Dilute atomic gases with large positive scattering length

**Aurel Bulgac** 

collaborator Paulo F. Bedaque (LBL)

#### *Atom-Molecule Coherence in a Bose-Einstein Condensate* Donley, Clausen, Thompson and Wieman, Nature, 417, 529 (2002).



formed. DN/N<sub>inited</sub> changes widely with the pulse length and the cloud density.

Creation of ultracold molecules from a Fermi gas of atoms Regal, Ticknor, Bohm and Jin, cond-mat/0305028

- >Atomic cloud of <sup>40</sup>K at T = 0.13 ... 0.33 T<sub>F</sub> initially in hyperfine state |9/2,-9/2>.
- Prepare a nearly equal incoherent mixture of |9/2,-9/2> and |9/2,-5/2> states at B=227.81 G.
- Use a new Feshbach resonance between |9/2,-9/2> and |9/2,-5/2> states at B= 224.21 G.
- Ramp the magnetic field across the resonance (from high to low =) at rates of (40 ns/G)<sup>-1.</sup>
- Observe the number of atoms left from absorption image of the cloud after expansion. The light is resonant with atomic transitions only and thus only atoms but not molecules (dimers) are observed.
- > The molecules/dimers temperature is below the BEC transition temperature.
- Using radio frequency (rf) spectroscopy with photon energy near the |9/2,-5/2> and |9/2-7/2> atomic energy splitting populate the |9/2,-7/2> state at various B<sub>hold</sub>.
- Use a Stern-Gerlach imaging to separate various hyperfine states.

#### Reagal, Ticknor, Bohm and Jin, cond-mat/0305028





Number of atoms after ramping B from 228.25 G to 216.15 (black dots) and for ramping B down (at 40 ns/G) and up at various rates (squares).

a) Loss of atoms |9/2,-9/2> and |9/2,-5/2> as a function of final B. The initial value of B=227.81 G.
b) Scattering length between hyperfine states |9/2,-9/2> and |9/2,-5/2> as a function

of the magnetic field **B**.

#### Reagal, Ticknor, Bohm and Jin, cond-mat/0305028

Symmetric peak is near the atomic |9/2,-5/2> to |9/2,-7/2> transition. The total number of 9/2,-5/2> and 9/2,-7/2> atoms is constant.

Asymmetric peak corresponds to dissociation of molecules into free |9/2,-5/2> and |9/2,-7/2> atoms. The total number of |9/2,-5/2> and |9/2,-7/2>



$$h v_{rf} = h v_{atom} - E_{binding} - \Delta E_{binding}$$



FIG. 4: Absorption images of the quantum gas using a Stern-Gerlach technique. We start with ultracold fermionic atoms in the  $|9/2, -5/2\rangle$  and  $|9/2, -9/2\rangle$  states of  $^{40}$ K. A magnetic field ramp through the Feshbach resonance causes 50% atom loss, due to adiabatic conversion of atoms to diatomic molecules. To directly detect these bosonic molecules we apply an rf photodissociation pulse; the dissociated molecules then appear in the  $|9/2, -7/2\rangle$  and  $|9/2, -9/2\rangle$  atom states. The shaded bar indicates the optical depth.



Dimer/molecule binding energy

# What have we learned from this experiment?

- A rather stable and cold mixture of fermionic atoms and bosonic molecules (dimers), the latter likely in a BEC state can be formed.
- The ratio of atoms to dimers can apparently be varied almost at will.
- The formation of dimers and their dissociation by undoing the change in the magnetic field is likely a reversible process, entropy does not seem to be created at a noticeable rate and heating is apparently small. Or is it?

What is the nature of this new object? Can we describe it? VOLUME 83, NUMBER 14

#### **Rarified Liquid Properties of Hybrid Atomic-Molecular Bose-Einstein Condensates**

Eddy Timmermans,1 Paolo Tommasini,2 Robin Côté,2,\* Mahir Hussein,2,3 and Arthur Kerman4

$$\begin{split} \hat{H} &= \int d^3 r \, \hat{\psi}_a^{\dagger} \bigg[ -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} + \frac{\lambda_a}{2} \, \hat{\psi}_a^{\dagger} \hat{\psi}_a + \lambda \hat{\psi}_m^{\dagger} \hat{\psi}_m \bigg] \hat{\psi}_a \\ &+ \int d^3 r \, \hat{\psi}_m^{\dagger} \bigg[ -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{4m} + \frac{\lambda_m}{2} \, \hat{\psi}_m^{\dagger} \hat{\psi}_m + \epsilon \bigg] \hat{\psi}_m \\ &+ \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \int d^3 r \, \{ \hat{\psi}_m^{\dagger} \hat{\psi}_a \hat{\psi}_a + \hat{\psi}_m \hat{\psi}_a^{\dagger} \hat{\psi}_a^{\dagger} \}, \end{split}$$
(2)

$$e = \frac{N}{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{\lambda_a}{2} f_a^2 + \frac{\lambda_m}{2} f_m^2 + \lambda f_m f_a \right\} - \sqrt{\frac{N}{\Omega}} \left\{ \alpha \sqrt{2f_m} f_a \right\} + \epsilon f_m , \qquad (6)$$

where  $f_a(f_m)$  denotes the fraction of atoms (molecules),  $f_a = \Omega n_a/N$ ,  $(f_m = \Omega n_m/N)$ . Since  $f_a = 1 - 2f_m$ , the energy is a function of the molecule fraction, the ground state value of which is determined by minimizing e.



FIG. 2. Ground state energy per atomic particle as a function of the density at different detunings,  $\epsilon$ . The curves were calculated using realistic values: a reference density  $n_0 \sim 10^{14}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, and interaction strengths  $\lambda_a = \alpha / \sqrt{n_0}$ ,  $\lambda_m = 2\alpha / \sqrt{n_0}$ , and  $\lambda = 0.2\alpha / \sqrt{n_0}$ . The densities at which the minima occur for the two curves of lowest detuning are the self-determined densities that a "free" condensate would adopt in the ground state.

#### Signatures of Resonance Superfluidity in a Quantum Fermi Gas

M.L. Chiofalo,\* S.J.J.M.F. Kokkelmans, J.N. Milstein, and M.J. Holland

Feshbach resonance between the lowest hyperfine states of <sup>40</sup>K

$$H = \sum_{k\sigma} \epsilon_k a_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{k\sigma} + \nu \sum_k b_k^{\dagger} b_k$$
$$+ U \sum_{qkk'} a_{q/2+k\uparrow}^{\dagger} a_{q/2-k\downarrow}^{\dagger} a_{q/2-k\downarrow} a_{q/2+k\uparrow}$$
$$+ \left(g \sum_{kq} b_q^{\dagger} a_{q/2-k\downarrow} a_{q/2+k\uparrow} + \text{H.c.}\right),$$
$$u = a_{bg} \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{\nu_0}\right)$$
$$u_{bg} = 176 \ a_0, \ \kappa = 0.657 \text{ mK}, \ \nu_0 = 20 E_F$$
$$U_0 = \frac{4\pi\hbar^2 a_{bg}}{m}, \ g_0 = \sqrt{\kappa U_0}$$

$$\begin{cases} U = U_0 \Gamma, \quad g = g_0 \Gamma, \quad v = v_0 + \alpha g g_0 \\ \Gamma^{-1} = 1 - \frac{mk_c}{2\pi^2 \hbar^2} U_0 \end{cases}$$

#### Cut-off regularization

 $\Delta n$ 



No (bare) molecule-molecule interaction (unlike Timmermans *et al.*)

#### Signatures of Resonance Superfluidity in a Quantum Fermi Gas

M. L. Chiofalo,\* S. J. J. M. F. Kokkelmans, J. N. Milstein, and M. J. Holland



FIG. 1. Real (solid line) and imaginary (dashed line) components of the *T* matrix for collisions of the lowest two spin states of <sup>40</sup>K at a detuning of  $20E_F$ , shown in length dimensions, i.e.,  $T_k/(4\pi\hbar^2/m)$ . The scattering length is the intercept at zero scattering energy which for this case is approximately  $-10\,000a_0$ , where  $a_0$  is the Bohr radius. The large variation in the *T* matrix over the relevant energy range indicates that a quantum field theory developed from this microscopic basis will in general need to account for physics beyond the scattering length approximation. The inset shows the scattering length as a function of detuning, with  $20E_F$  detuning indicated by the dash-dotted line. This curve obeys the following form:  $a = a_{bg}(1 - \kappa/\nu_0)$ , where  $a_{bg} = 176a_0$  and  $\kappa = 0.657$  mK [20]. The quasipotentials to be renormalized are then  $U_0 = 4\pi\hbar^2 a_{bg}/m$  and  $g_0 = \sqrt{\kappa U_0}$ .

$$T = \frac{4\pi\hbar^2}{m} \frac{1}{-\frac{1}{a} - ik}$$

With goods accuracy over the entire energy range, no effective range corrections appear necessary on this plot!

Really this means only that ka = O(1).

## What do we know about dilute Bose systems? For details see Braaten, Hammer and Hermans Phys. Rev. A 63, 063609 (2001)

$$\frac{E}{N} = \frac{2\pi\hbar^2 a}{m} \left\{ 1 + \frac{128}{15} \sqrt{\frac{na^3}{\pi}} + \left(16\pi na^3\right) \left[ c_E + \frac{4\pi - 3\sqrt{3}}{6\pi} \ln(16\pi na^3) \right] \right\} + \frac{2\pi\hbar^2 a}{m} \left(16\pi na^3\right)^{3/2} \left[ \frac{16}{\pi} \left( c_E + \frac{4\pi - 3\sqrt{3}}{6\pi} \ln(16\pi na^3) - \frac{16}{\pi} \frac{r_s}{a} + b' \right) \right]$$

#### Bogoliubov (1947) Lee, Huang and Yang (1957)

#### na<sup>3</sup> << 1

r<sub>s</sub>

C<sub>E</sub> b'

a>0 - s-wave scattering length

Effective range corrections appear only at this order!

- s-wave effective range
- potential dependent parameter (three-body collisions)
- universal constant

## What do we know about dilute normal Fermi systems? (For a recent review see Hammer and Furnstahl, Nucl. Phys. A678, 277 (2000))

$$\frac{E}{N} = \frac{k_{\rm F}^2}{2M} \left[ \frac{3}{5} + (g-1) \left\{ \frac{2}{3\pi} (k_{\rm F}a_s) + \frac{4}{35\pi^2} (11-2\ln2)(k_{\rm F}a_s)^2 + \frac{1}{10\pi} (k_{\rm F}r_s)(k_{\rm F}a_s)^2 + (0.076 + 0.057(g-3))(k_{\rm F}a_s)^3 \right\} + (g+1)\frac{1}{5\pi} (k_{\rm F}a_p)^3 + (g-1)(g-2)\frac{16}{27\pi^3} (4\pi - 3\sqrt{3})(k_{\rm F}a_s)^4 \ln(k_{\rm F}a_s) + \cdots \right].$$
(1)

g – spin degeneracy, as - s-wave scattering length,
 a, – p-wave scattering length, r, – s-wave effective range



correlation energy

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i}\left(\omega_{i}^{RPA}-\omega_{i}^{HF}\right)$$

effective range corrections appears at this order



- The first type of correction to be accounted for in both Bose and Fermi systems is the Lee & Yang, Huang, Luttinger (1957) correlation energy, which is still determined by the scattering length.
- The next type of correction for Bose systems (Hugengoltz & Pines, Wu, Sawada & Brueckner and Sawada, 1959) is a little bit more complicated, but it is still largely controlled by the scattering length and additionally by a three-body characteristic (Braaten & Nieto, 1999)
- ✓ Effective range corrections appear only much later.
- More importantly, the corrections to mean-field are <u>always</u> controlled by the parameter na<sup>3</sup>
- ✓ When the parameter ne<sup>3</sup> becomes large, other methods are required.

## "Fundamental" and "effective" Hamiltonians

$$egin{aligned} H_{ ext{fund}} &= -\sum_{s} \int d^{3}r \psi_{s}^{\dagger}(r) rac{\hbar^{2} oldsymbol{
abla}^{2}}{2m} \psi_{s}(r) \ &+ rac{1}{2} \sum_{s_{1},s_{2}} \int d^{3}r_{1} d^{3}r_{2} \psi_{s_{1}}^{\dagger}(r_{1}) \psi_{s_{2}}^{\dagger}(r_{2}) \psi_{s_{2}}(r_{2}) \psi_{s_{1}}(r_{1}) V_{s_{1}s_{2}}(|r_{1}-r_{2}|), \end{aligned}$$

Since one is interested in phenomena with momenta  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{h}\mathbf{k} \leq \mathbf{h}/\mathbf{r}_0$ , where  $\mathbf{r}_0$  is the typical range of the interaction, the "fundamental" Hamiltonian is too complex.

$$\begin{split} H_{eff}(\boldsymbol{r}) &= -\psi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{r}) \frac{\hbar^{2} \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{a}^{2}}{2m} \psi_{a}(\boldsymbol{r}) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{aa} \psi_{a}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{r}) \psi_{a}(\boldsymbol{r}) \psi_{a}(\boldsymbol{r}) \psi_{a}(\boldsymbol{r}) \\ &- \psi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{r}) \frac{\hbar^{2} \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{m}^{2}}{4m} \psi_{m}(\boldsymbol{r}) + \varepsilon \psi_{m}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{r}) \psi_{m}(\boldsymbol{r}) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{mm} \psi_{m}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{r}) \psi_{m}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{r}) \psi_{m}(\boldsymbol{r}) \\ &+ \lambda_{am} \psi_{m}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{r}) \psi_{a}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{r}) \psi_{a}(\boldsymbol{r}) \psi_{m}(\boldsymbol{r}) + \boldsymbol{\alpha} \psi_{m}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{r}) \psi_{a}(\boldsymbol{r}) \psi_{a}(\boldsymbol{r}) + \boldsymbol{\alpha} \psi_{a}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{r}) \psi_{a}(\boldsymbol{r}) , \end{split}$$

Working with contact couplings requires regularization and renormalization, which can be done in several different, but equivalent ways.

We will show that H<sub>err</sub> is over-determined.

### **A) Pseudo-potential approach** (appropriate for very slow particles, very transparent but somewhat difficult to improve)

Lenz (1927), Fermi (1931), Blatt and Weiskopf (1952) Lee, Huang and Yang (1957)

$$-\frac{\hbar^2 \Delta_{\vec{r}}}{m} \psi(\vec{r}) + V(\vec{r})\psi(\vec{r}) = E\psi(\vec{r}), \quad V(\vec{r}) \approx 0 \text{ if } r > R$$

$$\psi(\vec{r}) = \exp(i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}) + \frac{f}{r}\exp(ikr) \approx 1 + \frac{f}{r} + \dots \approx 1 - \frac{a}{r} + O(kr)$$
$$f^{-1} = -\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{2}r_0k^2 - ik, \qquad g = \frac{4\pi\hbar^2a}{m(1+ika)} + O(k^2)$$

if  $kr_0 \ll 1$  then  $V(\vec{r})\psi(\vec{r}) \Rightarrow g\delta(\vec{r})\frac{\partial}{\partial r}[r\psi(\vec{r})]$ 

Example : 
$$\psi(\vec{r}) = \frac{A}{r} + B + ... \Rightarrow \delta(\vec{r}) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} [r\psi(\vec{r})] = \delta(\vec{r})B$$

## **B)** Momentum cut-off regularization

$$\begin{aligned} G_{0}(\vec{r},\vec{r}',E) &= \lim_{k_{c}\to\infty} \int_{l\leq k_{c}} \frac{d^{3}l}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{\exp[i\vec{l}\cdot(\vec{r}-\vec{r}')]}{E - \frac{\hbar^{2}k^{2}}{m} = i\eta} \quad \vec{r},\vec{r}' \text{ - relative coordinate} \\ &= -\frac{m\exp(ik|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|)}{4\pi\hbar^{2}|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|} = -\frac{m}{4\pi\hbar^{2}|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|} - \frac{imk}{4\pi\hbar^{2}} + O(|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|^{2}) \\ T(\vec{r},\vec{r}',E) &= \lambda_{aa}\delta(\vec{r})\delta(\vec{r}-\vec{r}') + \iint d^{3}sd^{3}l\lambda_{aa}\delta(\vec{r})\delta(\vec{r}-\vec{s})G_{0}(\vec{s},\vec{t},E)T(\vec{t},\vec{r}') \\ T(k) &= \frac{\lambda_{aa}}{1-\lambda_{aa}\Gamma} = \frac{4\pi\hbar^{2}a}{m} \frac{1}{1+ika} + O(k^{2}) \\ \Gamma &= \int_{l\leq k_{c}} \frac{d^{3}l}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{1}{E - \frac{\hbar^{2}k^{2}}{m} + i\eta} = -\frac{mk_{c}}{2\pi^{2}\hbar^{2}} - i\frac{mk}{4\pi\hbar^{2}} + O(k^{2}) \\ \frac{m}{4\pi\hbar^{2}a} &= \frac{1}{\lambda_{aa}} + \frac{mk_{c}}{2\pi^{2}\hbar^{2}} \end{aligned}$$

-*///*/

 $\Delta n$ 11 NB the coupling constant runs with cut-off

E)

## C) Dimensional regularization (DR) 't Hooft and Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B44, 189 (1972)

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} dk k^{n} \stackrel{def}{=} 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad n \neq -1$$

$$G(\vec{r}, \vec{r}', E) \bigg|_{r=r'=0}^{def} = -i \frac{mk}{4\pi\hbar^{2}}$$

$$\frac{m}{4\pi\hbar^{2}a} \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{1}{\lambda_{aa}}$$

#### Practical consequences:

- most loop diagrams vanish identically
- coupling constants do not run anymore with cut-offs

## Explicit introduction of "Feshbach molecules"

Example: open channel — two <sup>85</sup>Rb atoms in f = 2,  $m_f = -2$  state each closed channel — two <sup>85</sup>Rb atoms in f = 3 each and total  $M_f = -4$ 



#### Köhler, Gasenzer, Jullienne and Burnett, cond-mat/0305028.





NB The size of the "Feshbach molecule" (closed channel state) is largely B-independent and smaller than the interparticle separation.

## Some simple estimates in case $a \ge 0$ and $a \ge r_0$

wf in open channel at 
$$r > r_0$$
 wfs in region  $r < r_0$   
 $r\psi_1(r) = Ar_0 \left[ 1 + O\left(\frac{r_0}{a}\right) \right] \exp\left(-\frac{r}{a}\right)$   $r\psi_1(r) \approx r\psi_2(r) \approx r_0 A$   
Probability to find two atoms :  
 $P(r < r_0) = \int_0^{r_0} r^2 dr \left[\psi_1(r)^2 + \psi_2(r)^2\right] \approx \frac{2A^2 r_0^3}{3} \left( \text{or } \frac{A^2 r_0^3}{3} \text{ if oscillate} \right)$   
 $P(r > r_0) = \int_{r_0}^{\infty} r^2 dr \psi_1(r)^2 \approx \frac{A^2 a r_0^2}{2}$   
 $\frac{P(r > r_0)}{P(r < r_0)} \approx \frac{3a}{4r_0} >> 1 \left( \text{or } \frac{3a}{2r_0} \right)$ 

Most of the time the two atoms spend at large separations,  $y_1(r)$  — open channel (dimer),  $y_2(r)$  — closed channel (Feshbach molecule)

- So far we discussed only interaction between atoms and one needs to include molecules.
- If atoms and molecules coexist, it makes sense to introduce molecules as independent degrees of freedom.
- Previously various authors, starting with Timmermans *et al. (1998)* introduced explicitly the "Feshbach molecules" for several reasons:
- ☆ There was hope to overcome the restriction na<sup>3</sup> á 1 close to a Feshbach resonance, when |a|≫r<sub>0</sub>, and replace it hopefully with the milder condition nr<sub>0</sub><sup>3</sup> á 1 and thus still be able to use the many-body tools developed for dilute systems.
- Develop a formalism for a mixture of atoms and molecules.

> It is relatively easy to convince oneself that corrections to the energy of a system of either Bose atoms and molecules, or Fermi atoms and Bose molecules (bound state of two Fermi atoms) are <u>always</u> controlled by the parameter  $na^2$  and <u>never</u> by the parameter  $na^3$ .

(Essentially one has to repeat the old Lee, Young and Huang 1957 calculations and compute the correlation energy.)

➢ In order to decide whether a given program is feasible one has to construct the ground state properties of the system under consideration within the framework of the formalism of choice and then consider higher order corrections. This aspect was largely ignored in previous works.

➤ We shall develop a theoretical framework to describe atoms and dimers (not Feshbach molecules) for the case a>0, a≫r<sub>0</sub> and na<sup>3</sup>á 1. We shall show that in this regime a mixture of atoms and dimers can be described by one coupling constant, the scattering length a.

The regime a > 0 and na<sup>3</sup>>>1 (strong coupling) can be studied as well, but using different methods (*ab initio*).

➤ The regime a<0 and |a|≫r<sub>0</sub>, n|a|<sup>3</sup>á 1 is also universal and a new class of truly quantum liquids (not gases) appears, see A.B. Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 050402 (2002) In order to develop our program we have at first to have a well defined procedure for constructing an effective Hamiltonian for interacting atoms and dimers starting from the "fundamental" Hamiltonian describing bare interacting atoms.

$$H_{a} = -\psi_{a}^{+} \frac{\hbar^{2} \nabla^{2}}{2m} \psi_{a} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{2} \psi_{a}^{+} \psi_{a}^{+} \psi_{a} \psi_{a} + \frac{1}{3} \lambda_{3} \psi_{a}^{+} \psi_{a}^{+} \psi_{a}^{+} \psi_{a} \psi_{a} \psi_{a} \psi_{a}$$
$$H_{am} = \psi_{a}^{+} \left( -\frac{\hbar^{2} \nabla^{2}}{2m} \right) \psi_{a} + \psi_{m}^{+} \left( -\frac{\hbar^{2} \nabla^{2}}{4m} + \varepsilon_{2} \right) \psi_{m}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{aa} \psi_{a}^{+} \psi_{a}^{+} \psi_{a} \psi_{a} + \lambda_{am} \psi_{a}^{+} \psi_{m}^{+} \psi_{m} \psi_{a} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{mm} \psi_{m}^{+} \psi_{m}^{+} \psi_{m} \psi_{m} \psi_{m}$$

**H**<sub>a</sub> is a low energy reduction of the "fundamental" Hamiltonian,  $I_2$  and  $I_3$  are determined by the scattering length **a** and a three-body characteristic (denoted below by  $a_3$ ). Interaction terms with derivatives are small as long as  $kr_0a$  1.

 $H_{am}$  is determined by the matching" to be briefly described below.



Matching between the 2--, 3-- and 4--particle amplitudes computed with H<sub>a</sub> and H<sub>am</sub>. Only diagrams containing I<sub>2</sub>--vertices are shown.

The effective vertices thus defined (right side) can then be used to compute the ground state interaction energy in the leading order terms in an ne<sup>2</sup> expansion, which is given by the diagrams after the arrows.

✓ In medium the magnitude of the relevant momenta are determined by estimating The quantum fluctuations of the mean-field. One thus easily can show that  $p = hk = h(na)^{1/2}/m$ . As long as  $kr_n \mu ka \mu (na^3)^{1/2} U 1$  one can use contact couplings.

 $\checkmark$  The accuracy of the mean-field approximation can be ascertained by estimating the magnitude of the quantum fluctuations to the energy density  $\mu$ n (na<sup>2</sup>)<sup>3/2</sup>h<sup>2</sup>/ma<sup>2</sup>.

✓ We shall consider the regime when  $a \approx r_0$  when the relevant momenta satisfy  $p = hk \approx h/a + (na^3)^{1/2} U$  h/a U  $h/r_0$ 

 $\checkmark$  Note that both Hamiltonians H<sub>a</sub> and H<sub>am</sub> are appropriate for kall 1 and kr<sub>0</sub> 1.

✓ However, while perturbation theory is not valid for  $H_a$  when p = h/a, all the non-perturbative physics at this scale (dimers of size = a and the Efimov effect) Have been encapsulatted in the couplings of the Hamiltonian  $H_{am}$ .

✓ The "matching" described here was performed in vaccum, at length scales of order O(a) and this matching is not modified by the many-body physics, which occurs at scales  $O(a/(na^3)^{1/2}) \approx O(a)$ .

## The atom-molecule scattering length a<sub>am</sub> (bosons)



# **Bose atoms**

Efimov derived the analytical form (1979). Simenog and Sytnichenko (1981) and Braaten, Hammer and Kusunoki (2003) computed the numerical constants **e**<sub>1</sub> and **e**<sub>2</sub>.

# Fermi atoms

$$\lambda_{aa} = \frac{4\pi\hbar^{2}a}{m}, \qquad \varepsilon_{2} = -\frac{\hbar^{2}}{ma^{2}}$$

$$\lambda_{am} = \frac{3\pi\hbar^{2}a_{am}}{m} = \frac{3.537\pi\hbar^{2}a}{m}, \qquad a_{am} = 1.179a$$

$$\lambda_{mm} = \frac{2\pi\hbar^{2}a_{mm}}{m} = \frac{4\pi\hbar^{2}a}{m}c_{3}, \qquad a_{mm} \stackrel{?}{=} 2a, \quad c_{3} \stackrel{?}{=} 1$$

This amplitude was first computed first by Skornyakov and Ter-Martirosian (1957) who studied neutron-deuteron scattering. Randeria (and others) estimated c. (1993).

#### Role of effective range corrections on fermion-boson scattering length

$$k \cot \delta(k) = -\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{2}r_0k^2 + \dots$$
  
if  $\frac{r_0}{a}$  is varied from 0.0 to 0.3 then  $\frac{a_{fb}}{a} = 1.179$  changes by less than 1%.

### $BCS \rightarrow BEC$ crossover

Leggett (1980), Nozieres and Schmitt-Rink (1985), Randeria et al. (1993)

If a<0 at T=0 a Fermi system is a BCS superfluid

$$\Delta \approx \left(\frac{2}{e}\right)^{7/3} \frac{\hbar^2 k_F^2}{2m} \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2k_F a}\right), \quad \text{iff} \quad k_F \mid a \mid <<1 \text{ and } \xi = \frac{1}{k_F} \frac{\varepsilon_F}{\Delta} >> \frac{1}{k_F}$$

If a = 1 and nr 3 a 1 a Fermi system is strongly coupled and its properties are universal. Carlson *et al.* nucl-th/0302041 and Carlson *et al.* physics/0303094

$$\frac{E_{\text{normal}}}{N} \approx 0.54 \frac{3}{5} \varepsilon_F, \qquad \frac{E_{\text{superfluid}}}{N} \approx 0.44 \frac{3}{5} \varepsilon_F \quad \text{and } \xi = O(\lambda_F)$$

If  $a \ge 0$  ( $a \ge r_0$ ) and  $na^3 a$  1 the system is a dilute BEC of tightly bound dimensions

$$\varepsilon_2 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{ma^2}$$
 and  $n_b a^3 \ll 1$ , where  $n_b = \frac{n_f}{2}$  and  $a_{bb} = 2a > 0$ 



Matching between the 2--, 3-- and 4--particle amplitudes computed with H<sub>a</sub> and H<sub>am</sub>. Only diagrams containing I<sub>2</sub>--vertices are shown.

The effective vertices thus defined (right side) can then be used to compute the ground state interaction energy in the leading order terms in an ne<sup>2</sup> expansion, which is given by the diagrams after the arrows.

Consider now a dilute mixture of fermionic atoms and (bosonic) dimers at temperatures smaller than the dimer binding energy  $(a \ge 0$  and  $a \ge r_0$ )

$$\frac{E}{V} = \frac{3}{5} \frac{\hbar^2 k_F^2}{2m} n_f + \frac{\pi \hbar^2 a}{m} n_f^2 + \frac{3.537 \pi \hbar^2 a}{m} n_f n_b + \frac{2\pi \hbar^2 a}{m} n_b^2 + \varepsilon_2 n_b + \text{corrections}$$
$$n_f = \frac{k_F^3}{3\pi^2}, \qquad \varepsilon_2 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{ma^2}$$

We shall show that pairing is weak!

$$U_{fbf}(q,\omega) = U_{fb}^2 \frac{2n_b\varepsilon_q}{\hbar^2\omega^2 - \varepsilon_q(\varepsilon_q + 2n_bU_{bb})}$$

$$U_{bb} = \frac{4\pi\hbar^2 a_{bb}}{m_b}, \qquad \mathcal{E}_q = \frac{\hbar^2 q^2}{2m_b}$$

in coordinate representation at  $\omega = 0$ 

$$U_{fbf}(r) = -\frac{U_{fb}^2}{U_{bb}} \frac{1}{4\pi\xi_b^2 r} \exp\left(-\frac{r}{\xi_b}\right)$$

$$\xi_{b} = \frac{\hbar}{2m_{b}s_{s}} = \frac{a_{bb}}{\sqrt{16\pi n_{b}a_{bb}^{3}}} >> a_{bb}, \qquad s_{b}^{2} = \frac{n_{b}U_{bb}}{m_{b}}$$

#### Induced fermion-fermion interaction

Bardeen *et al.* (1967), Heiselberg *et al.* (2000), Bijlsma *et al.* (2000) Viverit (2000), Viverit and Giorgini (2000)

> coherence/healing length and speed of sound

# The overall fermion-fermion intercation is a sum of a short range repulsion + weak long range attraction

repulsion weak attraction  

$$U_{ff}(q) = \frac{\pi \hbar^2 a}{m} \left[ 2 - \frac{3.128}{1 + q^2 \xi_b^2} \right]$$
Calogero's criterion for existense of a bound state  

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} dr \sqrt{\frac{-m_f U_{fbf}(r)}{\hbar^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{2U_{fb}^2 m_f}{\pi^2 \hbar^2 U_{bb} \xi_b}} \stackrel{?}{\geq} 1$$
cannot be satisfied for dilute systems, when  $\xi_b >> a$ 

Consequently, weak coupling BCS pairing is thus expected.

$$\Delta = \left(\frac{2}{e}\right)^{7/3} \frac{\hbar^2 k_F^2}{2m} \exp\left[\frac{2}{\pi k_F a} \left(1 - 1.564 \frac{\ln(1 + 4k_F^2 \xi_b^2)}{4k_F^2 \xi_b^2}\right)^{-1}\right]$$
$$2k_F \xi_b = 0.62 \left(\frac{n_f}{n_b}\right)^{1/3} \frac{1}{(n_b a^3)^{1/6}} \propto \frac{s_f}{s_b}$$
$$s_f = \frac{v_F}{\sqrt{3}} = \frac{\hbar(3\pi^2 n_f)^{1/3}}{\sqrt{3}m}, \quad s_b = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi\hbar^2 a n_b}{m}}$$

If fermions are superfluid, otherwise s is greater than the Fermi velocity (Landau's zero sound).



 $a = n_b^{-1/3}/2.5$  (solid line)  $a = n_b^{-1/3}/3$  (dashed line)

# The value of the gap depends strongly on a<sub>bb</sub>!

The same thing using an unpublished result from Dmitry Petrov and G. Shlyapnikov for the dimer-dimer scattering length 0.6a instead of the approximate old value of 2a. Pieri and Strinati, Phys. Rev. B 61, 15730 (2000) quote a value of 0.75a for this quantity.



 $a = n_b^{-1/3}/2.5$  (solid line)  $a = n_b^{-1/3}/3$  (dashed line)

## The value of the gap depends strongly on a<sub>bb</sub>!

The density distribution in a trap can be determined rather accurately in the Thomas-Fermi approximation and since the pairing field is rather weak one can neglect the influence of the pairing field.

Trapping potentials for fermions and bosons respectively

$$\frac{\hbar^{2}k_{F}^{2}(\vec{r})}{2m} + \left(U_{ff} - \frac{U_{fb}^{2}}{U_{bb}}\right)n_{f}(\vec{r}) = \mu_{f} - V_{f}(\vec{r}) - \left[\mu_{b} - \varepsilon_{2} - V_{b}(\vec{r})\right]$$

$$\left(U_{ff} - \frac{U_{fb}^{2}}{U_{bb}}\right) = \frac{\pi\hbar^{2}a}{m} \left(2 - 3.128\right) \stackrel{?}{=} -1.128 \frac{\pi\hbar^{2}a}{m},$$

$$n_{b}(\vec{r}) = \frac{\mu_{b} - \varepsilon_{2} - V_{b}(\vec{r})}{U_{bb}} - n_{f}(\vec{r}) \frac{U_{fb}}{U_{bb}}, \quad \frac{U_{fb}}{U_{bb}} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{3.537}{4}, \quad U_{bb} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{4\pi\hbar^{2}a}{m}$$

#### How this atomic-molecular cloud really looks like in a trap?

After sorting among various possibilities one arrives at the following solution:

• At the center of the trap there is a pure molecular component alone in a BEC state  $-\underline{core}$ 

• This is followed by a mantle formed by atoms and molecules, the atoms form a Fermi superfluid and the molecules a in BEC state – <u>mantle</u>

• The last outer layer consists of atoms alone, forming a nomal Fermi gas - crust

• The boundary of each of these regions is an equipotential surface of the trapping potential

#### All this follows by solving the Thomas-Fermi equations:

$$\frac{\hbar^{2}k_{F}^{2}(\vec{r})}{2m} + \left(U_{ff} - \frac{U_{fb}^{2}}{U_{bb}}\right)n_{f}(\vec{r}) = \mu_{f} - \frac{U_{fb}}{U_{bb}}\mu_{b} - \left(1 - \frac{2U_{fb}}{U_{bb}}\right)V(\vec{r}) \\ F \& B \\ n_{b}(\vec{r}) = \frac{\mu_{b} - 2V(\vec{r}) - U_{fb}n(\vec{r})}{U_{bb}} \\ \frac{The mantle, the layer between the core and the crust. \\ Molecular BEC + Fermi BCS \\ \frac{\hbar^{2}k_{F}^{2}(\vec{r})}{2m} + U_{ff}n_{f}(\vec{r}) = \mu_{f} - V(\vec{r}) \\ \frac{F}{2m} erust, the outside layer. \\ Normal Fermi gas \\ n_{b}(\vec{r}) = \frac{\mu_{b} - 2V(\vec{r})}{U_{bb}} \\ \end{bmatrix} B only for V(\vec{r}) < V(\vec{R}_{1}) = const \\ \frac{The crust}{The core}, the central region. \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

Molecular BEC

## Atomic-Molecular BEC (amBEC)

$$\frac{E}{V} = \frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{m} n_a^2 + \frac{3\pi\hbar^2}{m} \bigg[ c_1 + c_2 \cot \bigg( s_0 \ln \frac{a}{a_3} \bigg) \bigg] n_a n_b + \frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{m} c_3 n_b^2 + \varepsilon_2 n_b$$

$$s_0 = 1.00624, \quad c_1 = 1.46, \quad c_2 = 2.15, \quad c_3 = 1, \quad \varepsilon_2 = -\frac{\hbar^2}{ma^2}, \quad a >> r_0$$

$$P = \frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{m} n_a^2 + \frac{3\pi\hbar^2}{m} \bigg[ c_1 + c_2 \cot \bigg( s_0 \ln \frac{a}{a_3} \bigg) \bigg] n_a n_b + \frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{m} c_3 n_b^2$$

$$T < T_c \propto (na^3)^{2/3} \frac{\hbar^2}{ma^2} << \frac{\hbar^2}{ma^2}$$
 and  $na^3 << 1$ 

lf

$$\mu_{a} = \lambda_{aa} n_{a}(\vec{r}) + \lambda_{ab} n_{b}(\vec{r}) + V_{a}(\vec{r})$$
$$\mu_{b} = \lambda_{ab} n_{a}(\vec{r}) + \lambda_{bb} n_{b}(\vec{r}) + V_{b}(\vec{r})$$

## **Reactions:**

✓  $A+A+A \rightarrow A+A_2$  — rate  $ha^4n_a^2/m$ ✓  $A+A_2 \rightarrow A+A+A$  — suppressed (large activation energy)  $A_2+A_2 \rightarrow A_2+A+A$ 

These reactions lead to slow heating and slow chemical equilibration

✓  $A+A_2 \rightarrow A^*+A_2^*$  —  $A^*$  and  $A_2^*$  are fast atom and dimer  $A_2+A_2 \rightarrow A^*+A^*+A_2^*$ 

The kinetic energies of these fast particles are O(ħ²/mr₀²)≫(ħ²/ma²) ≫O(3T/2) and momenta O(ħ/r₀) ≫ O(ħ/a) and have an interaction cross section O(r₀²)á O(a²).
 Similarly to neutrinos in the Sun, the products of these reactiosn react weakly with the medium and can in principle be used to monitor the amBEC
 The rates of these reaction are also controlled by the parameter r₀/a

## The atom-molecule scattering length a<sub>am</sub> (bosons)



Another interesting reaction: if a<sub>am</sub>>0 (if trimer exists)

 $A_2 + A_2 \rightarrow A^* + A_3^*$ 

 $\succ$  If  $a_{am} \gg a$  the trimer is loosely bound and not very stable.

e<sub>3</sub>≈e<sub>2</sub> – 3ħ²/4ma<sub>am</sub>²

- Phase separation is most likely also in this case.
- If 0< a<sub>am</sub>< a this reaction leads to a significant heating.</p>
- If a<sub>am</sub>< 0 and |a<sub>am</sub>|< a there are no shallow trimers and this reaction does not occur.

Apparently this is the best regime for an amBEC

# Conclusions

 There is a new universal regime in which one can describe atom-dimer mixtures (both Bose and Fermi constituents) in terms of a single parameter, the atom-atom scattering length, if this is relatively
 large and positive. The properties of these systems are widely tunable.

The systems one can study under these conditions are:

- ✓ Normal Fermi gas + Bose superfluid
- ✓ Superfluid Fermi gas + Bose superfluid
- Atomic Bose superfluid + molecular Bose superfluid
- In a trap the spatial structure of atomic-molecular cloud has a structure reminiscent of the structure of a planet or star, with a core, mantle and a crust having different natures.