

1. (16 points: 4 each part)

(a)  $M_X(t) = E(\exp(tX))$  and  $M_{kX}(t) = E(\exp(t(kX))) = E(\exp((kt)X)) = M_X(kt)$ .

$M_{X+Y}(t) = E(\exp(t(X+Y))) = E(\exp(tX) \cdot \exp(tY)) = E(\exp(tX)) \cdot E(\exp(tY))$ , using independence of  $X$  and  $Y$ .

(b) mgf of  $Y_i$  is  $(1/2)/((1/2) - t)$  from info sheet, so using (a)

mgf of  $(1/2)(Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3)$  is  $((1/2)/((1/2) - (t/2)))^3 = (1/(1-t))^3$

mgf of  $W_i$  is  $(1/(1-t))^{1.5}$  from info sheet, so using (a), mgf of  $W_1 + W_2$  is  $(1/(1-t))^3$

Also, from the info sheet, this is mgf of  $G(3, 1)$ .

So, by uniqueness of mgf,  $(1/2)(Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3)$  and  $W_1 + W_2$  have same  $G(3, 1)$  distribution.

(c) Note  $(X_1^2 + X_2^2) = 3(Z_1^2 + Z_2^2)$ , where  $Z_i \sim N(0, 1)$ , and from table  $Z_i^2$  has mgf  $(\frac{1}{2}/(\frac{1}{2} - t))^{1/2}$

So mgf of  $X_1^2 + X_2^2$  is  $(\frac{1}{2}/(\frac{1}{2} - 3t))^{2 \cdot (1/2)} = (1/6)/((1/6) - t)$ .

But mgf of  $3Y_1$  is also  $(\frac{1}{2}/(\frac{1}{2} - 3t)) = ((1/6)/((1/6) - t))$  which is mgf of  $\mathcal{E}(1/6)$ .

So, by uniqueness of mgf,  $X_1^2 + X_2^2$  and  $3Y_1$  have the same  $\mathcal{E}(1/6)$  distribution.

(d) As in (c) mgf of  $(X_1^2 + X_2^2 + X_3^2)$  is  $(\frac{1}{2}/(\frac{1}{2} - 3t))^{3 \cdot (1/2)} = (1/6)/((1/6) - t)^{1.5}$ .

And mgf of  $6W_3$  is  $(1/(1-6t))^{1.5} = ((1/6)/((1/6) - t))^{1.5}$  which is mgf of  $G(1.5, 1/6)$ .

So, by uniqueness of mgf,  $(X_1^2 + X_2^2 + X_3^2)$  and  $6W_3$  have same  $G(1.5, 1/6)$  distribution.

2. (20 points: 4 each part)

Suppose that  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are the outcomes of  $n$ -sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  which are i.i.d from the probability density function  $f_X(x; \theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1}/2^\theta$  on  $0 \leq x \leq 2$  (and  $f_X(x; \theta) = 0$  otherwise), where  $\theta > 0$ .

(a)  $E(X_i) = \int_0^2 \theta x^\theta / 2^\theta = \theta [x^{\theta+1}/(\theta+1)]_0^2 / 2^\theta = 2\theta/(\theta+1)$ .

(b) Method of moments equation is  $\bar{x}_n = E(X_i) = 2\theta/(\theta+1)$ , which gives  $\theta = \bar{x}_n/(2 - \bar{x}_n)$ .

So the MoM estimator of  $\theta$  is  $\bar{X}_n/(2 - \bar{X}_n)$ .

(c)

$$L_n(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_X(x_i; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta x_i^{\theta-1} / 2^\theta = \theta^n (\prod_{i=1}^n x_i)^{\theta-1} / 2^{n\theta}.$$

so by the factorization criterion  $T = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

(d) Writing  $t$  for the value of  $T = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ , the log-likelihood is

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_n(\theta) &= n \log \theta + (\theta - 1) \log t - n\theta \log 2 \\ \ell'_n(\theta) &= n/\theta + \log t - n \log 2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

gives estimator  $1/(\log(2) - (1/n) \log(T))$  or  $1/(\log 2 - (1/n) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i))$ .

(e) The MLE should be preferred.

The main reason is that the MLE is a function of the sufficient statistic, while the MoM estimator is not. The Rao=Blackwell Theorem tells we can always do better using only functions of sufficient statistics.

(For large samples, MLE's reliably have other good properties – asymptotically unbiased, consistent, small mse, etc.)