# Wavelet-Based Bootstrapping for Non-Gaussian Time Series

Don Percival

Applied Physics Laboratory Department of Statistics University of Washington Seattle, Washington, USA

overheads for talk available at

http://faculty.washington.edu/dbp/talks.html

# Overview

- start with some background on rationale behind bootstrapping
- review parametric and block bootstrapping (two approaching for handling correlated time series)
- review one wavelet-based approach to bootstrapping (Percival, Sardy and Davison, 2001)
- describe a new wavelet-based approach that uses 'trees' for resampling and is potentially useful for non-Gaussian time series
- demonstrate methodology on time series related to BMW stock
- conclude with some remarks

### **Motivating Question**

• let  $\mathbf{X} = [X_0, \dots, X_{N-1}]^T$  be a portion of a stationary process with autocorrelation sequence (ACS)

$$\rho_{\tau} \equiv \frac{s_{\tau}}{s_0}, \text{ where } s_{\tau} \equiv \operatorname{cov} \{X_t, X_{t+\tau}\} \text{ and } s_0 = \operatorname{var} \{X_t\}$$

• given a time series, we can estimate its ACS at  $\tau = 1$  using

$$\hat{\rho}_1 \equiv \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{N-2} (X_t - \overline{X}) (X_{t+1} - \overline{X})}{\sum_{t=0}^{N-1} (X_t - \overline{X})^2}, \text{ where } \overline{X} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} X_t$$

- Q: given the amount of data N we have, how close can we expect  $\hat{\rho}_1$  to be to the true unknown  $\rho_1$ ?
- i.e., how can we assess the sampling variability in  $\hat{\rho}_1$ ?

# Classic Approach – Large Sample Theory

- let  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  denote a Gaussian (normal) random variable (RV) with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$
- under suitable conditions (see, e.g., Fuller, 1996),  $\hat{\rho}_1$  is close to the distribution of  $\mathcal{N}(\rho_1, \sigma_N^2)$  as  $N \to \infty$ , where

$$\sigma_N^2 \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\tau = -\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \rho_\tau^2 (1 + 2\rho_1^2) + \rho_{\tau+1}\rho_{\tau-1} - 4\rho_1 \rho_\tau \rho_{\tau-1} \right\}$$


• in practice, this result is unappealing because it requires

knowledge of theoretical ACS


- ACS to damp down fast, ruling out some processes of interest


• while large sample theory has been worked out for  $\hat{\rho}_1$  under certain conditions, similar theory for other statistics can be hard to come by

- if  $X_t$ 's were IID, we could apply 'bootstrapping' to assess the variability in  $\hat{\rho}_1$ , as follows
- consider a time series of length N = 8 that is a realization of a Gaussian white noise process  $(\rho_1 = 0)$ :





- if  $X_t$ 's were IID, we could apply 'bootstrapping' to assess the variability in  $\hat{\rho}_1$ , as follows
- consider a time series of length N = 8 that is a realization of a Gaussian white noise process  $(\rho_1 = 0)$ :







- if  $X_t$ 's were IID, we could apply 'bootstrapping' to assess the variability in  $\hat{\rho}_1$ , as follows
- consider a time series of length N = 8 that is a realization of a Gaussian white noise process  $(\rho_1 = 0)$ :







- if  $X_t$ 's were IID, we could apply 'bootstrapping' to assess the variability in  $\hat{\rho}_1$ , as follows
- consider a time series of length N = 8 that is a realization of a Gaussian white noise process  $(\rho_1 = 0)$ :







- if  $X_t$ 's were IID, we could apply 'bootstrapping' to assess the variability in  $\hat{\rho}_1$ , as follows
- consider a time series of length N = 8 that is a realization of a Gaussian white noise process  $(\rho_1 = 0)$ :







- if  $X_t$ 's were IID, we could apply 'bootstrapping' to assess the variability in  $\hat{\rho}_1$ , as follows
- consider a time series of length N = 8 that is a realization of a Gaussian white noise process  $(\rho_1 = 0)$ :







- if  $X_t$ 's were IID, we could apply 'bootstrapping' to assess the variability in  $\hat{\rho}_1$ , as follows
- consider a time series of length N = 8 that is a realization of a Gaussian white noise process  $(\rho_1 = 0)$ :







- if  $X_t$ 's were IID, we could apply 'bootstrapping' to assess the variability in  $\hat{\rho}_1$ , as follows
- consider a time series of length N = 8 that is a realization of a Gaussian white noise process  $(\rho_1 = 0)$ :






- if  $X_t$ 's were IID, we could apply 'bootstrapping' to assess the variability in  $\hat{\rho}_1$ , as follows
- consider a time series of length N = 8 that is a realization of a Gaussian white noise process  $(\rho_1 = 0)$ :





• repeat a large number of times M to get  $\hat{\rho}_1^{(1)}, \hat{\rho}_1^{(2)}, \dots, \hat{\rho}_1^{(M)}$ 


• plots shows estimated probability density function (PDF) for  $\hat{\rho}_1^{(1)}, \hat{\rho}_1^{(2)}, \dots, \hat{\rho}_1^{(100)}$ , along with (a) PDF for  $\mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{8})$  and (b) approximation to the true PDF for  $\hat{\rho}_1$ 



vertical line indicates  $\hat{\rho}_1$ 

• can regard sample distribution of  $\{\hat{\rho}_1^{(m)}\}\$  as an approximation to the unknown distribution of  $\hat{\rho}_1$ 

- quality of approximation depends upon particular time series
- here are bootstrap approximations to PDF of  $\hat{\rho}_1$  based upon two other time series of length N = 8, along with true PDF



• repeating the above for 50 time series yields 50 bootstrap PDFs

• summarize via sample means and standard deviations (SDs):

average of 50 sample means  $\doteq -0.127$  (truth  $\doteq -0.124$ ) average of 50 sample SDs  $\doteq 0.280$  (truth  $\doteq 0.284$ )


#### **Bootstrapping Correlated Time Series: I**

- key assumption:  $\mathbf{X}$  contains IID RVs
- if not true (as for most time series!), sample distribution of  $\{\hat{\rho}_1^{(m)}\}\$  can be a poor approximation to distribution of  $\hat{\rho}_1$
- as an example, consider first order autoregressive (AR) process:

$$X_t = \phi X_{t-1} + \epsilon_t,$$

where  $\phi = 0.9$  and  $\{\epsilon_t\}$  is zero mean Gaussian white noise


• AR time series of length N = 128 with sample and true ACSs:



### **Bootstrapping Correlated Time Series: II**

• use same procedure as before to get  $\hat{\rho}_1^{(1)}, \hat{\rho}_1^{(2)}, \dots, \hat{\rho}_1^{(100)}$ 

• bootstrap approximation to PDF of  $\hat{\rho}_1$  along with true PDF:



- bootstrap approximation gets even worse as N increases
- to correct the problem caused by correlation in time series, can use specialized time- or frequency-domain bootstrapping (assuming true ACS damps downs sufficiently fast)

#### Parametric Bootstrapping: I

- one well-known time-domain bootstrapping scheme is the parametric (or residual) bootstrap
- suppose **X** is a realization of AR process  $X_t = \phi X_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$
- note that var  $\{X_t\} = \operatorname{var} \{\epsilon_t\}/(1-\phi^2)$  and  $\rho_\tau = \phi^{|\tau|}$
- in particular,  $\rho_1 = \phi$ , so can estimate  $\phi$  using  $\hat{\phi} \equiv \hat{\rho}_1$
- since  $\epsilon_t = X_t \phi X_{t-1}$ , can form residuals

$$r_t = X_t - \hat{\phi} X_{t-1}, \quad t = 1, \dots, N-1,$$

with the idea that  $r_t$  will be a good approximation to  $\epsilon_t$ 


• let  $r_0^{(1)}, r_1^{(1)}, \dots, r_{N-1}^{(1)}$  be a random sample from  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{N-1}$ • let  $X_0^{(1)} = r_0^{(1)} / (1 - \hat{\phi}^2)^{1/2}$  ('stationary initial condition')

#### Parametric Bootstrapping: II

• form

$$X_t^{(1)} = \hat{\phi} X_{t-1}^{(1)} + r_t^{(1)}, \quad t = 1, \dots, N-1$$

yielding the bootstrapped time series  $X_0^{(1)}, X_1^{(1)}, \dots, X_{N-1}^{(1)}$ • AR time series (left-hand plot) and bootstrapped series (right):




• use bootstrapped series to compute  $\hat{\rho}_1^{(1)}$ 

• repeat this procedure M times to get  $\hat{\rho}_1^{(1)}, \hat{\rho}_1^{(2)}, \dots, \hat{\rho}_1^{(M)}$ 

### Parametric Bootstrapping: III

• bootstrap approximation to PDF of  $\hat{\rho}_1$  along with true PDF:



vertical line indicates  $\hat{\rho}_1$ 

• repeating the above for 50 AR time series yields:

average of 50 sample means  $\doteq 0.83$  (truth  $\doteq 0.86$ ) average of 50 sample SDs  $\doteq 0.053$  (truth  $\doteq 0.048$ )


#### Parametric Bootstrapping: IV

- important assumption:  $\mathbf{X}$  generated by AR process
- to see what happens if assumption fails, consider a fractionally differenced (FD) process

$$X_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(1-\delta)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(1-\delta-k)} \epsilon_{t-k},$$


where  $\delta = 0.45$  and  $\{\epsilon_t\}$  is zero mean Gaussian white noise

• FD time series of length N = 128 with sample and true ACSs:



## Parametric Bootstrapping: V


- AR process has 'short-range' dependence, whereas FD process exhibits 'long-range' (or 'long-memory') dependence
- bootstrap approximation to PDF of  $\hat{\rho}_1$  along with true PDF:




• repeating the above for 50 FD time series yields:


average of 50 sample means  $\doteq 0.49$  (truth  $\doteq 0.53$ ) average of 50 sample SDs  $\doteq 0.078$  (truth  $\doteq 0.107$ ) note:  $\rho_1 \doteq 0.82$  for this FD process; agreement in SD gets worse (better) as N increases (decreases)

- another time-domain approach is block bootstrapping, which has many variations, of which the following is the simplest
- break time series up into B blocks (subseries) of equal length:





- another time-domain approach is block bootstrapping, which has many variations, of which the following is the simplest
- break time series up into B blocks (subseries) of equal length:







- another time-domain approach is block bootstrapping, which has many variations, of which the following is the simplest
- break time series up into B blocks (subseries) of equal length:







- another time-domain approach is block bootstrapping, which has many variations, of which the following is the simplest
- break time series up into B blocks (subseries) of equal length:







- another time-domain approach is block bootstrapping, which has many variations, of which the following is the simplest
- break time series up into B blocks (subseries) of equal length:







- another time-domain approach is block bootstrapping, which has many variations, of which the following is the simplest
- break time series up into B blocks (subseries) of equal length:







- another time-domain approach is block bootstrapping, which has many variations, of which the following is the simplest
- break time series up into B blocks (subseries) of equal length:







- another time-domain approach is block bootstrapping, which has many variations, of which the following is the simplest
- break time series up into B blocks (subseries) of equal length:







- another time-domain approach is block bootstrapping, which has many variations, of which the following is the simplest
- break time series up into B blocks (subseries) of equal length:







- another time-domain approach is block bootstrapping, which has many variations, of which the following is the simplest
- break time series up into B blocks (subseries) of equal length:







- another time-domain approach is block bootstrapping, which has many variations, of which the following is the simplest
- break time series up into B blocks (subseries) of equal length:







- another time-domain approach is block bootstrapping, which has many variations, of which the following is the simplest
- break time series up into B blocks (subseries) of equal length:







- another time-domain approach is block bootstrapping, which has many variations, of which the following is the simplest
- break time series up into B blocks (subseries) of equal length:






- another time-domain approach is block bootstrapping, which has many variations, of which the following is the simplest
- break time series up into B blocks (subseries) of equal length:






# **Block Bootstrapping: I**

- another time-domain approach is block bootstrapping, which has many variations, of which the following is the simplest
- break time series up into B blocks (subseries) of equal length:




• generate bootstrapped AR series by randomly sampling blocks:




# **Block Bootstrapping: I**

- another time-domain approach is block bootstrapping, which has many variations, of which the following is the simplest
- break time series up into B blocks (subseries) of equal length:




• generate bootstrapped AR series by randomly sampling blocks:




# **Block Bootstrapping: I**

- another time-domain approach is block bootstrapping, which has many variations, of which the following is the simplest
- break time series up into B blocks (subseries) of equal length:




• generate bootstrapped AR series by randomly sampling blocks:



# **Block Bootstrapping: II**

• bootstrap approximation to PDF of  $\hat{\rho}_1$  along with true PDF:



vertical line indicates  $\hat{\rho}_1$ 

• repeating the above for 50 AR time series yields:

average of 50 sample means  $\doteq 0.75$  (truth  $\doteq 0.86$ ) average of 50 sample SDs  $\doteq 0.049$  (truth  $\doteq 0.048$ )

• repeating the above for 50 FD time series yields:

average of 50 sample means  $\doteq 0.46$  (truth  $\doteq 0.53$ ) average of 50 sample SDs  $\doteq 0.082$  (truth  $\doteq 0.107$ )

# **Frequency-Domain Bootstrapping**

- again, many variations, including the following three
- 'phase scramble' discrete Fourier transform (DFT)

$$\mathcal{X}_k = \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} X_t e^{-i2\pi kt/N} = A_k e^{i\theta_k}$$

of  ${\bf X}$  and apply inverse DFT to create new series

- periodogram-based bootstrapping: in addition to phase scrambling, evoke large sample result that  $|A_k|$ 's are approximately uncorrelated with distribution related to a chi-square RV with 2 degrees of freedom
- circulant embedding bootstrapping: form nonparametric estimate of spectral density function and generate realizations using circulant embedding

## **Critique of Time/Frequency-Domain Bootstrapping**

- time- and frequency-domain approaches are mainly designed for series with short-range dependence (e.g., AR) and are problematic for those exhibiting long-range dependence (e.g., FD)
- parametric and frequency-domain bootstraps work best for series that obey a Gaussian distribution, but can be problematic for non-Gaussian series
- non-Gaussian series better handled by block bootstrapping, but quality of this approach depends critically on chosen size for blocks (*ad hoc rule* is to set size close to  $\sqrt{N}$ )
- room for improvement: will consider wavelet-based approaches

## **Overview of Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT): I**

• DWT is an orthonormal transform  $\mathcal{W}$  that reexpresses a time series **X** of length N as a vector of DWT coefficients **W**:

$$\mathbf{W}=\mathcal{W}\mathbf{X},$$


where  $\mathcal{W}$  is an  $N \times N$  matrix such that  $\mathbf{X} = \mathcal{W}^T \mathbf{W}$ 

• particular  $\mathcal{W}$  depends on the choice of


- wavelet filter, the most basic of which is the Haar filter (fancier filters include the Daubechies family of 'least asymmetric' filters of width L - denoted by LA(L), with L = 8being a popular choice)
- level  $J_0$ , which determines the number of dyadic scales  $\tau_j = 2^{j-1}, j = 1, 2, ..., J_0$ , involved in the transform

#### **Overview of Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT): II**


- DWT coefficient vector  $\mathbf{W}$  can be partitioned into  $J_0$  subvectors of wavelet coefficients  $\mathbf{W}_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, J_0$ , along with one sub-vector of scaling coefficients  $\mathbf{V}_{J_0}$
- wavelet coefficients in  $\mathbf{W}_j$  are associated with changes in averages over a scale of  $\tau_j$ , whereas the scaling coefficients in  $\mathbf{V}_{J_0}$ are associated with averages over a scale of  $2\tau_{J_0}$
- as a concrete example, let's look at a level  $J_0 = 4$  Haar DWT of the AR time series




• level  $J_0 = 4$  Haar DWT of AR series **X** 




• level  $J_0 = 4$  Haar DWT of AR series **X**, with scale  $\tau_1 = 1$  wavelet coefficient highlighted




• level  $J_0 = 4$  Haar DWT of AR series **X**, with scale  $\tau_1 = 1$  wavelet coefficient highlighted




• level  $J_0 = 4$  Haar DWT of AR series **X**, with scale  $\tau_2 = 2$  wavelet coefficient highlighted




• level  $J_0 = 4$  Haar DWT of AR series **X**, with scale  $\tau_2 = 2$  wavelet coefficient highlighted




• level  $J_0 = 4$  Haar DWT of AR series **X**, with scale  $\tau_4 = 8$  wavelet coefficient highlighted




• level  $J_0 = 4$  Haar DWT of AR series **X**, with scale  $\tau_4 = 8$  wavelet coefficient highlighted




• level  $J_0 = 4$  Haar DWT of AR series **X**, with scale  $2 * \tau_4 = 16$  scaling coefficient highlighted




• level  $J_0 = 4$  Haar DWT of AR series **X**, with scale  $2 * \tau_4 = 16$  scaling coefficient highlighted




• level  $J_0 = 4$  Haar DWT of AR series **X**, with scale  $2 * \tau_4 = 16$  scaling coefficient highlighted




• level  $J_0 = 4$  Haar DWT of AR series **X**, with scale  $2 * \tau_4 = 16$  scaling coefficient highlighted




• level  $J_0 = 4$  Haar DWT of AR series **X**, with scale  $2 * \tau_4 = 16$  scaling coefficient highlighted




• level  $J_0 = 4$  Haar DWT of AR series **X**, with scale  $2 * \tau_4 = 16$  scaling coefficient highlighted



• level  $J_0 = 4$  Haar DWT of AR series **X**, with scale  $2 * \tau_4 = 16$  scaling coefficient highlighted




• level  $J_0 = 4$  Haar DWT of AR series **X**, with scale  $2 * \tau_4 = 16$  scaling coefficient highlighted



• Haar DWT of AR series **X** and sample ACSs for each  $\mathbf{W}_j$  &  $\mathbf{V}_4$ , along with 95% confidence intervals for white noise

#### **DWT of Fractionally Differenced Process**




• Haar DWT of FD series **X** and sample ACSs for each  $\mathbf{W}_j$  &  $\mathbf{V}_4$ , along with 95% confidence intervals for white noise

# **DWT** as a Decorrelating Transform


- for many (but not all!) time series, DWT acts as a decorrelating transform: to a good approximation, each  $\mathbf{W}_j$  is a sample of a white noise process, and coefficients from different sub-vectors  $\mathbf{W}_j$  and  $\mathbf{W}_{j'}$  are also pairwise uncorrelated
- variance of coefficients in  $\mathbf{W}_j$  depends on j
- scaling coefficients  $\mathbf{V}_{J_0}$  are still autocorrelated, but there will be just a few of them if  $J_0$  is selected to be large
- decorrelating property holds particularly well for FD and other processes with long-range dependence
- above suggests the following recipe for wavelet-domain bootstrapping

#### **Recipe for Wavelet-Domain Bootstrapping**


- 1. given **X** of length  $N = 2^J$ , compute level  $J_0$  DWT (the choice  $J_0 = J 3$  yields 8 coefficients in  $\mathbf{W}_{J_0}$  and  $\mathbf{V}_{J_0}$ )
- 2. randomly sample with replacement from  $\mathbf{W}_j$  to create bootstrapped vector  $\mathbf{W}_j^{(b)}$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, J_0$
- 3. create  $\mathbf{V}_{J_0}^{(b)}$  using a parametric bootstrap
- 4. apply  $\mathcal{W}^T$  to  $\mathbf{W}_1^{(b)}, \ldots, \mathbf{W}_{J_0}^{(b)}$  and  $\mathbf{V}_{J_0}^{(b)}$  to obtain bootstrapped time series  $\mathbf{X}^{(b)}$  and then form corresponding  $\hat{\rho}_1^{(b)}$ 
  - repeat above many times to build up sample distribution of bootstrapped autocorrelations




• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series **X** (left-hand column) and waveletdomain bootstrap thereof (right-hand)




• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series **X** (left-hand column) and waveletdomain bootstrap thereof (right-hand)




• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series **X** (left-hand column) and waveletdomain bootstrap thereof (right-hand)




• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series **X** (left-hand column) and waveletdomain bootstrap thereof (right-hand)




• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series **X** (left-hand column) and waveletdomain bootstrap thereof (right-hand)




• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series **X** (left-hand column) and waveletdomain bootstrap thereof (right-hand)




• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series **X** (left-hand column) and waveletdomain bootstrap thereof (right-hand)





• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series **X** (left-hand column) and waveletdomain bootstrap thereof (right-hand)





• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series **X** (left-hand column) and waveletdomain bootstrap thereof (right-hand)














• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series **X** (left-hand column) and waveletdomain bootstrap thereof (right-hand)




• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series **X** (left-hand column) and waveletdomain bootstrap thereof (right-hand)





• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series **X** (left-hand column) and waveletdomain bootstrap thereof (right-hand)







• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series **X** (left-hand column) and waveletdomain bootstrap thereof (right-hand)











• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series **X** (left-hand column) and waveletdomain bootstrap thereof (right-hand)





















• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series **X** (left-hand column) and waveletdomain bootstrap thereof (right-hand)




• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series **X** (left-hand column) and waveletdomain bootstrap thereof (right-hand)













• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series **X** (left-hand column) and waveletdomain bootstrap thereof (right-hand)











• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series  $\mathbf{X}$  (left-hand column) and waveletdomain bootstrap thereof (right-hand)



• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series  $\mathbf{X}$  (left-hand column) and waveletdomain bootstrap thereof (right-hand)

#### Wavelet-Domain Bootstrapping of AR Series

• approximations to true PDF using (a) Haar & (b) LA(8) wavelets



vertical line indicates  $\hat{\rho}_1$ 


• using 50 AR time series and the Haar DWT yields: average of 50 sample means  $\doteq 0.67$  (truth  $\doteq 0.86$ ) average of 50 sample SDs  $\doteq 0.071$  (truth  $\doteq 0.048$ )

• using 50 AR time series and the 
$$LA(8)$$
 DWT yields:

average of 50 sample means  $\doteq 0.80$  (truth  $\doteq 0.86$ ) average of 50 sample SDs  $\doteq 0.055$  (truth  $\doteq 0.048$ )

#### Wavelet-Domain Bootstrapping of FD Series

• approximations to true PDF using (a) Haar & (b) LA(8) wavelets



vertical line indicates  $\hat{\rho}_1$ 

• using 50 FD time series and the Haar DWT yields:

average of 50 sample means  $\doteq 0.35$  (truth  $\doteq 0.53$ ) average of 50 sample SDs  $\doteq 0.096$  (truth  $\doteq 0.107$ )

• using 50 FD time series and the LA(8) DWT yields:


average of 50 sample means  $\doteq 0.43$  (truth  $\doteq 0.53$ ) average of 50 sample SDs  $\doteq 0.098$  (truth  $\doteq 0.107$ )

## Effect of Non-Gaussianity: I

- wavelet-domain bootstrapping works well if we can assume Gaussianity, but can be problematic if this assumption fails
- for non-Gaussian series, wavelet-domain bootstraps are typically closer to Gaussianity than original series, which poses a problem for assessing variability in certain statistics

#### Effect of Non-Gaussianity: II


• consider Gaussian white noise  $X_t$  and  $Y_t = \operatorname{sign}\{X_t\} \times X_t^2$ :



• right-hand plots show estimated PDFs and true PDFs

#### Effect of Non-Gaussianity: III


• wavelet-domain bootstraps of  $X_t$  and  $Y_t = \operatorname{sign}\{X_t\} \times X_t^2$ :



• right-hand plots show estimated PDFs and true original PDFs

# **Tree-Based Bootstrapping**


- to preserve non-Gaussianity, consider using groups ('trees') of wavelet coefficients co-located across small scales as basic sampling unit for bootstrapping at those scales
- wavelet coefficients at large scales treated in same way as in usual wavelet-domain bootstrap
- scaling coefficients handled using parametric bootstrap
- certain wavelet-based signal denoising schemes for non-Gaussian noise treat small scales in a special way and large scales in the same way as in the Gaussian case (see, e.g., Gao, 1997)
- tree-based structuring of wavelet coefficients is key idea behind denoising using Markov models (Crouse *et al.*, 1998) and notion of wavelet 'footprints' (Dragotti and Vetterli, 2003)




#### **Illustration of Tree-Based Bootstrapping**

• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series **X** (left-hand column) and level j = 3 tree-based bootstrap thereof (right-hand)







• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series **X** (left-hand column) and level j = 3 tree-based bootstrap thereof (right-hand)










• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series **X** (left-hand column) and level j = 3 tree-based bootstrap thereof (right-hand)













• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series **X** (left-hand column) and level j = 3 tree-based bootstrap thereof (right-hand)

### **Illustration of Tree-Based Bootstrapping**





### **Illustration of Tree-Based Bootstrapping**





• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series **X** (left-hand column) and level j = 3 tree-based bootstrap thereof (right-hand)




































• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series **X** (left-hand column) and level j = 3 tree-based bootstrap thereof (right-hand)











• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series **X** (left-hand column) and level j = 3 tree-based bootstrap thereof (right-hand)





















• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series **X** (left-hand column) and level j = 3 tree-based bootstrap thereof (right-hand)




• Haar DWT of FD(0.45) series **X** (left-hand column) and level j = 3 tree-based bootstrap thereof (right-hand)




**Illustration of Tree-Based Bootstrapping** 

•  $Y_t$  (top row) and j = 1 Haar tree-based bootstrap (bottom)




• right-hand plots show estimated PDFs and true original PDF


•  $Y_t$  (top row) and j = 2 Haar tree-based bootstrap (bottom)




•  $Y_t$  (top row) and j = 3 Haar tree-based bootstrap (bottom)



•  $Y_t$  (top row) and j = 4 Haar tree-based bootstrap (bottom)



•  $Y_t$  (top row) and j = 5 Haar tree-based bootstrap (bottom)




# **Summary of Computer Experiments**

				LA(8)	j=2	j = 4	
Statistic	Process	Parm	Block	DWT	Tree	Tree	True
mean	AR	0.86	0.83	$0.83 \\ 0.54$	0.84	0.85	0.86
	FD	0.58	0.57	0.54	0.55	0.57	0.59
SD				0.025			
	FD	0.025	0.042	0.054	0.051	0.055	0.059

- 50 time series of length N = 1024 for each  $Y_t = \text{sign}\{X_t\} \times X_t^2$
- 100 bootstrap samples from each series, yielding 100 unit lag sample autocorrelations  $\hat{\rho}_1^{(m)}$
- mean and SD of 100 sample autocorrelations recorded
- table reports averages of these two statistics over 50 time series
- true values based on 100,000 generated series for each process

### **Application to BMW Stock Prices - I**



- right-hand plot: log of daily returns on BMW share prices
- left-hand: nonparametric and Gaussian PDF estimates
- series has small unit lag sample autocorrelation:  $\hat{\rho}_1 \doteq 0.081$ .
- large sample theory appropriate for Gaussian white noise gives standard deviation of  $1/\sqrt{N} \doteq 0.013$

### **Application to BMW Stock Prices - II**

• bootstrap estimates of standard deviations:

LA(8)
 
$$j = 2$$
 $j = 4$ 

 Parm Block
 DWT
 Tree
 Tree

 SD est.
 0.012
 0.016
 0.021
 0.019
 0.019

• since  $\hat{\rho}_1 \doteq 0.081$ , bootstrap methods all confirm presence of autocorrelation (small, but presumably exploitable by traders)

# **Concluding Remarks**

- wavelet-domain & tree-based bootstraps competitive with parametric & block bootstraps for series with short-range dependence and offer improvement in case of long-range dependence
- results to date for tree-based bootstrapping encouraging, but many questions need to be answered, including:
  - are there statistics & non-Gaussian series for which treebased approach offers more than just a marginal improvement over wavelet-domain approach?
  - what are asymptotic properties of tree-based approach?
  - how can the tree-based approach be adjusted to handle stationary processes that are not well decorrelated by the DWT?
- thanks to conference organizers for opportunity to speak!

# **References:** I

- C. Angelini, D. Cava, G. Katul and B. Vidakovic (2005), 'Resampling Hierarchical Processes in the Wavelet Domain: A Case Study Using Atmospheric Turbulence,' *Physica D*, 207, pp. 24-40
- M. Breakspear, M. J. Brammer, E. T. Bullmore, P. Das and L. M. Williams (2004), 'Spatiotemporal Wavelet Resampling for Functional Neuroimaging Data,' *Human Brain Mapping*, 23, pp. 1–25
- M. Breakspear, M. Brammer and P. A. Robinson (2003), 'Construction of Multivariate Surrogate Sets from Nonlinear Data Using the Wavelet Transform,' *Physica D*, 182, pp. 1– 22
- E. Bullmore, J. Fadili, V. Maxim, L. Şendur, B. Whitcher, J. Suckling, M. Brammer and M. Breakspear (2004), 'Wavelets and Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the Human Brain,' *NeuroImage*, 23, pp. S234-S249
- E. Bullmore, C. Long, J. Suckling, J. Fadili, G. Calvert, F. Zelaya, T. A. Carpenter and M. Brammer (2001), 'Colored Noise and Computational Inference in Neurophysiological (fMRI) Time Series Analysis: Resampling Methods in Time and Wavelet Domains,' *Human Brain Mapping*, **12**, pp. 61–78

# **References: II**

- M.S. Crouse, R. D. Nowak and R. G. Baraniuk (1998), 'Wavelet-Based Statistical Signal Processing using Hidden Markov Models,' *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 46(4), pp. 886–902
- A. C. Davison and D. V. Hinkley (1997), *Bootstrap Methods and their Applications*, Cambridge University Press
- P. L. Dragotti and M. Vetterli (2003), 'Wavelet Footprints: Theory, Algorithms, and Applications,' *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, **51**(5), pp. 1306–23
- H. Feng, T. R. Willemain and N. Shang (2005), 'Wavelet-Based Bootstrap for Time Series Analysis,' Communications in Statistics: Simulation and Computation, 34(2), pp. 393– 413
- H.-Ye. Gao (1997), 'Choice of Thresholds for Wavelet Shrinkage estimate of the Spectrum,' Journal of Time Series Analysis, 18(3), pp. 231–51
- S. Golia (2002), 'Evaluating the GPH Estimator via Bootstrap Technique,' in *Proceed-ings in Computational Statistics COMPSTAT2002*, edited by W. Härdle and B. Ronz. Heidelberg: Physica–Verlag, pp. 343–8

### **References: III**

- D. B. Percival, S. Sardy and A. C. Davison (2001), 'Wavestrapping Time Series: Adaptive Wavelet-Based Bootstrapping,' in *Nonlinear and Nonstationary Signal Processing*, edited by W. J. Fitzgerald, R. L. Smith, A. T. Walden and P. C. Young. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press, pp. 442–70
- A. M. Sabatini (1999), 'Wavelet-Based Estimation of 1/f-Type Signal Parameters: Confidence Intervals Using the Bootstrap,' *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 47(12), pp. 3406–9
- B. J Whitcher (2006), 'Wavelet-Based Bootstrapping of Spatial Patterns on a Finite Lattice,' *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis* **50**(9), pp. 2399–421