \theta \sum Y_i Z_i + \theta^2 n)$$

(vi) What now is sufficient statistic, if X_i were observed? How now could you estimate (θ, σ^2) ?

The Z_i are "latent variables"; X_i are "complete data"

(vii) $E(\ell_{c,n}|Y)$ requires only

$$E(Z_i|Y_i) = \frac{\phi((y_i - \theta)/\sigma) - \phi((y_i + \theta)/\sigma)}{\phi((y_i - \theta)/\sigma) + \phi((y_i + \theta)/\sigma)}$$

- 7.2 Why does EM work see handout notes
- 7.3 Types of examples
- (i) Multinomial examples e.g. ABO blood types, see handout
- (ii) Mixture examples see 7.1, also hwk.
- (iii) Missing data

Caution: we do NOT "use expectations to impute the missing data"

We compute the expected complete-data log-likelihood. This normally involves using conditional expectations to impute the complete-data sufficient statistics. This is NOT the same thing – see hwk. And it could be more complicated than this – although not if we have chosen sensible "complete-data".

- (iv) Censored data, age-of-onset-data, competing risks models, etc.
- (v) Hidden states, latent variables:

Models in Genetics, Biology, Climate modelling, Environmental modelling.

(vi) General auxiliary variables: the latent variables do not have to mean anything – they are simply a tool, s.t. that the complete-data log-likelihood is easy.

- 7.4 Review of Exponential families
- (i) See handout notes, and/or earlier notes. Form, examples see 2.2
- (ii) The natural sufficient statistics. see 2.4. The natural parameter space.
- (iii) Moment formulae. see 2.2 (vii)

$$E(t_j(X)) = -\frac{\partial \log c(\pi)}{\partial \pi_j}$$

$$Cov(t_j(X), t_j(X)) = -\frac{\partial^2 \log c(\pi)}{\partial \pi_j \partial \pi_l}$$

(iv) Likelihood equation for exponential family - see 4.6

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \pi_j} = n(n^{-1} \sum_{1}^{n} t_j(X_i) - \mathcal{E}(t_j(X)))$$

$$I(\pi) = J(\pi) = \operatorname{var}(T_1, ..., T_k)$$

$$I(\tau) = (\operatorname{var}(T_1, ..., T_k))^{-1} \text{ where } \tau_j = \mathcal{E}(t_j(X))$$

 $(T_1,...,T_k)$ achieves (multiparameter) CRLB for $(\tau_1,...,\tau_k)$.

(v) Completeness see 2.4 (vii)

7.5 EM for exponential families

(i) Suppose complete-data X has exp.fam. form: for nsample $T_j(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_j(X_i)$

$$\log g_{\theta}(X) = \log c(\theta) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \pi_{j}(\theta) T_{j}(X) + \log h(X)$$
$$Q(\theta; \theta^{*}) = \log c(\theta) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \pi_{j}(\theta) \operatorname{E}_{\theta^{*}}(T_{j}(X)|Y) + \operatorname{E}_{\theta^{*}}(\log h(X)|Y).$$

(ii) In natural parametrization π_i :

$$Q(\pi; \pi^*) = \log c(\pi) + \sum_{j=1}^k \pi_j \mathcal{E}_{\pi^*}(T_j(X)|Y)$$
$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \pi_j} = \mathcal{E}_{\pi^*}(T_j(X)|Y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi_j} \log c(\pi)$$
$$= \mathcal{E}_{\pi^*}(T_j(X)|Y) - \mathcal{E}_{\pi}(T_j(X))$$

Thus EM iteratively fits unconditioned to conditioned expectations of T_j . At MLE $E_{\pi^*}(T_j(X)|Y) = E_{\pi^*}(T_j(X))$.

(iii) Recall

but
$$\ell(\pi) = \log g_{\pi}(X) - \log g_{\pi}^{*}(X|Y)$$
$$= \frac{h(X) \exp(\sum_{j} \pi_{j} t_{j}(X))}{\int_{\mathcal{Y}(X)=\mathcal{Y}} h(X) \exp(\sum_{j} \pi_{j} t_{j}(X)) dX}$$
$$= c^{*}(\pi; Y) h(X) \exp(\sum_{j} \pi_{j} t_{j}(X))$$
so
$$\ell(\pi) = \log c(\pi) - \log c^{*}(\pi; Y)$$

(iv) Hence, differentiating this:

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \pi_j} = -\mathrm{E}_\pi(T_j) + \mathrm{E}_\pi(T_j|Y)$$

At MLE: $\mathrm{E}_\pi(T_j) = \mathrm{E}_\pi(T_j|Y)$

(v) Differentiating again:

$$-\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \pi_i \partial \pi_l} = \operatorname{Cov}(T_j, T_l) - \operatorname{Cov}((T_j, T_l)|Y)$$

If Y determines X, var(T(X)|Y) = 0, and then observed information is var(T) as for any exp fam.

If Y tells nothing about X, var(T(X)|Y) = var(T(X)), and observed information is 0.

"Information lost" due to observing Y not X is var(T(X)|Y).