Why strongly interacting fermion gases are interesting to a many-body theorist?

Aurel Bulgac University of Washington, Seattle

#### **People I have been lucky to work with on these problems:**





Clockwise (starting top left corner) : G.F. Bertsch (Seattle), J.E. Drut (Seattle) , P. Magierski (Warsaw, Seattle), Y. Yu (Seattle, Lund, Wuhan), M.M. Forbes (Seattle), A. Schwenk (Seattle, Vancouver), A. Fonseca (Lisbon), P. Bedaque (Seattle, Berkeley, College Park),



### What will be covered in this talk:

Lots of others people results (experiment and theory) throughout the entire presentation

> What is the unitary regime?

> The two-body problem, how one can manipulate the two-body interaction?

What many/some theorists know and suspect that is going on?

> What experimentalists have managed to put in evidence so far and how that agrees with theory?

# Why Study Fermi Gases ?



- - Fermions are the building blocks of matter
  - Strongly-interacting Fermi gases are stable
  - Link to other interacting Fermi systems:
    - High-T<sub>C</sub> superconductors Neutron stars
    - Lattice field theory
    - Quark-gluon plasma of Big Bang
    - String theory!

O'Hara et al., Science 2002

# Optical Trap Loading





# Forced Evaporation





# High-Field Imaging





# Feshbach resonance

$$H = \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2\mu_r} + \sum_{i=1}^2 (V_i^{hf} + V_i^Z) + V_0(\vec{r})P_0 + V_1(\vec{r})P_1 + \mathcal{M}^d$$
$$V^{hf} = \frac{a_{hf}}{S^e} \cdot \vec{S}^e \cdot \vec{S}^e \cdot \vec{V}^Z = (\gamma \ S^e - \gamma \ S^e)B$$

### **Channel coupling**

 $\hbar^2$ 



Regal and Jin Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>90</u>, 230404 (2003)







FIG. 4: Scattering lengths versus magnetic field from multichannel quantum scattering calculations for the (1, 2), (1, 3), and (2, 3) scattering channels. The arrows indicate the resonance positions.

#### Bartenstein et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 103201 (2005)



Köhler *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>91</u>, 230401 (2003), inspired by Braaten *et al.* cond-mat/0301489



### **Z** – measured probability to find the two atoms in a singlet state (closed channel)

Dots - experiment of Partridge et al. cond-mat/0505353

# > What is the unitary regime?

A gas of interacting fermions is in the unitary regime if the average separation between particles is large compared to their size (range of interaction), but small compared to their scattering length.

The system is very dilute, but strongly interacting!

$$\begin{array}{c|c} n \ r_0^{\ 3} \ll 1 & n \ |a|^3 \gg 1 \\ \hline r_0 \ll & n^{-1/3} \approx \lambda_F / 2 & \ll |a| \\ \hline r_0 & \text{range of interaction} & a - scattering length \end{array}$$

# Bertsch Many-Body X challenge, Seattle, 1999

What are the ground state properties of the many-body system composed of spin ½ fermions interacting via a zero-range, infinite scattering-length contact interaction.

In 1999 it was not yet clear, <u>either theoretically or experimentally</u>, whether such fermion matter is stable or not.

- systems of bosons are unstable (Efimov effect)
- systems of three or more fermion species are unstable (Efimov effect)

- a number of theorists believed that the two species fermions systems are unstable as well

- Baker (winner of the MBX challenge) concluded that the system is stable. See also Heiselberg (entry to the same competition)
- Chang et al (2003) Fixed-Node Green Function Monte Carlo and Astrakharchik et al. (2004) FN-DMC provided best the theoretical estimates for the ground state energy of such systems.
- Thomas' Duke group (2002) demonstrated experimentally that such systems are (meta)stable.

# $\mathsf{BCS} \to \mathsf{BEC} \text{ crossover}$

Leggett (1980), Nozieres and Schmitt-Rink (1985), Randeria et al. (1993),...

If a<0 at T=0 a Fermi system is a BCS superfluid

$$\Delta \approx \left(\frac{2}{e}\right)^{7/3} \frac{\hbar^2 k_F^2}{2m} \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2k_F a}\right) << \varepsilon_F, \quad \text{iff} \quad k_F \mid a \mid << 1 \text{ and } \xi = \frac{1}{k_F} \frac{\varepsilon_F}{\Delta} >> \frac{1}{k_F}$$

If  $|a|=\infty$  and  $nr_0^3 \ll 1$  a Fermi system is strongly coupled and its properties are universal. Carlson *et al.* PRL <u>91</u>, 050401 (2003)

$$\frac{E_{\text{normal}}}{N} \approx 0.54 \frac{3}{5} \varepsilon_F, \qquad \frac{E_{\text{superfluid}}}{N} \approx 0.44 \frac{3}{5} \varepsilon_F \quad \text{and } \xi = O(\lambda_F), \ \Delta = O(\varepsilon_F)$$

If a>0 ( $a\gg r_0$ ) and  $na^3\ll 1$  the system is a dilute BEC of tightly bound dimers

$$\varepsilon_2 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{ma^2}$$
 and  $n_b a^3 \ll 1$ , where  $n_b = \frac{n_f}{2}$  and  $a_{bb} = 0.6a > 0$ 

# Finite Temperature Hydrodynamics



2) Finite Temperature Hydrodynamics: Breathing Mode or Expansion  $\nabla P_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{\widetilde{X}}) + n_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{\widetilde{X}}) \nabla U(\mathbf{\widetilde{X}}) = 0$ Scale factor  $\sigma_x(t) = \sigma_x b_x(t)$  u(x,t) = velocity fieldIf isentropic:  $\mathbf{u}_n = \mathbf{u}_s = \mathbf{u}$  $m\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \left(\frac{m}{2}\mathbf{u}^2 + U(\mathbf{x})\right) - \frac{\nabla P(\mathbf{x})}{n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})}$  $\begin{array}{ll} x = \widetilde{x} \, b_x(t) \\ u_x = \widetilde{x} \, \dot{b}_x(t) \end{array} \qquad n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) = \frac{n_0(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}})}{\Gamma} \end{array}$  $P(n,T) = \frac{2}{3}n\varepsilon_F(n)f_E \left| \frac{T}{T_T(n)} \right|$  If isentropic expansion:  $P(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{P_0(\mathbf{x})}{\Gamma_T^{5/3}}$ 

 $m\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \left(\frac{m}{2}\mathbf{u}^2 + U(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{U(\mathbf{\widetilde{x}})}{\Gamma^{2/3}}\right)$  Temperature and Density Independent! Experiments  $\rightarrow$  isentropic behavior

# **Ground state properties of unitary gases**

Consider Bertsch's MBX challenge (1999): "Find the ground state of infinite homogeneous neutron matter interacting with an infinite scattering length."

$$r_0 \to 0 \quad << \quad \lambda_F \quad << \quad |a| \to \infty$$

Carlson, Morales, Pandharipande and Ravenhall, PRC 68, 025802 (2003), with Green Function Monte Carlo (GFMC)

$$\frac{E_N}{N} = \alpha_N \frac{3}{5} \varepsilon_{F,} \quad \alpha_N = 0.54$$

normal state

Carlson, Chang, Pandharipande and Schmidt, PRL 91, 050401 (2003), with GFMC

$$\frac{E_S}{N} = \alpha_S \frac{3}{5} \varepsilon_{F_s} \quad \alpha_S = 0.44$$

superfluid state

This state is half the way from  $BCS \rightarrow BEC$  crossover, the pairing correlations are in the strong coupling limit and HFB invalid again.

# **Theory (QMC)**

$$\frac{E}{N} = \frac{3}{5} \varepsilon_F \xi, \qquad \xi = 0.42(2)$$
$$\Delta = \varepsilon_F \eta, \qquad \eta = 0.504(24)$$

# **Experiment**

	(0.74(4),	Duke (2002)
$\xi = \langle$	0.51(4),	Duke (2005)
	0.32(12),	Innsbruck (2004)
	0.36(15),	Paris (2004)
	0.46(5),	Rice (2005)
	0.45(5),	JILA (2006)
	0.41(15),	Paris (2007)

Note, no reliable experimental determination of the pairing gap yet!



Solid line with open circles – Chang *et al.* physics/0404115 Dashed line with squares - Astrakharchik *et al.* cond-mat/0406113

$$\Delta(2n+1) = E(2n+1) - \frac{1}{2}(E(2n) + E(2n+2))$$



 $\frac{3}{5}\frac{\hbar^2 k_F^2}{2m}$  $E_{FG}$ 

Green Function Monte Carlo with Fixed Nodes S.-Y. Chang, J. Carlson, V. Pandharipande and K. Schmidt physics/0403041



Fixed node GFMC results, S.-Y. Chang et al. (2003)

Determining the critical temperature for the superfluid to normal phase transition in theory and experiment

### **Grand Canonical Path-Integral Monte Carlo calculations on 4D-lattice**

$$H = T + V = \int d^3x \left[ \psi_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\vec{x}) \left( -\frac{\hbar^2 \Delta}{2m} \right) \psi_{\uparrow}(\vec{x}) + \psi_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}(\vec{x}) \left( -\frac{\hbar^2 \Delta}{2m} \right) \psi_{\downarrow}(\vec{x}) \right] - g \int d^3x \ n_{\uparrow}(\vec{x}) n_{\downarrow}(\vec{x})$$
$$N = \int d^3x \left[ n_{\uparrow}(\vec{x}) + n_{\downarrow}(\vec{x}) \right]$$

Trotter expansion (*trotterization* of the propagator)

$$Z(\beta) = \operatorname{Tr} \exp\left[-\beta \left(H - \mu N\right)\right] = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{\exp\left[-\tau \left(H - \mu N\right)\right]\right\}^{N_{\tau}}, \qquad \beta = \frac{1}{T} = N_{\tau}\tau$$

Recast the propagator at each time slice and use FFT

$$\exp\left[-\tau\left(H-\mu N\right)\right] \approx \exp\left[-\tau\left(T-\mu N\right)/2\right] \exp(-\tau V) \exp\left[-\tau\left(T-\mu N\right)/2\right] + O(\tau^3)$$

Discrete Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation

$$\exp(-\tau V) = \prod_{\vec{x}} \sum_{\sigma_{\pm}(\vec{x})=\pm 1} \left\{ 1 + \sigma_{\pm}(\vec{x}) A \left[ n_{\uparrow}(\vec{x}) + n_{\downarrow}(\vec{x}) \right] \right\}, \qquad A = \sqrt{\exp(\tau g) - 1}$$

 $\sigma$ -fields fluctuate both in space and imaginary time

$$\frac{m}{4\pi\hbar^2 a} = -\frac{1}{g} + \frac{mk_{cut-off}}{2\pi^2\hbar^2}$$

Running coupling constant g defined by lattice

A. Bulgac, J.E. Drut and P.Magierski

# **Superfluid to Normal Fermi Liquid Transition**



$$E_{\text{phonons}}(T) = \frac{3}{5} \varepsilon_F N \frac{\sqrt{3}\pi^4}{16\xi_s^{3/2}} \left(\frac{T}{\varepsilon_F}\right)^4, \quad \xi_s \approx 0.44$$
$$E_{\text{quasi-particles}}(T) = \frac{3}{5} \varepsilon_F N \frac{5}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\Delta^3 T}{\varepsilon_F^4}} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta}{T}\right)$$
$$\Delta = \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon_F}\right)^{7/3} \varepsilon_F \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2k_F}\right)$$

Bogoliubov-Anderson phonons and quasiparticle contribution (red line ) Bogoliubov-Anderson phonons contribution only (magenta line) <u>People never consider this ???</u>

Quasi-particles contribution only (green line)

Lattice size:
from 6<sup>3</sup> x 112 at low T
to 6<sup>3</sup> x 30 at high T

- Number of samples: Several 10<sup>5</sup>'s for T
- Also calculations for 4<sup>3</sup> lattices
- Limited results for 8<sup>3</sup> lattices

**Experimentally one needs a thermometer!** 

Solution: Measure the entropy S as a function of the of the energy E and use T = dE/dS





Exp: Luo et al, PRL (2007)

Chen et al, PRL 95, 260405 (2005)

How to use Quantum Monte Carlo results to describe what is going on in an atomic trap at finite temperature and confront theory and experiment?

**Use Local Density Approximation (LDA) in conjunction with the Universality of Fermi Gases** 

$$F(T) = \int d^{3}r \left[ \frac{3}{5} \frac{\hbar^{2} \left( 3\pi^{2} \right)^{2/3} n^{5/3} \left( \vec{r} \right)}{2m} \xi \left( \frac{T}{n^{2/3} \left( \vec{r} \right)} \right) - T\sigma \left( \frac{T}{n^{2/3} \left( \vec{r} \right)} \right) n \left( \vec{r} \right) + (V_{trap}(\vec{r}) - \lambda) n \left( \vec{r} \right) \right]$$
  
energy density entropy density





Experiment – red symbols Luo et al, PRL (2007)

**Blue curves – pure theory** 

# **Collective modes**

# Sound in infinite fermionic matter



	Local shape of Fermi surface	Sound velocity	
Collisional Regime - <u>high T!</u> Compressional mode	Spherical	$v_s \approx \frac{v_F}{\sqrt{3}}$	First sound
Superfluid collisionless- <u>low T!</u> Compressional mode	Spherical	$v_s \approx \frac{v_F}{\sqrt{3}}$	Bogoliubov- Anderson sound
Normal Fermi fluid collisionless - <u>low T!</u> (In)compressional mode	Elongated along propagation direction	$v_s = sv_F$ s > 1	Landau's zero sound Need repulsion !!!



Grimm, cond-mat/0703091

$$\varepsilon(n) = \frac{3}{5} \frac{\hbar^2 k_F^2}{2m} \left[ \xi - \frac{\zeta}{k_F a} - \frac{5\iota}{\left(k_F a\right)^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\left(k_F a\right)^3}\right) \right]$$
  

$$\xi \approx 0.44, \quad \zeta \approx 1, \quad \iota \approx 1$$
  

$$U = \frac{m\omega_0^2 \left(x^2 + y^2 + \lambda^2 z^2\right)}{2}$$
  

$$\frac{\delta\omega^2}{\omega^2} = \frac{\zeta}{\xi} \frac{1}{k_F(0)a} K$$

Adiabatic regime Spherical Fermi surface

Bogoliubov-Anderson modes in a trap

Perturbation theory result using GFMC equation of state in a trap

TABLE II: Results for $K$ .							
trap type	$\operatorname{mode}$	$f_1$	$\omega$	K			
spherical	dipole	z	$\omega_0$	0			
$\lambda = 1$	monopole	$1 - 2r^2$	$2\omega_0$	$\frac{256}{525\pi}$			
	quadrupole	xy	$\sqrt{2}\omega_0$	0			
axial	$M = \pm 2$	$xy, x^2 - y^2$	$\sqrt{2}\omega_0$	0			
$\lambda \ll 1$	$M = \pm 1$	xz, yz	$\omega_0$	0			
	radial	$x^2 + y^2 + \frac{2}{5}\lambda^2 z^2 - \frac{2}{5}$	$\sqrt{\frac{10}{3}}\omega_0$	$\tfrac{1024}{2625\pi}$			
	axial	$1 - 6\lambda^2 z^2$	$\sqrt{\frac{12}{5}}\lambda\omega_0$	$\frac{256}{2625\pi}$			

Only compressional modes are sensitive to the equation of state and experience a shift!

#### Innsbruck's results - blue symbols Duke's results - red symbols Radial oscillations Axial oscillations 2.2 1.952.1 1.91.85 1.9 1.8 ω/œ o/∞ 1.75 1.8 17 1.71.65 1.6 16 1.5 1.55 14 1.5 -0.5 0.5 0.5 1.5 2 -1.50 1.5 -0.5 1/k\_a 1/k\_a

First order perturbation theory prediction (blue solid line)

Unperturbed frequency in unitary limit (blue dashed line) Identical to the case of non-interacting fermions

If the matter at the Feshbach resonance would have a bosonic character then the collective modes will have significantly higher frequencies?

## **High precision results**



Radial breathing mode: theory vs experiment meanfield EoS – light curve, MC EoS – thick curve

Grimm, cond-mat/0703091

If we set our goal to prove that these systems become superfluid, there is no other way but to show it!

Is there a way to put directly in evidence the superflow?

# **Vortices!**

The depletion along the vortex core is reminiscent of the corresponding density depletion in the case of a vortex in a Bose superfluid, when the density vanishes exactly along the axis for 100% BEC.



# From Ketterle's group

#### Fermions with 1/k<sub>F</sub>a = 0.3, 0.1, 0, -0.1, -0.5





#### Extremely fast quantum vortical motion!

Number density and pairing field profiles

Local vortical speed as fraction of Fermi speed



Fig. 2: Vortices in a strongly interacting gas of fermionic atoms on the BEC- and the BCS-side of the Feshbach resonance. At the given field, the cloud of lithium atoms was stirred for 300 ms (a) to 500 ms (b-h) followed by an equilibration time of 500 ms. After 2 ms of ballistic expansion, the magnetic field was ramped to 735 G for imaging (see text for details). The magnetic fields were (a) 740 G, (b) 766 G, (c) 792 G, (d) 812 G, (e) 833 G, (f) 843 G, (g) 853 G and (h) 863 G. The field of view of each image is  $880 \ \mu m \times 880 \ \mu m$ .

#### Zweirlein et al. cond-mat/0505653



Fig. 6: Formation and decay of a vortex lattice in a fermion pair condensate on the BEC-side close to the Feshbach resonance. A molecular condensate, prepared at 766 G as shown in (a), was stirred for 800 ms. The field was then ramped to 812 G in 20 ms for equilibration. At this field,  $1/k_F a = 0.35$ , and the condensate was deep in the strongly interacting regime. To

observe the vortex lattice, the field was ramped in 25 ms to 735 G ( $1/k_Fa = 2.3$ ), where the condensate was released from the trap and imaged after 12 ms time-of-flight. The equilibration times after the end of the stirring were (b) 40 ms, (c) 240 ms, (d) 390 ms, (e) 790 ms, (f) 1140 ms, (g) 1240 ms and (h) 2940 ms. Due to stirring, evaporation and vibrational relaxation, the number of fermion pairs decayed from  $3 \times 10^6$  (a) to  $1 \times 10^6$  (b-h). The field of view of each image is 830  $\mu$ m × 830  $\mu$ m.

#### Zweirlein et al. cond-mat/0505653



Until now we kept the numbers of spin-up and spin-down equal.

What happens when there not enough partners for everyone to pair with?

What theory tells us?

# Green – spin up Yellow – spin down

If 
$$|\mu_{\uparrow} - \mu_{\downarrow}| < \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{2}}$$
 the same solution as for  $\mu_{\uparrow} = \mu_{\downarrow}$ 

LOFF solution (1964) Pairing gap becomes a spatially varying function Translational invariance broken



Muether and Sedrakian (2002) Translational invariant solution Rotational invariance broken LOFF and Deformed Fermi Surfaces pairing can occur only for relatively small imbalances.

What happens if the imbalances become large?



Son and Stephanov, cond-mat/0507586



Parish, Marchetti, Lamacraft, Simons cond-mat/0605744



Pao, Wu, and Yip, PR B 73, 132506 (2006)



Sheeny and Radzihovsky, PRL <u>96</u>, 060401(2006)

# What really is happening!

# **Induced** *p***-wave superfluidity in asymmetric Fermi gases Two new superfluid phases where before they were not expected**



Bulgac, Forbes, Schwenk

One Bose superfluid coexisting with one P-wave Fermi superfluid

**Two coexisting P-wave Fermi superfluids** 

### **BEC** regime

> all minority (spin-down) fermions form dimers and the dimers organize themselves in a Bose superfluid

the leftover/un-paired majority (spin-up) fermions will form a Fermi sea

➤ the leftover spin-up fermions and the dimers coexist and, similarly to the electrons in a solid, the leftover spin-up fermions will experience an attraction due to exchange of Bogoliubov phonons of the Bose superfluid



FIG. 1: The ratio  $\Delta/\varepsilon_F$  ( $\varepsilon_F = \hbar^2 k_F^2/2m$ ) as a function of  $n_f/n_b$ , for a fixed boson number density  $n_b = 10^{13} \ cm^{-3}$  and  $n_b a^3 = 0.064$  (solid line) and  $n_b a^3 = 0.037$  (dashed line) respectively. The dots show the value of the gap in the case of *p*-wave paring for  $n_b a^3 = 0.064$ .

#### Bulgac, Bedaque, Fonseca, cond-mat/030602

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{p} &\sim \mathcal{E}_{F} \exp\left(-0.44 \frac{n_{b}}{n_{f}}\right), & \text{if} \quad \frac{n_{f}}{n_{b}} \ll k_{F}a \ll 1 \\ \Delta_{p} &\sim \mathcal{E}_{F} \exp\left(-\frac{6\pi^{2}}{\alpha_{fb}^{2} \left(k_{F}a\right)^{2} \ln\left(x^{2}\right)} \frac{n_{b}}{n_{f}}\right), & \text{if} \quad \frac{n_{f}}{n_{b}} \gg k_{F}a, \quad x^{2} = \left(\frac{\hbar k_{F}}{m_{b}c}\right)^{2} \\ \Delta_{p}\Big|_{\max} &\sim \mathcal{E}_{F} \exp\left(-\frac{5.6}{k_{F}a}\right), & \text{if} \quad \frac{n_{f}}{n_{b}} \approx 0.44k_{F}a \ll 1 \end{split}$$

## **BCS regime:**

$$\begin{split} U_{\rm ind}^{\uparrow\uparrow}(0,\vec{\mathbf{p}}_1-\vec{\mathbf{p}}_2) &= \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{2,\uparrow} \\ \\ \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{1,\uparrow} \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{2,\uparrow} \\ \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{1,\uparrow} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\vec{\mathbf{p}}_{2,\uparrow} \\ -\vec{\mathbf{p}}_{1,\uparrow} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= -N_{\rm F}^{\downarrow} \left(\frac{4\pi a\hbar^2}{m}\right)^2 L\left(|\vec{\mathbf{p}}_1-\vec{\mathbf{p}}_2|/(2\hbar k_{\rm F}^{\downarrow})\right). \end{split}$$

#### The same mechanism works for the minority/spin-down component

$$\begin{split} \Delta_p^{\uparrow} &\sim \mathcal{E}_F^{\uparrow} \exp\left(\frac{1}{N_F^{\uparrow} U_p^{\uparrow\uparrow}}\right) = \mathcal{E}_F^{\uparrow} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi^2}{4k_F^{\uparrow} k_F^{\downarrow} a^2 L_p\left(\frac{k_F^{\uparrow}}{k_F^{\downarrow}}\right)}\right) \\ L_p(z) &= \frac{5z^2 - 2}{15z^4} \ln\left|1 - z^2\right| - \frac{z^2 + 5}{30z} \ln\left|\frac{1 - z}{1 + z}\right| - \frac{z^2 + 2}{15z^2} \end{split}$$

$$\Delta_p^{\uparrow}\Big|_{\max} \sim \varepsilon_F^{\uparrow} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi^2}{0.11\left(2k_F^{\uparrow}a\right)^2}\right), \quad \text{for } k_F^{\downarrow} \approx 0.77k_F^{\uparrow} \text{ and fixed } k_F^{\downarrow}$$

 $\downarrow F$ 

 $k_{\!F}^{\downarrow}$ 

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{p}^{\uparrow} &\sim \varepsilon_{F}^{\uparrow} \exp \left( -\frac{3\pi^{2}}{2\left(2k_{F}^{\uparrow}a\right)^{2}\ln\left(\frac{k_{F}^{\uparrow}}{k_{F}^{\downarrow}}\right)} \frac{k_{F}^{\uparrow}}{k_{F}^{\downarrow}} \right) \right\} \quad \text{ for } k_{F}^{\uparrow} \gg \\ \Delta_{p}^{\downarrow} &\sim \varepsilon_{F}^{\downarrow} \exp \left( -\frac{18\pi^{2}}{\left(2k_{F}^{\downarrow}a\right)^{2}} \frac{k_{F}^{\uparrow}}{k_{F}^{\downarrow}} \right) \end{split}$$

# T=0 thermodynamics in asymmetric Fermi gases at unitarity in a trap

**Use Local Density Approximation (LDA)** 

$$\lambda_{\{a,b\}}(\vec{r}) = \mu_{\{a,b\}} - V_{trap}(\vec{r})$$



Now let us concentrate on a spin unbalanced Fermi gas at unitarity

#### At unitarity almost everything is a function of the densities alone at T=0!

#### We use both micro-canonical and grand canonical ensembles

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{n_b}{n_a} \le 1, \qquad y = \frac{\mu_b}{\mu_a} \le 1 \\ E\left(n_a, n_b\right) &= \frac{3}{5} \alpha \left[n_a g(x)\right]^{5/3} \\ P\left(\mu_a, \mu_b\right) &= \frac{2}{5} \beta \left[\mu_a h(y)\right]^{5/2} = \mu_a n_a + \mu_b n_b - E\left(n_a, n_b\right) = \frac{2}{3} E\left(n_a, n_b\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$y = \frac{g'(x)}{g(x) - xg'(x)}, \qquad h(y) = \frac{1}{g(x) - xg'(x)}$$
$$x = \frac{h'(y)}{h(y) - yh'(y)}, \qquad g(x) = \frac{1}{h(y) - yh'(y)}$$

The functions g(x) and h(y) determine fully the thermodynamic properties and only a few details are relevant

#### Both g(x) and h(y) are convex functions of their argument.



#### Now put the system in a trap

$$\begin{split} \mu_{a,b}(\vec{r}) &= \lambda_{a,b} - V(\vec{r}), \qquad y(\vec{r}) = \frac{\mu_b(\vec{r})}{\mu_a(\vec{r})} \\ 2\mu_- &= \lambda_a - \lambda_b \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} n_{a}(\vec{r}) &= \beta \left[ \mu_{a}(\vec{r})h(y(\vec{r})) \right]^{3/2} \left[ h(y(\vec{r})) - y(\vec{r})h'(y(\vec{r})) \right]^{3/2} \\ n_{b}(\vec{r}) &= \beta \left[ \mu_{a}(\vec{r})h(y(\vec{r})) \right]^{3/2} h'(y(\vec{r})) \end{split}$$









- blue P = 0 region
- green 0 < P < 1 region
- red P= 1 region

### **Column densities (experiment)**



Zweirlein et al. cond-mat/0605258



#### Experimental data from Zwierlein et al. cond-mat/0605258

# **Rf**-spectroscopy



Grimm, cond-mat/0703091





### Schunck et al, cond-mat/0702066

The unitary Fermi gases (at resonance and off resonance) have an extremely rich structure and a very rich phase diagram

The tunability of the interaction is a non-paralleled feature of these systems

Theoretically these systems can be described essentially exactly and they present an extraordinary opportunity to test lots of many-body techniques and develop new ones

It can have a major impact on other fields

One can simulate both theoretically and experimentally lots of systems encountered in condensed matter physics, nuclear physics and astrophysics