#### Wavelet Methods for Time Series Analysis

#### Part II: Wavelet Variance

- examples of time series to motivate discussion
- decomposition of sample variance using wavelets
- theoretical wavelet variance for stochastic processes
- stationary processes
- nonstationary processes with stationary differences
- sampling theory for Gaussian processes
- examples, including use on time series with time-varying statistical properties
- summary

II–1

#### **Decomposing Sample Variance of Time Series**

- one approach: quantify differences by analysis of variance
- let  $X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_{N-1}$  represent time series with N values
- let  $\overline{X}$  denote sample mean of  $X_t$ 's:  $\overline{X} \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} X_t$
- let  $\hat{\sigma}_X^2$  denote sample variance of  $X_t$ 's:

$$\hat{\sigma}_X^2 \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \left( X_t - \overline{X} \right)^2$$

- idea is to decompose (analyze, break up)  $\hat{\sigma}_X^2$  into pieces that quantify how time series are different
- wavelet variance does analysis based upon differences between (possibly weighted) adjacent averages over scales

#### Examples: Time Series $X_t$ Versus Time Index t

$$X_{t} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{t} \\ \mathbf{x}_{t} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{t} \\ \mathbf{x}_{t} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{t} \\ \mathbf{x}_{t} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{t} \\ \mathbf{x}_{t} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{t} \\ \mathbf{x}_{t} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{t} \\ \mathbf{x$$

(a) atomic clock frequency deviates (daily observations, N = 1025)
(b) subtidal sea level fluctuations (twice daily, N = 8746)
(c) Nile River minima (annual, N = 663)

(d) vertical shear in the ocean (0.1 meters, N = 4096)

- four series are visually different
- goal of time series analysis is to quantify these differences WMTSA: 8, 184, 192, 328 II-2

#### **Empirical Wavelet Variance**

• define empirical wavelet variance for scale 
$$\tau_j \equiv 2^{j-1}$$
 as

$$\tilde{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \widetilde{W}_{j,t}^2, \text{ where } \widetilde{W}_{j,t} \equiv \sum_{l=0}^{L_j-1} \tilde{h}_{j,l} X_{t-l \mod N}$$

• if  $N = 2^J$ , obtain analysis (decomposition) of sample variance:

$$\hat{\sigma}_X^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \left( X_t - \overline{X} \right)^2 = \sum_{j=1}^J \tilde{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j)$$

(if N not a power of 2, can analyze variance to any level  $J_0$ , but need additional component involving scaling coefficients)

• interpretation:  $\tilde{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j)$  is portion of  $\hat{\sigma}_X^2$  due to changes in averages over scale  $\tau_j$ ; i.e., 'scale by scale' analysis of variance

WMTSA: 298

#### **Example of Empirical Wavelet Variance**

• wavelet variances for time series  $X_t$  and  $Y_t$  of length N = 16, each with zero sample mean and same sample variance



#### Theoretical Wavelet Variance: I

- now assume  $X_t$  is a real-valued random variable (RV)
- let  $\{X_t, t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  denote a stochastic process, i.e., collection of RVs indexed by 'time' t (here  $\mathbb{Z}$  denotes the set of all integers)
- use jth level equivalent MODWT filter  $\{\tilde{h}_{j,l}\}$  on  $\{X_t\}$  to create a new stochastic process:

$$\overline{W}_{j,t} \equiv \sum_{l=0}^{L_j-1} \tilde{h}_{j,l} X_{t-l}, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z},$$

which should be contrasted with

$$\widetilde{W}_{j,t} \equiv \sum_{l=0}^{L_j-1} \widetilde{h}_{j,l} X_{t-l \bmod N}, \quad t = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$$

#### Second Example of Empirical Wavelet Variance

• top: part of subtidal sea level data (blue line shows scale of 16)



#### Theoretical Wavelet Variance: II

II–6

- if Y is any RV, let  $E\{Y\}$  denote its expectation
- let var  $\{Y\}$  denote its variance: var  $\{Y\} \equiv E\{(Y E\{Y\})^2\}$
- definition of time dependent wavelet variance:

$$\nu_{X,t}^2(\tau_j) \equiv \operatorname{var} \{ \overline{W}_{j,t} \},\,$$

with conditions on  $X_t$  so that var  $\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\}$  exists and is finite

- $\nu_{X,t}^2(\tau_j)$  depends on  $\tau_j$  and t
- will focus on time independent wavelet variance

$$\nu_X^2(\tau_j) \equiv \operatorname{var}\left\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\right\}$$

(can adapt theory to handle time varying situation)

•  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  well-defined for stationary processes and certain related processes, so let's review concept of stationarity

WMTSA: 295–296

WMTSA: 298

WMTSA: 295–296

#### **Definition of a Stationary Process**

 $\bullet$  if U and V are two RVs, denote their covariance by

 $\mathrm{cov}\,\{U,V\} = E\{(U-E\{U\})(V-E\{V\})\}$ 

- stochastic process  $X_t$  called stationary if
- $-E\{X_t\} = \mu_X$  for all t, i.e., constant independent of t
- $-\cos\{X_t, X_{t+\tau}\} = s_{X,\tau}$ , i.e., depends on lag  $\tau$ , but not t
- $s_{X,\tau}, \tau \in \mathbb{Z}$ , is autocovariance sequence (ACVS)
- $s_{X,0} = \operatorname{cov}\{X_t, X_t\} = \operatorname{var}\{X_t\}$ ; i.e., variance same for all t

WMTSA: 266

#### II-9

#### Spectral Density Functions: II

• setting  $\tau = 0$  yields fundamental result:

$$\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} S_X(f) \, df = s_{X,0} = \operatorname{var} \{X_t\};$$

- i.e., SDF decomposes var  $\{X_t\}$  across frequencies f
- interpretation:  $S_X(f) \Delta f$  is the contribution to var  $\{X_t\}$  due to frequencies in a small interval of width  $\Delta f$  centered at f
- note:  $S_X(-f) = S_X(f)$  (can regard negative frequencies as a useful 'fiction' that simplifies mathematical treatment)

#### Spectral Density Functions: I

• spectral density function (SDF) given by

$$S_X(f) = \sum_{\tau = -\infty}^{\infty} s_{X,\tau} e^{-i2\pi f\tau}$$

• above requires condition on ACVS such as

$$\sum_{=-\infty}^{\infty} s_{X,\tau}^2 < \infty$$

(sufficient, but not necessary)

• if square summability holds, SDF and ACVS equivalent since

$$\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} S_X(f) e^{i2\pi f\tau} df = s_{X,\tau}, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{Z}$$

WMTSA: 267

II-10

#### White Noise Process: I

- simplest example of a stationary process is 'white noise'
- process  $X_t$  said to be white noise if
- it has a constant mean  $E\{X_t\} = \mu_X$
- it has a constant variance var  $\{X_t\} = \sigma_X^2$
- $-\cos \{X_t, X_{t+\tau}\} = 0$  for all t and nonzero  $\tau$ ; i.e., distinct RVs in the process are uncorrelated
- ACVS and SDF for white noise take very simple forms:

$$s_{X,\tau} = \operatorname{cov} \{X_t, X_{t+\tau}\} = \begin{cases} \sigma_X^2, & \tau = 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
$$S_X(f) = \sum_{\tau = -\infty}^{\infty} s_{X,\tau} e^{-i2\pi f\tau} = s_{X,0}$$

#### White Noise Process: II

• ACVS (left-hand plot), SDF (middle) and a portion of length N = 64 of one realization (right) for a white noise process with  $\mu_X = 0$  and  $\sigma_X^2 = 1.5$ 



• since  $S_X(f) = 1.5$  for all f, contribution  $S_X(f) \Delta f$  to  $\sigma_X^2$  is the same for all frequencies

WMTSA: 268

II-13

#### Wavelet Variance for White Noise Process: I

• for a white noise process, can show that

$$\nu_X^2(\tau_j) \propto \tau_j^{-1}$$

• note that

$$\log (\nu_X^2(\tau_j)) \propto -\log (\tau_j),$$
so plot of  $\log (\nu_X^2(\tau_j))$  vs.  $\log (\tau_j)$  is linear with a slope of  $-1$ 

#### Wavelet Variance for Stationary Processes

• for stationary processes, wavelet variance decomposes var  $\{X_t\}$ :

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \nu_X^2(\tau_j) = \operatorname{var} \{X_t\}$$

(above result similar to one for sample variance)

- $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  is thus contribution to var  $\{X_t\}$  due to scale  $\tau_j$
- note:  $\nu_X(\tau_j)$  has same units as  $X_t$ , which is important for interpretability

WMTSA: 296–297

II-14

#### Wavelet Variance for White Noise Process: II



- $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  versus  $\tau_j$  for j = 1, ..., 8 (left-hand plot), along with sample of length N = 256 of Gaussian white noise
- largest contribution to var  $\{X_t\}$  is at smallest scale  $\tau_1$
- note: later on, we will discuss fractionally differenced (FD) processes that are characterized by a parameter  $\delta$ ; when  $\delta = 0$ , an FD process is the same as a white noise process

WMTSA: 296–297, 337

#### Generalization to Certain Nonstationary Processes

- if wavelet filter is properly chosen,  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  well-defined for certain processes with stationary backward differences (increments); these are also known as intrinsically stationary processes
- first order backward difference of  $X_t$  is process defined by

$$X_t^{(1)} = X_t - X_{t-}$$

• second order backward difference of  $X_t$  is process defined by

$$X_t^{(2)} = X_t^{(1)} - X_{t-1}^{(1)} = X_t - 2X_{t-1} + X_{t-2}$$

•  $X_t$  said to have dth order stationary backward differences if

$$Y_t \equiv \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} (-1)^k X_{t-k}$$

forms a stationary process (d is a nonnegative integer)

WMTSA: 287–289

II-17

#### Wavelet Variance for Processes with Stationary Backward Differences: I

- let  $\{X_t\}$  be nonstationary with dth order stationary differences
- if we use a Daubechies wavelet filter of width L satisfying  $L \ge 2d$ , then  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  is well-defined and finite for all  $\tau_j$ , but now

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\nu_X^2(\tau_j)=\infty$$

• works because there is a backward difference operator of order d = L/2 embedded within  $\{\tilde{h}_{j,l}\}$ , so this filter reduces  $X_t$  to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{d} \binom{d}{k} (-1)^k X_{t-k} = Y_t$$

and then creates localized weighted averages of  $Y_t$ 's

#### WMTSA: 305

#### **Examples of Processes with Stationary Increments**



1st column shows, from top to bottom, realizations from

(a) random walk: X<sub>t</sub> = Σ<sup>t</sup><sub>u=1</sub> ε<sub>u</sub>, & ε<sub>t</sub> is zero mean white noise
(b) like (a), but now ε<sub>t</sub> has mean of -0.2
(c) random run: X<sub>t</sub> = Σ<sup>t</sup><sub>u=1</sub> Y<sub>u</sub>, where Y<sub>t</sub> is a random walk

2nd & 3rd columns show 1st & 2nd differences X<sup>(1)</sup><sub>t</sub> and X<sup>(2)</sup><sub>t</sub>

II-18

#### Wavelet Variance for Random Walk Process: I

- random walk process  $X_t = \sum_{u=1}^t \epsilon_u$  has first order (d = 1) stationary differences since  $X_t X_{t-1} = \epsilon_t$  (i.e., white noise)
- $L \ge 2d$  holds for all wavelets when d = 1; for Haar (L = 2),

$$\nu_X^2(\tau_j) = \frac{\operatorname{var}\left\{\epsilon_t\right\}}{6} \left(\tau_j + \frac{1}{2\tau_j}\right) \approx \frac{\operatorname{var}\left\{\epsilon_t\right\}}{6} \tau_j,$$

with the approximation becoming better as  $\tau_j$  increases

- note that  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  increases as  $\tau_j$  increases
- $\log(\nu_X^2(\tau_j)) \propto \log(\tau_j)$  approximately, so plot of  $\log(\nu_X^2(\tau_j))$  vs.  $\log(\tau_j)$  is approximately linear with a slope of +1
- as required, also have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \nu_X^2(\tau_j) = \frac{\operatorname{var}\left\{\epsilon_t\right\}}{6} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + 2 + \frac{1}{4} + 4 + \frac{1}{8} + \cdots\right) = \infty$$

WMTSA: 337



(approximation quite good for  $f \in (0, 0.1]$ )

 $\bullet$  right-hand side describes SDF for a 'power law' process with exponent  $-2\delta$ 

WMTSA: 287–288



WMTSA: 299-301

#### Estimation of a Process Variance: II

• if  $\mu_U$  is unknown (more common case), can estimate  $\sigma_U^2$  using

$$\hat{\sigma}_U^2 \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} (U_t - \overline{U})^2, \text{ where } \overline{U} \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} U_t$$

• can argue that  $E\{\hat{\sigma}_U^2\} = \sigma_U^2 - \operatorname{var}\{\overline{U}\}$ • implies  $0 \leq E\{\hat{\sigma}_U^2\} \leq \sigma_U^2$  because  $\operatorname{var}\{\overline{U}\} \geq 0$ •  $E\{\hat{\sigma}_U^2\} \to \sigma_U^2$  as  $N \to \infty$  if SDF exists ... but, for any  $\epsilon > 0$  (say,  $0.00 \cdots 01$ ) and sample size N (say,  $N = 10^{10^{10}}$ ), there is some FD( $\delta$ ) process  $\{U_t\}$  with  $\delta$  close to 1/2 such that  $E\{\hat{\sigma}_U^2\} < \epsilon \cdot \sigma_U^2$ ; i.e., in general,  $\hat{\sigma}_U^2$  can be *badly* biased even for very large N

#### Estimation of a Process Variance: IV

- conclusion:  $\hat{\sigma}_U^2$  can have substantial bias if  $\mu_U$  is unknown (can patch up by estimating  $\delta$ , but must make use of model)
- if  $\{X_t\}$  stationary with mean  $\mu_X$ , then, because  $\sum_l \tilde{h}_{j,l} = 0$ ,

$$E\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\} = \sum_{l=0}^{L_j-1} \tilde{h}_{j,l} E\{X_{t-l}\} = \mu_X \sum_{l=0}^{L_j-1} \tilde{h}_{j,l} = 0$$

- because  $E\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\}$  is known, we can form an unbiased estimator of var  $\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\} = \nu_X^2(\tau_j)$
- more generally, if  $\{X_t\}$  is nonstationary with stationary increments of order d, we can ensure  $E\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\} = 0$  if we pick the filter width L such that L > 2d (in some cases, we might be able to get away with just L = 2d)

#### Estimation of a Process Variance: III

• example: realization of FD(0.4) process ( $\sigma_U^2 = 1 \& N = 1000$ )



- using  $\mu_U = 0$  (lower horizontal line), obtain  $\tilde{\sigma}_U^2 \doteq 0.99$
- using  $\overline{U} \doteq 0.53$  (upper line), obtain  $\hat{\sigma}_{U}^{2} \doteq 0.71$
- note that this is comparable to  $E\{\hat{\sigma}_{U}^{2}\} \doteq 0.75$
- for this particular example, we would need  $N \ge 10^{10}$  to get  $\sigma_U^2 E\{\hat{\sigma}_U^2\} \le 0.01$ , i.e., to reduce the bias so that it is no more than 1% of true variance  $\sigma_U^2 = 1$

WMTSA: 299–301

II-30

#### Wavelet Variance for Processes with Stationary Backward Differences: II

- conclusions:  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  well-defined for  $\{X_t\}$  that is
  - stationary: any L will do and  $E\{\overline{W}_{i,t}\}=0$
  - nonstationary with dth order stationary increments: need at least  $L \ge 2d$ , but might need L > 2d to get  $E\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\} = 0$
- if  $\{X_t\}$  is stationary, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \nu_X^2(\tau_j) = \operatorname{var} \left\{ X_t \right\} < \infty$$

(recall that each RV in a stationary process must have the same finite variance)

WMTSA: 299–301

WMTSA: 299-301, 305

#### Wavelet Variance for Processes with Stationary Backward Differences: III

• if  $\{X_t\}$  is nonstationary, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \nu_X^2(\tau_j) = \infty$$

- $\bullet$  with a suitable construction, we can take variance of nonstationary process with  $d{\rm th}$  order stationary increments to be  $\infty$
- using this construction, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \nu_X^2(\tau_j) = \operatorname{var} \{X_t\}$$

for both the stationary and nonstationary cases

WMTSA: 299–301, 305

II-33

#### Background on Chi-Square Random Variables

• X said to be a chi-square RV with  $\eta$  degrees of freedom if its probability density function (PDF) is given by

$$f_X(x;\eta) = \frac{1}{2^{\eta/2} \Gamma(\eta/2)} x^{(\eta/2)-1} e^{-x/2}, \quad x \ge 0, \ \eta > 0$$

- $\chi^2_{\eta}$  denotes RV with above PDF
- 3 important facts:  $E\{\chi_{\eta}^2\} = \eta$ ; var  $\{\chi_{\eta}^2\} = 2\eta$ ; and, if  $\eta$  is a positive integer and if  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_\eta$  are independent  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ RVs, then

$$Z_1^2 + \dots + Z_\eta^2 \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \chi_\eta^2$$

• let  $Q_{\eta}(p)$  denote the *p*th percentage point for the RV  $\chi_{\eta}^2$ :

$$\mathbf{P}[\chi_{\eta}^2 \le Q_{\eta}(p)] = p$$

WMTSA: 263–264

#### **Background on Gaussian Random Variables**

- $\bullet~\mathcal{N}(\mu,\sigma^2)$  denotes a Gaussian (normal) RV with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$
- will write

$$X \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

to mean 'RV X has same distribution as Gaussian RV'

- RV  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$  often written as Z (called standard Gaussian or standard normal)
- let  $\Phi(\cdot)$  be Gaussian cumulative distribution function

$$\Phi(z) \equiv \mathbf{P}[Z \le z] = \int_{-\infty}^{z} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}} e^{-x^2/2} dx$$

- inverse  $\Phi^{-1}(\cdot)$  of  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is such that  $\mathbf{P}[Z \leq \Phi^{-1}(p)] = p$
- $\Phi^{-1}(p)$  called  $p \times 100\%$  percentage point

WMTSA: 256–257

II–34

#### Unbiased Estimator of Wavelet Variance: I

- given a realization of  $X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_{N-1}$  from a process with dth order stationary differences, want to estimate  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$
- for wavelet filter such that  $L \ge 2d$  and  $E\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\} = 0$ , have

$$\nu_X^2(\tau_j) = \operatorname{var}\left\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\right\} = E\{\overline{W}_{j,t}^2\}$$

• can base estimator on squares of

$$\widetilde{W}_{j,t} \equiv \sum_{l=0}^{L_j-1} \widetilde{h}_{j,l} X_{t-l \bmod N}, \quad t = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$$

• recall that

$$\overline{W}_{j,t} \equiv \sum_{l=0}^{L_j-1} \tilde{h}_{j,l} X_{t-l}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{Z}$$

#### Unbiased Estimator of Wavelet Variance: II

• comparing

$$\widetilde{W}_{j,t} = \sum_{l=0}^{L_j-1} \widetilde{h}_{j,l} X_{t-l \mod N} \text{ with } \overline{W}_{j,t} \equiv \sum_{l=0}^{L_j-1} \widetilde{h}_{j,l} X_{t-l}$$
says that  $\widetilde{W}_{j,t} = \overline{W}_{j,t}$  if 'mod N' not needed; this happens  
when  $L_j - 1 \leq t < N$  (recall that  $L_j = (2^j - 1)(L - 1) + 1$ )  
if  $N - L_j \geq 0$ , unbiased estimator of  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  is

$$\hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j) \equiv \frac{1}{N - L_j + 1} \sum_{t=L_j - 1}^{N - 1} \widetilde{W}_{j,t}^2 = \frac{1}{M_j} \sum_{t=L_j - 1}^{N - 1} \overline{W}_{j,t}^2,$$

where 
$$M_i \equiv N - L_i +$$

WMTSA: 306

II-37

#### Estimation of $A_j$

• in practical applications, need to estimate  $A_j = \sum_{\tau} s_{j,\tau}^2$ • can argue that, for large  $M_j$ , the estimator

$$\hat{A}_{j} \equiv \frac{\left(\hat{s}_{j,0}^{(p)}\right)^{2}}{2} + \sum_{\tau=1}^{M_{j}-1} \left(\hat{s}_{j,\tau}^{(p)}\right)^{2},$$

is approximately unbiased, where

$$\hat{s}_{j,\tau}^{(p)} \equiv \frac{1}{M_j} \sum_{t=L_j-1}^{N-1-|\tau|} \widetilde{W}_{j,t} \widetilde{W}_{j,t+|\tau|}, \quad 0 \le |\tau| \le M_j - 1$$

• Monte Carlo results:  $\hat{A}_j$  reasonably good for  $M_j \ge 128$ 

## Statistical Properties of $\hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j)$

- assume that  $\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\}$  is Gaussian stationary process with mean zero and ACVS  $\{s_{j,\tau}\}$
- suppose  $\{s_{j,\tau}\}$  is such that

$$A_j \equiv \sum_{\tau = -\infty}^{\infty} s_{j,\tau}^2 < \infty$$

(if  $A_j = \infty$ , can make it finite usually by just increasing L) • can show that  $\hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j)$  is asymptotically Gaussian with mean

 $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  and large sample variance  $2A_j/M_j$ ; i.e.,

$$\frac{\hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j) - \nu_X^2(\tau_j)}{(2A_j/M_j)^{1/2}} = \frac{M_j^{1/2}(\hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j) - \nu_X^2(\tau_j))}{(2A_j)^{1/2}} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$
approximately for large  $M_j \equiv N - L_j + 1$ 
with the second s

## Confidence Intervals for $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$ : I

• based upon large sample theory, can form a 100(1-2p)% confidence interval (CI) for  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$ :

$$\left[\hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j) - \Phi^{-1}(1-p)\frac{\sqrt{2A_j}}{\sqrt{M_j}}, \hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j) + \Phi^{-1}(1-p)\frac{\sqrt{2A_j}}{\sqrt{M_j}}\right];$$

i.e., random interval traps unknown  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  with probability 1-2p

- if  $A_j$  replaced by  $\hat{A}_j$ , approximate 100(1-2p)% CI
- critique: lower limit of CI can very well be negative even though  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j) \ge 0$  always
- $\bullet$  can avoid this problem by using a  $\chi^2$  approximation

WMTSA: 311

II-40

WMTSA: 312

#### Confidence Intervals for $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$ : II

- $\chi^2_{\eta}$  useful for approximating distribution of linear combinations of squared Gaussians
- let  $U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_K$  be K independent Gaussian RVs with mean 0 & variance  $\sigma^2$ ; then, since var  $\{U_k^2\} = 2\sigma^4$ ,

$$Q \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda_k U_k^2 \text{ has } E\{Q\} = \sigma^2 \sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda_k \& \operatorname{var} \{Q\} = 2\sigma^4 \sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda_k^2$$

- take distribution of Q to be that of the RV  $a\chi^2_{\eta}$ , where a and equivalent degrees of freedom (EDOF)  $\eta$  are to be determined
- because  $E\{\chi_{\eta}^2\} = \eta$  and var  $\{\chi_{\eta}^2\} = 2\eta$ , we have  $E\{a\chi_{\eta}^2\} = a\eta$ and var  $\{a\chi_{\eta}^2\} = 2a^2\eta$
- can equate  $E\{Q\}$  & var  $\{Q\}$  to  $a\eta$  &  $2a^2\eta$  to determine a &  $\eta$
- WMTSA: 313

II–41

#### Three Ways to Set $\eta$ : I

1. use large sample theory with appropriate estimates:

$$\eta = \frac{2(E\{\hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j)\})^2}{\operatorname{var}\{\hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j)\}} \approx \frac{2\nu_X^4(\tau_j)}{2A_j/M_j} \text{ suggests } \hat{\eta}_1 = \frac{M_j\hat{\nu}_X^4(\tau_j)}{\hat{A}_j}$$

2. assume nominal shape for SDF of  $\{X_t\}$ :  $S_X(f) = hC(f)$ , where  $C(\cdot)$  is known (?!), but h is not; get acceptable CIs using

$$\eta_2 = \frac{2\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor (M_j - 1)/2 \rfloor} C_j(f_k)\right)^2}{\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor (M_j - 1)/2 \rfloor} C_j^2(f_k)} \& \ C_j(f) \equiv \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_j(f) C(f) \, df_j(f) \leq \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_j(f) C(f) \, df_j(f) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_j(f) C(f) \, df_j(f) = \int_{-1/2$$

where  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{j}(\cdot)$  is squared gain function for  $\{\widetilde{h}_{j,l}\}$ 

## Confidence Intervals for $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$ : III

• obtain

$$E\{Q\} = a\eta = \sigma^2 \sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda_k \text{ and } \operatorname{var} \{Q\} = 2a^2\eta = 2\sigma^4 \sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda_k^2,$$

which, when combined, yield

$$\eta = \frac{2(E\{Q\})^2}{\operatorname{var} \{Q\}} = \frac{(\sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k)^2}{\sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k^2} \text{ and } a = \sigma^2 \frac{\sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k^2}{\sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k}$$

- can also use to approximate sums of correlated squared Gaussians with zero means, e.g.,  $\hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j) = \frac{1}{M_j} \sum_{t=L_j-1}^{N-1} \overline{W}_{j,t}^2$
- can determine  $\eta$  based upon  $E\{\hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j)\} = \nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  and an approximation for var  $\{\hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j)\}$

WMTSA: 313

II-42

#### Three Ways to Set $\eta$ : II

3. make an assumption about the effect of wavelet filter on  $\{X_t\}$  to obtain simple (but effective!) approximation

$$\eta_3 = \max\{M_j/2^j, 1\}$$

- comments on three approaches
  - 1.  $\hat{\eta}_1$  requires estimation of  $A_i$ 
    - works well for  $M_i \ge 128$  (5% to 10% errors on average)
  - can yield optimistic CIs for smaller  $M_j$
  - 2.  $\eta_2$  requires specification of shape of  $S_X(\cdot)$ 
    - common practice in, e.g., atomic clock literature
- 3.  $\eta_3$  assumes band-pass approximation
  - default method if  $M_j$  small and there is no reasonable guess at shape of  $S_X(\cdot)$

WMTSA: 313–315

### Confidence Intervals for $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$ : IV

• after  $\eta$  has been determined, can obtain a CI for  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$ : with probability 1 - 2p, the random interval

$$\left[\frac{\eta \hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j)}{Q_\eta(1-p)}, \frac{\eta \hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j)}{Q_\eta(p)}\right]$$

traps the true unknown  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$ 

- lower limit is now nonnegative
- get approximate 100(1-2p)% CI for  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$ , with approximation improving as  $N \to \infty$ , if we use  $\hat{\eta}_1$  to estimate  $\eta$
- $\bullet$  as  $N \to \infty,$  above CI and Gaussian-based CI converge

WMTSA: 313

II-45

#### Atomic Clock Deviates: II

- top plot: errors  $\{X_t\}$  in time kept by atomic clock 571 (measured in microseconds: 1,000,000 microseconds = 1 second)
- middle: 1st backward differences  $\{X_t^{(1)}\}$  in nanoseconds (1000 nanoseconds = 1 microsecond)
- bottom: 2nd backward differences  $\{X_t^{(2)}\}$ , also in nanoseconds
- if  $\{X_t\}$  nonstationary with dth order stationary increments, need  $L \ge 2d$ , but might need L > 2d to get  $E\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\} = 0$
- might regard  $\{X_t^{(1)}\}$  as realization of stationary process, but, if so, with a mean value far from 0;  $\{X_t^{(2)}\}$  resembles realization of stationary process, but mean value still might not be 0 if we believe there is a linear trend in  $\{X_t^{(1)}\}$ ; thus might need  $L \ge 6$ , but could get away with  $L \ge 4$





WMTSA: 319

II-48

#### Atomic Clock Deviates: IV

- square roots of wavelet variance estimates for atomic clock time errors  $\{X_t\}$  based upon unbiased MODWT estimator with
- Haar wavelet (x's in left-hand plot, with linear fit)
- D(4) wavelet (circles in left- and right-hand plots)
- D(6) wavelet (pluses in left-hand plot).
- Haar wavelet inappropriate
- need  $\{X_t^{(1)}\}$  to be a realization of a stationary process with mean 0 (stationarity might be OK, but mean 0 is way off)
- linear appearance can be explained in terms of nonzero mean
- 95% confidence intervals in the right-hand plot are the square roots of intervals computed using the chi-square approximation with  $\eta$  given by  $\hat{\eta}_1$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, 6$  and by  $\eta_3$  for j = 7 & 8

WMTSA: 319

II–49

#### Subtidal Sea Level Fluctuations: I



- $\bullet$  subtidal sea level fluctuations  ${\bf X}$  for Crescent City, CA, collected by National Ocean Service with permanent tidal gauge
- N = 8746 values from Jan 1980 to Dec 1991 (almost 12 years)
- one value every 12 hours, so  $\Delta t = 1/2$  day
- 'subtidal' is what remains after diurnal & semidiurnal tides are removed by low-pass filter (filter seriously distorts frequency band corresponding to first physical scale  $\tau_1 \Delta t = 1/2$  day)

# Wavelet Variance Analysis of Time Series with Time-Varying Statistical Properties

- each wavelet coefficient  $\widetilde{W}_{i,t}$  formed using portion of  $X_t$
- suppose  $X_t$  associated with actual time  $t_0 + t \Delta t$ 
  - $* t_0$  is actual time of first observation  $X_0$
  - $\ast \, \Delta t$  is spacing between adjacent observations
- suppose  $\tilde{h}_{j,l}$  is least asymmetric Daubechies wavelet
- can associate  $\widetilde{W}_{j,t}$  with an interval of width  $2\tau_j \Delta t$  centered at

$$t_0 + (2^j(t+1) - 1 - |\nu_i^{(H)}| \mod N) \Delta t,$$

where, e.g.,  $|\nu_j^{(H)}| = [7(2^j - 1) + 1]/2$  for LA(8) wavelet

• can thus form 'localized' wavelet variance analysis (implicitly assumes stationarity or stationary increments locally)

WMTSA: 114–115

II-50

#### Subtidal Sea Level Fluctuations: II



WMTSA: 185–186

#### Subtidal Sea Level Fluctuations: III

- LA(8) picked in part to help with time alignment of wavelet coefficients, but MRAs for D(4) and C(6) are OK (Haar MRA problematic – evidence it suffers from 'leakage')
- with  $J_0 = 7$ ,  $\widetilde{S}_7$  represents averages over scale  $\lambda_7 \Delta t = 64$  days
- this choice of  $J_0$  captures intra-annual variations in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_7$  (not of interest to decompose these variations further)
- MRA suggests seasonally dependent variability at some scales
- because MODWT-based MRA does not preserve energy, preferable to study variability via MODWT wavelet coefficients

# Subtidal Sea Level Fluctuations: V 100 1 dav 2 days 10

II-53



 $2^{j-2}$  days,  $j = 2, \ldots, 7$ , grouped by calendar month

WMTSA: 324-326

WMTSA: 186-189

#### II-55

#### Subtidal Sea Level Fluctuations: IV



- estimated time-dependent LA(8) wavelet variances for physical scale  $\tau_2 \Delta t = 1$  day based upon averages over monthly blocks (30.5 days, i.e., 61 data points)
- plot also shows a representative 95% confidence interval based upon a hypothetical wavelet variance estimate of 1/2 and a chi-square distribution with  $\nu = 15.25$



WMTSA: 326-327



#### Summary

- wavelet variance gives scale-based analysis of variance
- presented statistical theory for Gaussian processes with stationary increments
- in addition to the applications we have considered, the wavelet variance has been used to analyze
  - genome sequences
- changes in variance of soil properties
- canopy gaps in forests
- accumulation of snow fields in polar regions
- boundary layer atmospheric turbulence
- regular and semiregular variable stars

II-61

#### **References:** I

- fractionally differenced processes
- C. W. J. Granger and R. Joyeux (1980), 'An Introduction to Long-Memory Time Series Models and Fractional Differencing,' *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, 1, pp. 15–29
- J. R. M. Hosking (1981), 'Fractional Differencing,' Biometrika, 68, pp. 165-76
- wavelet cross-covariance and cross-correlation
  - B. J Whitcher, P. Guttorp and D. B. Percival (2000), 'Wavelet Analysis of Covariance with Application to Atmospheric Time Series,' *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 105, D11, pp. 14,941–62
  - A. Serroukh and A. T. Walden (2000a), 'Wavelet Scale Analysis of Bivariate Time Series I: Motivation and Estimation,' *Journal of Nonparametric Statistics*, 13, pp. 1–36
  - A. Serroukh and A. T. Walden (2000b), 'Wavelet Scale Analysis of Bivariate Time Series II: Statistical Properties for Linear Processes,' *Journal of Nonparametric Statistics*, 13, pp. 37–56
- asymptotic theory for non-Gaussian processes
  - A. Serroukh, A. T. Walden and D. B. Percival (2000), 'Statistical Properties and Uses of the Wavelet Variance Estimator for the Scale Analysis of Time Series,' *Journal of* the American Statistical Association, 95, pp. 184–96

II-62

#### **References: II**

- biased estimators of wavelet variance
  - E. M. Aldrich (2005), 'Alternative Estimators of Wavelet Variance,' Masters Thesis, Department of Statistics, University of Washington
- unbiased estimator of wavelet variance for 'gappy' time series
  - D. Mondal and D. B. Percival (2010a), 'Wavelet Variance Analysis for Gappy Time Series,' to appear in Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics
- $\bullet$  robust estimation
  - D. Mondal and D. B. Percival (2010b), 'M-Estimation of Wavelet Variance,' to appear in Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics
- wavelet variance for random fields
  - D. Mondal and D. B. Percival (2010c), 'Wavelet Variance Analysis for Random Fields,' under review
- wavelet-based characteristic scales
  - M. J. Keim and D. B. Percival (2010), 'Assessing Characteristic Scales Using Wavelets,' under preparation