**CSSS 569: Visualizing Data** 

# **Visualizing Inference**

Christopher Adolph\* University of Washington, Seattle

February 23, 2011

\*Assistant Professor, Department of Political Science and Center for Statistics and the Social Sciences.

#### **Presenting Estimated Models in Social Science**

Most empirical work in social science is regression model-driven

These models are

- full of covariates
- often non-linear
- often involve interactions & transformations

If there is anything we need to visualize well, it is our models Yet most people just print off tables of parameter estimates from their software

Today: an improved, generic approach to model presentation Emphasis on algorthms/programming: Lots of code for future study.

#### Model presentation as a step in research design

Ask a research question: Why do people vote?

**Operationalize:** What variables predict voting, and to what extent?

**Analyze:** How do the data answer these questions?

Present results: ?

How do we present our analysis as an answer to the research question?

Answer in the terms of the question and variables, instead of statistical jargon

The variables which best explain who votes are age and education. Each year increase in age increases the probability of voting by \_\_\_\_, plus or minus \_\_\_\_, and . . .

The variables which best explain who votes are age and education. Each year increase in age increases the probability of voting by \_\_\_\_, plus or minus \_\_\_\_, and . . .

But wait! Probability is a nonlinear function of covariates!

#### A cryptic, weaker, but more accurate answer:

Higher ages are associated with greater probability of voting. The logit coefficient for age was \_\_\_\_ with a standard error of \_\_\_\_.

The variables which best explain who votes are age and education. Each year increase in age increases the probability of voting by \_\_\_\_, plus or minus \_\_\_\_, and . . .

But wait! Probability is a nonlinear function of covariates!

#### A cryptic, weaker, but more accurate answer:

Higher ages are associated with greater probability of voting. The logit coefficient for age was \_\_\_\_ with a standard error of \_\_\_\_.

The variables which best explain who votes are age and education. Each year increase in age increases the probability of voting by \_\_\_\_, plus or minus \_\_\_\_, and . . .

But wait! Probability is a nonlinear function of covariates!

#### A cryptic, weaker, but more accurate answer:

Higher ages are associated with greater probability of voting. The logit coefficient for age was \_\_\_\_ with a standard error of \_\_\_\_.

#### Weakest; bordering on non-responsive:

Variable  $\_\_\_$  was the most significant predictor of voting, with a p-value less than 0.001, and a positive association with voting.

#### **Coefficients are not enough**

Social scientists' love affair with regression coefficient output is bizarre:

 Everything written in terms of arcane intermediate quantites (e.g., probit coefficients)

• Little effort to transform these results back to the scale of the quantities of interest

• Little effort to make informative statements about estimation uncertainty

• Little visualization at all, or graphs with low data-ink ratios

#### Why do we focus on non-linear coefficients?

Ultimately, we aren't interested in the  $\beta$ s, except in linear regression

There, the  $\beta$ 's are partial derivatives, and easy to interpret (as long as there aren't polynomials or interactions . . . which are very common)

In other models,  $\beta$ s are parameters in a non-linear calculation. We're interested in the *result* of that calculation.

That is, we want to know the behavior of E(y|x) as we vary x.

## An alternative

- 1. Run your model as normal. Treat the output as an intermediate step.
- 2. Translate your model results back into the scale of the response variable
  - Modeling war?
     Show the change in probability of war associated with X
  - Modeling counts of crimes committed?
     Show how those counts vary with X
  - Unemployment rate time series?
     Show how a change in X shifts unemployment over the following t years

These results are the **Quantity of Interest (Qol)**.

3. Graph as many scenarios of the quantity of interest as you need to fully explain your findings.

# A bit more formally. . .

We want to know the behavior of E(y|x) as we vary x.

In non-linear models with multiple regressors, this gets tricky.

The effect of  $x_1$  depends on  $x_{\sim 1}$  &  $\hat{\beta}_{\sim 1}$ 

So let's put them all together and calculate  $\hat{Y}$ 

Generally, we will need to make a set of "counterfactual" assumptions:

$$x_1 = a$$
,  $x_2 = b$ ,  $x_3 = c$ , . . .

• Choose  $a, b, c \dots$  to match some particular counterfactual case of interest or

 Hold all but one of the x's at their mean values (or other reference baseline), then vary the remaining x systematically.

The same trick works if we are after differences in y related to changes in x, E $(y_{\text{scen1}} - y_{\text{scen2}}|x_{\text{scen1}}, x_{\text{scen2}})$ 

### **Calculating quantities of interest**

Our goal to obtain "quantities of interest," like

- Expected Values:  $E(Y|X_c)$
- First Differences:  $E(Y|X_{c2}) E(Y|X_{c1})$
- Relative Risks:  $E(Y|X_{c2})/E(Y|X_{c1})$
- Any function of the above
- for some counterfactual  $X_c$ 's.

Suppose we are modeling the probability of voting, using data from the 2000 NES

We have whether a respondent voted, their age, and their education (less than high school high school, or college)

We fit the following model:

 $Vote_i \sim Bernoulli(\pi_i)$ 

 $\pi_i = \text{logit}^{-1}(\beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Age}_i + \beta_2 \text{Age}_i^2 + \beta_3 \text{HSdeg}_i + \beta_4 \text{CollDeg}_i)$ 

Include a quadratic term in case the very old have more trouble voting

HSdeg and CollDeg are cumulative: High school drop-outs get zeros for each, High school grads get a 1 for HSdeg and a 0 for CollDeg, and college grads get 1s for both

	est.	s.e.	p-value
Age	0.07	0.02	0.000
$Age^2$	-0.000431	0.000165	0.009
High School Grad	1.17	0.18	0.000
College Grad	1.09	0.13	0.000
Constant	-3.05	0.42	0.000
log likelihood	-1040.6		
N	1798		

Table 1: *Determinants of voting in the 2000 presidential election*. Entries are logit coefficients. Data taken from the 2000 American National Election Survey.

How do we read these results? Would odds ratios help?

	est.	s.e.	p-value
Age	0.07	0.02	0.000
$Age^2$	-0.000431	0.000165	0.009
High School Grad	1.17	0.18	0.000
College Grad	1.09	0.13	0.000
Constant	-3.05	0.42	0.000
log likelihood	-1040.6		
N	1798		

Table 1: *Determinants of voting in the 2000 presidential election*. Entries are logit coefficients. Data taken from the 2000 American National Election Survey.

How do we read these results? Would odds ratios help?

Can you tell me Pr(Vote | Age = 50)?

	est.	s.e.	p-value
Age	0.07	0.02	0.000
$Age^2$	-0.000431	0.000165	0.009
High School Grad	1.17	0.18	0.000
College Grad	1.09	0.13	0.000
Constant	-3.05	0.42	0.000
log likelihood	-1040.6		
N	1798		

Table 1: *Determinants of voting in the 2000 presidential election*. Entries are logit coefficients. Data taken from the 2000 American National Election Survey.

How do we read these results? Would odds ratios help?

Can you tell me  $\Pr(\text{Vote}|\text{Age} = 50)$ ? Can you tell me  $\Pr(\text{Vote}|\text{Age} = 50) - \Pr(\text{Vote}|\text{Age} = 25)$ ?

	est.	s.e.	p-value
Age	0.07	0.02	0.000
$Age^2$	-0.000431	0.000165	0.009
High School Grad	1.17	0.18	0.000
College Grad	1.09	0.13	0.000
Constant	-3.05	0.42	0.000
log likelihood	-1040.6		
N	1798		

Table 1: *Determinants of voting in the 2000 presidential election*. Entries are logit coefficients. Data taken from the 2000 American National Election Survey.

How do we read these results? Would odds ratios help?

Can you tell me  $\Pr(\text{Vote}|\text{Age} = 50)$ ? Can you tell me  $\Pr(\text{Vote}|\text{Age} = 50) - \Pr(\text{Vote}|\text{Age} = 25)$ ? Confidence intervals around these quantities?

# Calculating quantities of interest (Qols)

Not really. We need to calculate these quantities for the reader

Some notation:

 $X_{\text{Age}=50}$  refers to a scenario with every X at it's mean, except Age, which is 50  $X_{\text{Age}=25}$  refers to a scenario with every X at it's mean, except Age, which is 25

## Calculating quantities of interest (Qols)

Not really. We need to calculate these quantities for the reader Some notation:

 $X_{\text{Age}=50}$  refers to a scenario with every X at it's mean, except Age, which is 50  $X_{\text{Age}=25}$  refers to a scenario with every X at it's mean, except Age, which is 25 Now calculate

$$\Delta Pr(\widehat{Voting}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-X_{Age=50}\hat{\beta})} - \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-X_{Age=25}\hat{\beta})}$$
  
= 0.719 - 0.476  
= 0.243

### Calculating quantities of interest (Qols)

Not really. We need to calculate these quantities for the reader Some notation:

 $X_{Age=50}$  refers to a scenario with every X at it's mean, except Age, which is 50  $X_{Age=25}$  refers to a scenario with every X at it's mean, except Age, which is 25 Now calculate

$$\Delta Pr(\widehat{Voting}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-X_{Age=50}\hat{\beta})} - \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-X_{Age=25}\hat{\beta})}$$
  
= 0.719 - 0.476  
= 0.243

In R:

```
y.lo <- 1/(1 + exp(-t(x.lo)%*%betas))
y.hi <- 1/(1 + exp(-t(x.hi)%*%betas))
y.fd <- y.hi - y.lo</pre>
```

#### Simulating quantities of interest

All we have done is taken some intermediate quantities (the model parameters) and put them back into terms we care about: changes in the response

We could do this for any model: just plug  $X_c$  and  $\hat{\beta}$  into the systematic component of the model

This is what the command predict(...,newdata=x.lo) does for us

But we also want the confidence intervals of these quantities, which are tiresome or impossible to calculate analytically, (and which predict() doesn't always provide)

and we want a method that works for any model, not just logit.

#### Simulating quantities of interest

We need a generic simulation method for models like:

 $Y_i \sim f(\mu_i, \alpha) \qquad \mu_i = g(\beta, X_i)$ 

(for convenience,  $\theta = \operatorname{vec}(\beta, \alpha)$ )

Note that this is framework I introduced last week.

It's very general; most models you know nest within it.

King, Tomz, Wittenberg (*AJPS* 2000) provide a generic algorithm Apply it to these data and produce. . .

#### When simulation is the only reasonable option



1. Choose a counterfactual  $X_c$ 

- 1. Choose a counterfactual  $X_c$
- 2. Estimate the model and obtain the parameter vector,  $\hat{\theta}$ , and its variance covariance matrix,  $\hat{V}(\hat{\theta})$ .

- 1. Choose a counterfactual  $X_c$
- 2. Estimate the model and obtain the parameter vector,  $\hat{\theta}$ , and its variance covariance matrix,  $\hat{V}(\hat{\theta})$ .
- 3. Draw  $\theta$  from the multivariate normal  $f_{\mathcal{MVN}}\left(\hat{\theta}, \hat{V}(\hat{\theta})\right)$ .

- 1. Choose a counterfactual  $X_c$
- 2. Estimate the model and obtain the parameter vector,  $\hat{\theta}$ , and its variance covariance matrix,  $\hat{V}(\hat{\theta})$ .
- 3. Draw  $\theta$  from the multivariate normal  $f_{\mathcal{MVN}}\left(\hat{\theta}, \hat{V}(\hat{\theta})\right)$ .
- 4. Calculate  $\tilde{\mu} = g(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, X)$

- 1. Choose a counterfactual  $X_c$
- 2. Estimate the model and obtain the parameter vector,  $\hat{\theta}$ , and its variance covariance matrix,  $\hat{V}(\hat{\theta})$ .
- 3. Draw  $\theta$  from the multivariate normal  $f_{\mathcal{MVN}}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \hat{\mathrm{V}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\right)$ .
- 4. Calculate  $\tilde{\mu} = g(\boldsymbol{\tilde{\beta}}, X)$
- 5. Draw  $\tilde{Y} \sim f(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\alpha})$ , from the *model's* distribution. This is a *predicted value*.

- 1. Choose a counterfactual  $X_c$
- 2. Estimate the model and obtain the parameter vector,  $\hat{\theta}$ , and its variance covariance matrix,  $\hat{V}(\hat{\theta})$ .
- 3. Draw  $\theta$  from the multivariate normal  $f_{\mathcal{MVN}}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \hat{V}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\right)$ .
- 4. Calculate  $\tilde{\mu} = g(\boldsymbol{\tilde{\beta}}, X)$
- 5. Draw  $\tilde{Y} \sim f(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\alpha})$ , from the *model's* distribution. This is a *predicted value*.
- 6. Repeat steps 3 to 5 many times, averaging the results to get one *expected value*.

- 1. Choose a counterfactual  $X_c$
- 2. Estimate the model and obtain the parameter vector,  $\hat{\theta}$ , and its variance covariance matrix,  $\hat{V}(\hat{\theta})$ .
- 3. Draw  $\theta$  from the multivariate normal  $f_{\mathcal{MVN}}\left(\hat{\theta}, \hat{V}(\hat{\theta})\right)$ .
- 4. Calculate  $\tilde{\mu} = g(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, X)$
- 5. Draw  $\tilde{Y} \sim f(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\alpha})$ , from the *model's* distribution. This is a *predicted value*.
- 6. Repeat steps 3 to 5 many times, averaging the results to get one *expected value*.
- 7. Repeat step 6 many times to obtain a vector of expected values.
- 8. Summarize this vector using means, std dev., or confidence intervals.

# The algorthm applied to logit

- 1. Choose a counterfactual  $X_c$
- 2. Estimate the model and obtain the parameter vector,  $\hat{\beta}$ , and its variance covariance matrix,  $\hat{V}(\hat{\beta})$ .
- 3. Draw  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  from the multivariate normal  $f_{\mathcal{MVN}}\left(\boldsymbol{\hat{\beta}}, \hat{V}(\boldsymbol{\hat{\beta}})\right)$ .
- 4. Calculate  $\tilde{\mu} = 1/(1 + \exp(-X_c \tilde{\beta}))$
- 5. Draw  $\tilde{Y} \sim f_{\text{Bernoulli}}(\tilde{\mu})$ . This is a *predicted value* (a 1 or a 0).
- 6. Repeat steps 3 to 5 many times, averaging the results to get one *expected value* (i.e., a  $\pi$ ).
- 7. Repeat step 6 many times to obtain a vector of expected values.
- 8. Summarize this vector using means, std dev., or confidence intervals.

# A shortcut

It's a good idea to simulate at least 1,000 PVs to get a single EV.

And at least 1,000 EVs to get its distribution.

Which means doing a million sims for each  $X_c$ .

There is a shortcut. If  $E(Y) = \mu$  (which is the case for linear regression, logit, probit, Poisson, etc.), then you can skip steps 5 and 6, and just repeat step 4 to get your EVs.

If you want PVs, though, you have to draw from the model distribution, to inclunde "fundamental uncertainty".

EVs include only "estimation uncertainty". PVs include both.

Several packages implement this algorithm: Zelig from King & coauthors and simcf, which is bundled with tile

Could also do by hand, or use predict in some cases

# Graphing simulations from models

Challenges for presentation:

- 1. Our Qols and their Cls trace out non-linear functions
- 2. Contain lots of information: easy to produce hundreds of data points
- 3. Often, counterfactuals producing Qols vary on multiple dimensions

Once we simulate our quantities of interest and confidence intervals, we need visual methods for presenting them

# Graphing simulations from models

Two proposed general graphical methods:

- lineplot: For models with at least one continuously varying counterfactual variable, use a line varying over that counterfactual, and as many lines as you have discrete counterfactuals
  - Note this will focus attention on you continuous counterfactuals, which may not be your intention
- **ropeladder**: For any model, including those with only discrete counterfactual variables, or a combination of discrete and continuous

ropeladders put equal attention on covariates that vary continuously and those that vary discretely.

Can accommodate large numbers of different counterfactual scenarios

Let's use lineplots for our voting example, since we have one continuous and one categorical covariate



```
# Load libraries
library(MASS)
library(simcf)
library(tile)
library(RColorBrewer)
```

```
# Load data
file <- "nes00.csv"
data <- read.csv(file, header=TRUE)</pre>
```

```
# Set up model formula and model specific data frame
model <- vote00 ~ age + I(age^2) + hsdeg + coldeg
mdata <- extractdata(model, data, na.rm=TRUE)</pre>
```

Notes:

extractdata() from simcf simplifies listwise deletion

We keep all data in a dataframe while deleting only based on model variables

```
# Run logit & extract results
logit.result <- glm(model, family=binomial, data=mdata)
pe <- logit.result$coefficients # point estimates
vc <- vcov(logit.result) # var-cov matrix</pre>
```

```
# Simulate parameter distributions
sims <- 10000
simbetas <- mvrnorm(sims, pe, vc)</pre>
```

Notes:

We summarize the model using draws from the predictive distributions of the estimated parameters

This is approximately MVN for all MLEs, regardless of the distribution of the response variable

# Set up counterfactuals: all ages, each of three educations
xhyp <- seq(18,97,1)
nscen <- length(xhyp)
nohsScen <- hsScen <- collScen <- cfMake(model, mdata, nscen)</pre>

Notes:

We set up counterfactual levels of the continuous covariate of interest, age This determines the number of scenarios for our lineplots We then make counterfactual objects using simcf Each of these objects has a many scenarios as there are counterfactuals of age

We need three sets of these counterfactuals—one for each level of education

```
for (i in 1:nscen) {
    # No High school scenarios (loop over each age)
    nohsScen <- cfChange(nohsScen, "age", x = xhyp[i], scen = i)
    nohsScen <- cfChange(nohsScen, "hsdeg", x = 0, scen = i)
    nohsScen <- cfChange(nohsScen, "coldeg", x = 0, scen = i)
}</pre>
```

Notes:

We loop over each level of age

At each iteration, we need to set the appropriate values of the covariates in our counterfactuals

cfChange() takes a counterfactual scenario under construction, the name of a covariate, a new level for the variable, and the number of the scenario to be changed to that level

We apply cfChange() repeatedly to setup all our scenarios

We could set in addition xpre to do first differences between x and xpre

for (i in 1:nscen) {

}

# No High school scenarios (loop over each age)
nohsScen <- cfChange(nohsScen, "age", x = xhyp[i], scen = i)
nohsScen <- cfChange(nohsScen, "hsdeg", x = 0, scen = i)
nohsScen <- cfChange(nohsScen, "coldeg", x = 0, scen = i)</pre>

# HS grad scenarios (loop over each age)
hsScen <- cfChange(hsScen, "age", x = xhyp[i], scen = i)
hsScen <- cfChange(hsScen, "hsdeg", x = 1, scen = i)
hsScen <- cfChange(hsScen, "coldeg", x = 0, scen = i)</pre>

# College grad scenarios (loop over each age)
collScen <- cfChange(collScen, "age", x = xhyp[i], scen = i)
collScen <- cfChange(collScen, "hsdeg", x = 1, scen = i)
collScen <- cfChange(collScen, "coldeg", x = 1, scen = i)</pre>

# Simulate expected probabilities for all scenarios nohsSims <- logitsimev(nohsScen, simbetas, ci=0.95) hsSims <- logitsimev(hsScen, simbetas, ci=0.95) collSims <- logitsimev(collScen, simbetas, ci=0.95)</pre>

Notes:

We run each set of counterfactuals through an appropriate simulator from simcf

Since we are using a logit model, and want expected probabilities, we use logitsimev, which stands for Logit Simulate Expected Values

The output is a list with point estimates pe, lower bounds lower, and upper bounds upper

```
# Get 3 nice colors for traces
col <- brewer.pal(3,"Dark2")</pre>
```

```
# Set up lineplot traces of expected probabilities
nohsTrace <- lineplot(x=xhyp,</pre>
```

Notes:

We put the simulated responses, CIs, and corresponding hypothetical ages into a trace

We need one trace for each education level

```
# Get 3 nice colors for traces
col <- brewer.pal(3,"Dark2")</pre>
```

```
# Set up lineplot traces of expected probabilities
nohsTrace <- lineplot(x=xhyp,</pre>
```

Notes:

Optionally, we include instructions to omit extrapolations outside the convex hull

Inputs to extrapolate are very picky: we need the model data, and the hypothetical data in exactly the same order of columns

hsTrace <- lineplot(x=xhyp,</pre>

plot=1)

```
"95% confidence",
"interval is shaded"),
x=c(82, 82, 82),
y=c(0.2, 0.16, 0.12),
plot=1)
```

```
# Plot traces using tile
tile(nohsTrace,
     hsTrace,
     collTrace,
     labelTrace,
     legendTrace,
     width=list(null=5),
     limits=c(18,94,0,1),
     xaxis=list(at=c(20,30,40,50,60,70,80,90)),
     xaxistitle=list(labels="Age of Respondent"),
     yaxistitle=list(labels="Probability of Voting"),
     frame=TRUE
```

Notes:

The width argument lets us tweak the layout.

Setting null=5 stretches the size of each plot in the graphic to 5 inches from a default of 2

