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This course considers the political macro-economy in a time of great political & economic change: the 21st century

Our study period is bookended by two massive shocks: the Great Recession & the COVID-19 Pandemic

But shocks this big can conceal, accelerate, or reverse deeper long-run trends

These trends can take many forms: demographic shifts, rising political movements, technological change, shifts in capital or skills…

Our job in this course is to try to understand (and disentangle) the shocks and the trends the make up today’s political economy

To do so, it helps to understand the broader context of how we got to the 21st century economy
The period from 1947–1973 was a “Golden Age of Capitalism” in the US and Europe:

Growth was high, inflation and unemployment low, and wealth was spread more equitably than before

What came before the “Golden Age”?  

**The Gilded Age of the late 19th century:** Constant boom and bust; high inequality; frequent financial crises and bank runs  

**The 1920s Boom,** which many thought indicated a permanent oasis of stable growth  

**The Great Depression:** A massive market crash sets off a financial crisis – debt-deflation spiral takes more than a decade of massive government stimulus to resolve  

**World War II:** An orgy of destruction leaves the US intact and economically preeminent; Europe rebuilds
A brief history of the economies of the US and Europe

What came after the Golden Age?

The stagflation of the 1970s: Oil shocks lead to high inflation and high unemployment, a combination of crises that stimulus can’t solve.

The modest booms of the 1980s and 1990s: Heralded as the Great Moderation – inflation falls and recessions moderate; central banks emerge as key economic actors.


Most of all, asset bubbles emerge – first in stocks, then in housing.
Great Recession: Just as some are declaring, once again, that the economy has reached a permanently high plateau, the housing bubble bursts, the economy enters recession, and the banking system teeters on the edge of collapse...

We’ll examine the crisis in detail over the coming weeks.

For now, let’s get a big picture of the consequences...
The global recession that began in 2007 was much worse than other recessions of the postwar period in the US and Europe...

Unemployment soared to 10% in the US and recovered slowly

Job growth stubbornly refusing to accelerate – many looked at early versions of this chart in 2009 and correctly predicted 2014–2015 as the point at which jobs would return to pre-recession levels.

One of the most important policy responses to the crisis was quantitative easing – the creation of a vast pool of liquidity by the Federal Reserve and other central banks.


The Fed Has Bought Vast Sums of Mortgage-Backed Bonds

In its first and third rounds of quantitative easing, the Fed bought not just the U.S. government debt it has traditionally owned, but securities backed by Americans’ home mortgages.

**Percentage of total Federal Reserve assets**


 Consumer and Business Borrowing Costs Have Fallen

Amid the Fed’s quantitative easing programs, interest rates for both American homebuyers and large businesses have fallen.

QE has helped keep interest rates low, which encourages investment


The Stock Market Has Returned to Pre-Bust Levels

Stocks are trading at a level they previously only reached during the late 1990s stock market bubble and just before the financial crisis.

S&P 500-stock index, divided by average earnings over previous 10 years, inflation adjusted

By keeping interest rates low and bonds in high demand, QE also provided massive stimulus to asset prices

Source: Robert Shiller, Yale University


Inflation Remains Below the Fed’s Target

Both inflation and inflation expectations remain below the 2 percent annual rate the Fed aims for, despite three rounds of quantitative easing aimed at boosting inflation.

While some worried QE would produce high inflation, it only dented the massive deflation caused by the financial crisis and recession.

Arguably, inflation was persistently too low for full recovery.


By 2019, efforts to unwind QE (both Treasuries & MBS) had barely started, when the Fed signalled a halt to interest rate tightening.

Most in the Fed believed the recovery was too fragile to handle unwinding Great Recession stimulus, even a decade later.

And this was before the events of 2020.

By 2019, efforts to unwind QE (both Treasuries & MBS) had barely started, when the Fed signalled a halt to interest rate tightening.

Most in the Fed believed the recovery was too fragile to handle unwinding Great Recession stimulus, even a decade later.

And this was before the events of 2020.
QE wasn’t enough to produce a strong recovery in the real economy

The US gap in output — the total amount of economic activity compared to the prior trend — took a full decade to recover, and would have to recover again.

Declining Incomes, But Not at the Top
Percent change in median family income, 2010-2013.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INCOME GROUPS</th>
<th>Percentage Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Top 10 percent</td>
<td>-3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Next 10 percent</td>
<td>-2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60th-80th percentiles</td>
<td>-6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40th-60th percentiles</td>
<td>-7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20th-40th percentiles</td>
<td>-4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom 20 percent</td>
<td>-5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All U.S. families</td>
<td>+2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures are change in median pretax income in each percentile range. Adjusted for inflation.

Source: Federal Reserve

Although inflation was very low, cost shifting from employers and state governments meant the middle class paid more for college and health care, so the “recovery” felt like a very long recession for many.

Source: Center for American Progress, 2014, “The middle class squeeze,”
But take a longer view…
In the Golden Age, workers shared in growth equally with the rich

Source: Center for American Progress, 2014, “The middle class squeeze,”
Since the 1970s, wages have fallen behind productivity growth: the economy was growing, but paychecks weren’t.

Source: Center for American Progress, 2014, "The middle class squeeze,”
Wealth grew more concentrated – reverting to Gilded Age patterns

Top 0.1% wealth share in the United States, 1913-2012

This figure depicts the share of total household wealth held by the 0.1% richest families, as estimated by capitalizing income tax returns. In 2012, the top 0.1% includes about 160,000 families with net wealth above $20.6 million. Source: Appendix Table B1.

Even the upper echelons of the middle class were relatively wealthier in the mid-20th century.

Something big has changed – and it started before the 2008 financial crisis, though that crisis seems to have accelerated matters.

Let’s drill into trends in wealth in the US over the last thirty years…

Source: My calculations from the Survey of Consumer Finances, 1992–2019
Why wealth matters: buffer against precarity; basis for opportunity & political power

Source: My calculations from the Survey of Consumer Finances, 1992–2019
This plot is log-scaled, so we can discern relative changes for rich and poor alike.

Source: My calculations from the Survey of Consumer Finances, 1992–2019
A linear scale reminds us where the biggest gaps are – but hides most change over time

Source: My calculations from the Survey of Consumer Finances, 1992–2019
The Great Recession cut median household wealth in half – it only recovered halfway by 2019

Source: My calculations from the Survey of Consumer Finances, 1992–2019
Less wealthy college graduates were hit harder than wealthy ones...

Source: My calculations from the Survey of Consumer Finances, 1992–2019
Less-than-college households of all wealth levels took a huge, enduring hit

Source: My calculations from the Survey of Consumer Finances, 1992–2019
Racial disparities in wealth are just as stark as educational ones

Source: My calculations from the Survey of Consumer Finances, 1992–2019
and black households lost a relatively larger share of wealth in the Great Recession.
Consider this juxtaposition of wealth disparities by race and education.

Source: My calculations from the Survey of Consumer Finances, 1992–2019
As we’ll explore later in the course, wealth inequality can endure for centuries.

![Graph showing household wealth over time for Black college graduates and White with less than a college degree.](source)

Before COVID-19, the education wealth gap was shrinking but still historically high.

Source: My calculations from the Survey of Consumer Finances, 1992–2019
The black-white wealth gap remained far higher than pre-Great Recession

Source: My calculations from the Survey of Consumer Finances, 1992–2019
And the US is the relatively good case. Thanks to the early 2010s crisis in the eurozone, Europe – especially southern Europe – stagnated.

We’ll investigate the eurocrisis in turn. Many of the same forces are at work, but channelled by Europe’s different political institutions.

The purpose of this course

This course is an experiment: POLS & ECON classes usually focus on theory and selected cases, not a sequence of events.

But the financial crisis, the Great Recession, and the weak economic recovery are complex events quite unlike the recessions of the 1950s to 1990s.

Much more like the Great Depression, only these events occurred in world supposedly aware of the lessons of that episode.

Moreover, the Great Recession provided direct lessons for policy makers in addressing the Pandemic Recession.

The Biden administration explicitly tried to avoid what it saw as the Obama administration’s mistakes.

We’ll seek to understand how these historical experiences are shaping today’s policies, and what’s changing underneath the day-to-day shocks of the 21st century.
The purpose of this course

Understanding how the financial crisis and Great Recession happened, and what emerged from them is complex:

1. exposes gaps in economic theory – or at least, gaps in how those theories were applied to political economy;

2. shows elite economic actors (and elite economists) struggling to adapt in real time to unexpected crisis;

3. created a newly vibrant debate over the relevant macroeconomic and political economic questions of the day in place of the previous consensus.
The purpose of this course

This course takes us...

- first into the events of the financial crisis in the US and Europe,
- then to the bailouts, stimulus packages, and austerity plans which followed,
- considers several competing explanations for the pattern of slow, unequal growth that seems to be creating a new Gilded Age in the 21st century
- and finally explores whether and how the pandemic has scrambled, reversed, or accelerated these trends

Some questions to ponder...
What caused the financial crisis?

Was it simply a housing bubble led by too many subprime mortgages, or were there deeper systemic problems, economically or politically?

Did the actions of the US and European governments stem the crisis? Make no difference? Make it worse?

Is a similar financial crisis starting now, with the failures of FTX, Silicon Valley Bank, Credit Suisse, and others?

If it is, are governments reacting better than last time?
Why was the Great Recession so long and painful?

Was it merely the typical consequence of a financial crisis?

Or was the response of policy makers unusually clumsy?

Why did so many governments turn to austerity?

If the crisis began with the US housing market, why was it worse in Europe, especially in countries on the periphery of the eurozone?
Why didn’t the economy quickly return to “normal”?

Other postwar recessions ended quickly

Has something fundamental changed in the macroeconomy or in politics that makes growth slower or incomes and wealth more unequal than in latter half of the 20th century?

If so, what?

Put another way, what was unusual about the Golden Age of Capitalism (1947–1973)?
Globalization?

International trade (and financial flows and migration) were low.

These flows have now returned to 19th century levels.

And populist backlash has emerged as a powerful political force in the US and Europe.

Technological Change?

In the mid-20th century, skilled labor and skilled jobs were plentiful and well-paid.

Since then, computer-driven automation has decimated middle skill jobs, leading to a hollowing out of the wage structure.

Labor unions?

By the middle of the twentieth century, much of the workforce in the US and Europe was unionized. Unions are in sharp decline in the US, though less so in Europe.

Perhaps the change is a simply as the rising political power of the affluent… which might have lead to policy changes reinforcing inequality and stagnation.

There are many competing explanations, and they’re often tied to political and partisan debates.

Simple correlations won’t be enough to settle these questions; we will need to go deeper.

But what is normal?

Perhaps the Golden Age of Capitalism – and the brief island of equality and growth it created – are the real anomaly.

The postwar boom coincided with the development of modern social science: perhaps we too often take it as the normal state.

What if the Golden Age was a hard-to-repeat oddity brought about by the traumatic events of the early 20th century?
Are we seeing a return of the problems that come with such unequal growth – such as political instability and radicalism?

[Red – Premature change of government due to eurocrisis; Blue – other eurozone countries]

In the UK and US, the populist backlash continued in 2016 with Brexit & Trump: what’s driving this backlash, and how long will it be a political force?

Did the 2020 election represent a repudiation of populism or a hardening of political divisions?

Source: “Presidential Election Results: Biden Wins,” New York Times,
Did the pandemic change everything? Or just interrupt a trend?

Source: “Presidential Election Results: Biden Wins,” New York Times,
Recall this graphic – famously called the “scariest chart ever” back in
2009

How does the pandemic recession compare?

The Pandemic Recession was simply unprecedented:

Shutting down much of the global economy led to far bigger and quicker unemployment loss than past recessions.
For more than a year, every weekly US unemployment claims exceeded the worst week of the Great Recession.

Source: Washington Post, 2021
But massive stimulus spending helped spur a swift recovery, and industry pivoted rapidly to the changing spending habits of stay-at-home publics. In less than 2.5 years, unemployment fell below pre-pandemic levels, and continues to fall.

Source: calculatedriskblog.com
It’s not just employment that recovered:

The output gap – which had set postwar records in the second quarter of 2020 – closed in just one year.

Inflation followed, which surprised many, but shouldn’t have

Keeping aggregate demand from falling during a massive reorganization of supply chains and goods demanded was bound to lead to higher prices

Source: My calculations from Bureau of Labor Statistics data (March 2023). Curve is a loess fit with bandwidth of 0.5; shaded region is a 95% confidence interval.
Source: My calculations from Bureau of Labor Statistics data (March 2023). Curve is a loess fit with bandwidth of 0.5; shaded region is a 95% confidence interval.

Has inflation been tamed? Data from summer 2022 onwards says “maybe”

As we shall learn, the drivers of inflation seem to be evolving from “too much money chasing too few goods” to sector-specific bottlenecks
Source: My calculations from Bureau of Labor Statistics data (March 2023). Curve is a loess fit with bandwidth of 0.5; shaded region is a 95% confidence interval.

The question of inflation’s causes and course is urgent:

The Fed, tasked with keeping inflation and unemployment low and banks well-regulated faces a crisis.
After a quiet, mostly well-regulated decade, banks are failing again

The harbinger of a new global financial crisis? Or a containable problem?

Source: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. Note: Chart includes failures of federally insured U.S. banks and does not include investment banks. By Karl Russell
Where are we headed?

When I offered this course in 2019, I ended my lecture with this:

_Urgent that we learn what lessons we can from the last decade – before it’s too late to apply them to the next crisis_

In 2020, that crisis arrived, and redefined our lives, the national agenda, and control of Congress & the Presidency

Will the political coalitions forged by the pandemic push back against unemployment and inequality in the coming years?

Or will the trends of the 2010s re-assert themselves?
Where are we headed?

In 2009, the Obama administration compromised on stimulus, spending $0.8T

In 2020–1, the CARES Act ($2.2T) and American Relief Plan ($1.9T) went much bigger

Do these policies suggest a “New Normal” of robust Keynesianism with less fear of inflation and greater focus on economic inequality?

Or will the old debates over the role of government return?

What role will be played by economic trends accelerated by COVID-19: automation, working from home, less travel?

Will we have a replay of the 2010s, with a new financial crisis, or a new Roaring Twenties?

We start our quest to answer these questions by turning back to the the financial crisis and the Great Recession...