

Uniqueness of \hat{X}_{n+h} : I

- overhead X-13 states that \hat{X}_{n+h} is *unique* even if $\Gamma_n \mathbf{a}_n = \boldsymbol{\gamma}_n(h)$ has multiple solutions
- as an example, consider stationary process of Problem 2(b):

$$X_t = Z_2 \cos(\omega t) + Z_1 \sin(\omega t),$$

where Z_1 and Z_2 are independent $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ RVs

- ACVF for $\{X_t\}$ is $\gamma(h) = \cos(\omega h)$
- to forecast X_4 based on $\mathbf{X}_3 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [X_3, X_2, X_1]$, consider
 1. Γ_3 , the 3×3 matrix whose (i, j) th element is $\gamma(i - j)$;
 2. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_3(1) = [\gamma(1), \gamma(2), \gamma(3)]'$; and
 3. $\mathbf{a} = [a_1, a_2, a_3]'$, a solution to $\Gamma_3 \mathbf{a} = \boldsymbol{\gamma}_3(1)$

Uniqueness of \hat{X}_{n+h} : II

- since $\gamma(h) = \cos(\omega h)$,

$$\Gamma_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma(0) & \gamma(1) & \gamma(2) \\ \gamma(1) & \gamma(0) & \gamma(1) \\ \gamma(2) & \gamma(1) & \gamma(0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cos(\omega) & \cos(2\omega) \\ \cos(\omega) & 1 & \cos(\omega) \\ \cos(2\omega) & \cos(\omega) & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- making use of the trig relationship $\cos(2\omega) = 2\cos^2(\omega) - 1$ (see, e.g., Wikipedia), it follows that a linear combination of the first two columns of Γ_3 is equal to the third:

$$- \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \cos(\omega) \\ \cos(2\omega) \end{bmatrix} + 2\cos(\omega) \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\omega) \\ 1 \\ \cos(\omega) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(2\omega) \\ \cos(\omega) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- hence Γ_3 is rank deficient and does not possess a unique inverse

Uniqueness of \hat{X}_{n+h} : III

- as a result, there are multiple solutions to $\Gamma_3 \mathbf{a} = \boldsymbol{\gamma}_3(1)$, where $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_3(1) = [\cos(\omega), \cos(2\omega), \cos(3\omega)]'$
- one is \mathbf{a}_1 , defined to be $[2 \cos(\omega), -1, 0]'$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cos(\omega) & \cos(2\omega) \\ \cos(\omega) & 1 & \cos(\omega) \\ \cos(2\omega) & \cos(\omega) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \cos(\omega) \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\omega) \\ \cos(2\omega) \\ \cos(3\omega) \end{bmatrix},$$

deducible from $2 \cos^2(\omega) - 1 = \cos(2\omega)$

and $4 \cos^3(\omega) - 3 \cos(\omega) = \cos(3\omega)$ (see, e.g., Wikipedia)

- another is \mathbf{a}_2 , defined to be $[0, 4 \cos^2(\omega) - 1, -2 \cos(\omega)]'$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cos(\omega) & \cos(2\omega) \\ \cos(\omega) & 1 & \cos(\omega) \\ \cos(2\omega) & \cos(\omega) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \cos^2(\omega) - 1 \\ -2 \cos(\omega) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\omega) \\ \cos(2\omega) \\ \cos(3\omega) \end{bmatrix},$$

deducible from the same two trig relationships shown above

Uniqueness of \hat{X}_{n+h} : IV

- the first solution yields the prediction

$$\hat{X}_4 = 2 \cos(\omega) X_3 - X_2,$$

which predicts X_4 perfectly since $X_t = 2 \cos(\omega) X_{t-1} - X_{t-2}$ for all t (the fact that $X_t = Z_2 \cos(\omega t) + Z_1 \sin(\omega t)$ obeys $X_t = 2 \cos(\omega) X_{t-1} - X_{t-2}$ is stated on overhead VIII-35 and is the subject of an exercise)

- now

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{X}_4 &= 2 \cos(\omega) X_3 - X_2 \\ &= 2 \cos(\omega) (2 \cos(\omega) X_2 - X_1) - X_2 \\ &= \left(4 \cos^2(\omega) - 1 \right) X_2 - 2 \cos(\omega) X_1, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the second solution yields the same prediction

Uniqueness of \hat{X}_{n+h} : **V**

- amongst other possible solutions are $\alpha \mathbf{a}_1 + (1 - \alpha) \mathbf{a}_2$ since

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_3(\alpha \mathbf{a}_1 + (1 - \alpha) \mathbf{a}_2) &= \alpha \Gamma_3 \mathbf{a}_1 + (1 - \alpha) \Gamma_3 \mathbf{a}_2 \\ &= \alpha \boldsymbol{\gamma}_3(1) + (1 - \alpha) \boldsymbol{\gamma}_3(1) = \boldsymbol{\gamma}_3(1) \end{aligned}$$

- if $\omega \neq k\pi$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, setting $\alpha = 1 - 1/(4 \cos^2(\omega))$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_3 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4 \cos^2(\omega)}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 2 \cos(\omega) \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{4 \cos^2(\omega)} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \cos^2(\omega) - 1 \\ -2 \cos(\omega) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 \cos(\omega) - \frac{1}{2 \cos(\omega)} \\ 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2 \cos(\omega)} \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

which also predicts X_4 perfectly, but based on just X_3 and X_1 (the one based on \mathbf{a}_1 uses just X_3 and X_2 , whereas the one for \mathbf{a}_2 , just X_2 and X_1)