#### Lecture 13: limits of probabilities of nested events Kelly 2.5

### 13.1 The collection of all events

For (finte or) countable  $\Omega$ , events are all subsets of  $\Omega$ , but this does not work for  $\Omega = \Re$ .

More generally,  $\Omega$  is event,  $E$  an event  $\Rightarrow E^c$  an event, and  $E_1, E_2, \dots$  events  $\Rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}$  an event.

(Such collections, closed under complements and countable unions, are called  $\sigma$ -fields: Kelly 1.3.)

Note  $\Phi = \Omega^c$  is an event, and  $\bigcap E_i = (\bigcup E_i^c)^c$  are then also events.

# 13.2 The events on  $\Re$  generated by sets  $(-\infty, b]$  for all real b.

(i) First, for  $a < b$ ,  $(-\infty, a]^c \cap (-\infty, b] = (a, b]$  so we have the half-left-open intervals.

(ii) Next,  $\bigcup_n (a, b - \frac{1}{n})$  $\frac{1}{n}$  =  $(a, b)$  so we have the open intervals.

(iii) Next,  $\bigcap_n (a - \frac{1}{n})$  $\frac{1}{n}, b$  = [a, b] so we have the closed intervals.

(iv) Next, if  $a = b$  in (iii), we have isolated points  $\{b\}$ .

(v) Finally, taking countable unions of these, we have all countable sets.

Sets generated by countable unions and intersections of intervals, are the *Borel sets* (Kelly 1.3), and make up the set of events for a real-valued (discrete or continuous) random variable.

#### 13.3 Increasing and decreasing nested sets

(i)  $A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots$  are nested increasing sets if  $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset A_3 \subset \dots$ . Then  $\bigcup_{1}^{n} A_i = A_n$  and  $\bigcap_{1}^{n} A_i = A_1$ .

(ii)  $A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots$  are nested decreasing sets if  $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset A_3 \supset \dots$ . Then  $\bigcup_1^n A_i = A_1$  and  $\bigcap_1^n A_i = A_n$ .

#### Examples: Kelly 2.5.1, 2.5.2

1. Increasing:  $A_n = (-\infty, x - \frac{1}{n})$  $\frac{1}{n}$ ].  $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n = (-\infty, x)$ .

2. Decreasing:  $A_n = (-\infty, x + \frac{1}{n})$  $\frac{1}{n}$ ) or  $A_n = (-\infty, x + \frac{1}{n})$  $\frac{1}{n}$ . lim<sub>n→∞</sub>  $A_n = (-\infty, x]$ .

3. Decreasing:  $A_n$  is event of no successes in n tries. Then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n$  is event of no success ever.

#### 13.4 Nested sets theorem; Kelly 2.5.3, 2.5.8

**Theorem:** Let  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$  be any events in  $\Omega$ .

(i) If  $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset A_3 \subset \ldots$ ,  $P(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \ldots) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P(A_n)$ .

(ii) If  $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset A_3 \supset ...$ ,  $P(A_1 \bigcap A_2 \bigcap A_3....) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P(A_n)$ .

**Proof:** (i) Let  $B_i = A_i \cap A_{i-1}^c$ ; Then  $B_i$  are disjoint and  $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup ... \cup B_n = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup ... \cup A_n$ , so  $P(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3.....) = P(B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3.....) = \sum_{1}^{\infty} P(B_i) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\sum_{1}^{n} P(B_i))$  $= \lim_{n \to \infty} P(B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P(A_n).$ 

(ii) Let  $D_i = A_i^c$ , so from (i)  $P(D_1 \cup D_2 \cup D_3.....) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P(D_n)$ . But  $P(D_1 \cup D_2 \cup D_3.....) = P((A_1 \cap A_2 \cap ...)^c) = 1 - P(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap ...)$ and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} P(D_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} (1 - P(A_n)) = 1 - \lim_{n\to\infty} P(A_n)$ .

## 13.5 Examples; Kelly 2.5.4 etc.

(i) In independent trials, with probability of success  $p > 0$ , eventually we have success with probability 1, since if  $A_n$  is event of no successes in n tries,  $P(A_n) = (1-p)^n \longrightarrow 0$ .

(ii) Let the probability of success on try k be  $p_k$ . Let  $D_n = A_n^c$  be event of success by try n. Then  $P(D_n) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n p_k$ . If  $p_k$  decrease fast (e.g.  $p_k = 0.1/n^2$ ) then  $\lim P(D_n) < 1$ ; eventual success is not certain. (iii) Increasing: For any random variable X;  $P(X < a) = \lim_{h \to 0} P(X \leq a - \frac{1}{h})$  $\frac{1}{n})$ ).

Increasing: For any random variable X;  $P(X > a) = \lim_{h \to 0} P(X > a)$  $\frac{1}{n})$ ). Decreasing: For any random variable X;  $P(X \le a) = \lim_{h \to 0} P(X \le (a + \frac{1}{h})$  $\frac{1}{n}$ ) =  $\lim P(X < (a + \frac{1}{n})$  $\frac{1}{n})$ ). Decreasing: For any random variable  $X; P(X \ge a) = \lim P(X \ge (a - \frac{1}{n})$  $\frac{1}{n}) = \lim P(X > (a - \frac{1}{n})$  $\frac{1}{n})$ ).

#### Lecture 14: Cumulative distribution functions Kelly 3.2

14.1 (i) Definition: For any random variable  $X$ , the *cumulative distribution function* is defined as

$$
F_X(x) = P(X \le x) \text{ for } -\infty < x < \infty.
$$

(ii) For a discrete random variable with pmf  $p_X(x)$ ,  $F_X(b) = \sum_{x \leq b} p_X(x)$ .

(iii) For a continuous random variable with pdf  $f_X(x)$ ,  $F_X(b) = \int_{-\infty}^{b} f_X(x) dx$ .

(iv) For all random variables,  $P(a < X \leq b) = F(b) - F(a)$ 

because  $\{X \leq b\} = \{X \leq a\} \cup \{a < X \leq b\}$  and  $\{X \leq a\} \cap \{a < X \leq b\} = \Phi$  (empty set).

## 14.2 Properties:

(i)  $F_X$  is a non-decreasing function: if  $a < b$ , then  $F_X(a) \leq F_X(b)$ , because  $\{X \leq a\} \subset \{X \leq b\}$ .

(ii)  $\lim_{b\to\infty} F_X(b) = 1$ , because for any increasing sequence  $b_n \to \infty$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, ...$ 

$$
\Omega = \{ X < \infty \} = \bigcup \{ X \le b_n \}, \text{ so } 1 = P(\Omega) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P(X \le b_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} F_X(b_n).
$$

(iii)  $\lim_{b\to-\infty} F_X(b) = 0$ , because for any decreasing sequence  $b_n \to -\infty$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, ...$ 

 $\Phi = \{X = -\infty\} = \bigcap \{X \le b_n\},\$  so  $0 = P(\Phi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P(X \le b_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} F_X(b_n).$ 

(iv)  $F_X$  is right-continuous. That is, for any b and any decreasing sequence  $b_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , with  $b_n \to b$  as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} F_X(b_n) = F_X(b)$ , because  $\{X \leq b\} = \cap \{X \leq b_n\}.$ 

Note  $P(X \le b) = P(X < b) + P(X = b)$ , and  $P(X < b) = \lim_{x \to b^-} F(x)$ .

If X is discrete, with  $P(X = b) > 0$ ,  $F_X$  will be discontinuous at  $x = b$ .

#### 14.3 Case of continuous random variables:

For discrete random variables,  $F_X(x)$  is just a set of flat (constant) pieces, with jumps in amount  $P(X = x_i)$ at each possible value  $x_i$  of X. This is not very useful.

For continuous random variables, the cdf is very useful!

$$
F_X(x) = P(X \le x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f_X(w) dw \quad \text{so} \quad \frac{dF_X(x)}{dx} = f_X(x).
$$

That is, we get the pdf by differentiating the cdf: the cdf is often easier to consider.

Example: scaling an exponential random variable.

Suppose  $f_X(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$  on  $x \ge 0$ , and let  $Y = aX$   $(a > 0)$ . What is the pdf of Y?

First, 
$$
F_x(x) = \int_0^x \lambda e^{-\lambda w} dw = [-e^{-\lambda w}]_0^x = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}
$$
 on  $x \ge 0$ .  
\nNow,  $F_Y(y) = P(Y \le y) = P(aX \le y) = P(X \le y/a) = F_X(y/a) = (1 - e^{\lambda y/a})$ ,  
\nso  $f_Y(y) = F'_Y(y) = \frac{d}{dy}(1 - e^{-\lambda y/a}) = (\lambda/a)e^{-(\lambda/a)y}$  on  $y \ge 0$ .

That is Y is an exponential random variable with parameter  $\lambda/a$ .

14.4 Using the cdf to consider functions of random variables (Kelly 5.2)

Using the cdf is often the easiest way to consider functions of a random variable. Example: Suppose X is Uniform  $U(0,1)$ . What is the pdf of  $Y = X<sup>3</sup>$ ?

$$
f_X(x) = 1, \ 0 \le x \le 1; \quad F_X(x) = x, \ 0 \le x \le 1
$$
  

$$
F_Y(y) = P(Y \le y) = P(X^3 \le y) = P(X \le y^{1/3}) = F_X(y^{1/3}) = y^{1/3}, \ 0 \le y \le 1
$$
  

$$
f_Y(y) = \frac{d}{dy} F_Y(y) = (1/3)y^{-2/3} \ 0 \le y \le 1
$$

#### Lecture 15: Conditional probability for random variables

#### 15.1 Conditioning a discrete random variable

Recall  $P(X = x)$  is just an event, and  $P(X \in B) = \sum_{x \in B} P(X = x)$ . So  $P(X \in C \mid X \in B) = P(X \in B \cap C)/P(X \in B).$ Also  $P(X = x | X \in B) = P(X = x)/P(X \in B)$ , provided  $x \in B$ .

#### 15.2 Examples of conditioning a discrete random variable

(i) If X is Poisson, parameter 1:  $P(X = x) = e^{-1}x^2/x! = e^{-1}/x!$ ,  $P(X \ge 2) = 1 - e^{-1} - e^{-1}$ , and  $P(X = x \mid X \ge 2) = (e^{-1}/x!)/(1 - 2e^{-1})$ , for  $x = 2, 3, 4, ...$ 

(ii) If X is Bin(11,0.5): 
$$
P(X \text{ even}) = 1/2
$$
 and  $P(X = x | X \text{ even}) = 2P(X = x)$  if x is even, 0 otherwise.

# (iii) The forgetting property of the Geometric Distribution

Suppose on each try the probability of "success" is p. Let X be the number of failures before a "success" is achieved. Then  $P(X = x) = (1 - p)^x \cdot p$  for  $x = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$  (Geometric distribution).

 $P(X \ge k) = P(\text{first } k \text{ are failures}) = (1-p)^k \text{ (or } P(X \ge k) = \sum_{x=k}^{\infty} (1-p)^x \cdot p = \text{same thing}).$  $P(X \ge k + \ell \mid X \ge \ell) = P(X \ge k + \ell)/P(X \ge \ell) = (1-p)^{k+\ell}/(1-p)^{\ell} = (1-p)^{k} = P(X \ge k).$ 

## 15.3 Conditioning a continuous random variable

Recall  $X \in B$  is an event and  $P(X \in B) = \int_{x \in B} f_X(x) dx$ . So  $P(X \in C | X \in B) = P(X \in B \cap C) / P(X \in B) = \int_{B \cap C} f(x) dx / \int_{B} f(x) dx$ 

## 15.4 Examples of conditioning a continuous random variable

(i) Example of a Uniform random variable Suppose X has p.d.f.  $f(x) = 1, 0 \le x \le 1$ .

 $P(X > 0.6 \mid X \le 0.8) = P(0.6 < X \le 0.8)/P(X \le 0.8) = 0.2/0.8 = 0.25.$ 

(ii) Example for an exponential random variable

Suppose X has p.d.f. 
$$
f(x) = 0.5e^{-0.5x}
$$
 on  $0 < x < \infty$ :  $F(x) = \int^x f(w)dw = 1 - e^{-0.5x}$ .  
So  $P(X \le 6 | X > 2) = P(2 < X \le 6)/P(X > 2) = (e^{-1} - e^{-3})/e^{-1} = (1 - e^{-2}) \approx 6/7$ .

(iii) The forgetting property of the exponential.

Suppose X has p.d.f.  $f(x) = \lambda \exp(-\lambda x)$ ,  $0 < x < \infty$ .

Note  $F_X(a) = P(X \le a) = \int_0^a f(x) dx = (1 - \exp(-\lambda a))$ , so  $P(X > a) = \exp(-\lambda a)$ . Consider

 $P(X > a + b \mid X > a) = P(X > a + b)/P(X > a) = \exp(-\lambda(a + b))/\exp(-\lambda a) = \exp(-\lambda b) = P(X > b).$ 

## 15.5 Approximating discrete by continuous distributions

Note the geometric and exponential distributions both have the "forgetting" property.

In fact, geometric is just a discrete version of the exponential.

Consider a r.v. T with geometric distribution with  $p = 0.02$  and also T with an exponential with rate parameter  $\lambda = 0.02$ .

(i)Compare  $P(T \ge 50)$  under the two models.

(ii) Repeat for  $p = 0.001$  (geometric) and  $\lambda = 0.001$  (exponential).

(iii) Using either model (p or  $\lambda = 0.02$ ), what is  $P(T \ge 100 \mid T \ge 50)$ ?

(iv) Using either model (p or  $\lambda = 0.02$ ), what is  $P(T \le 50 \mid T \le 100)$ ?

(v) In (i) is it better to compare  $P(T > 49.5)$ ? Why? (Actually not, but it could be?)