Model Formulation Illustrative Example Summary

Valuation of Timber and Carbon Sequestration: An American Call Option Technique

Stanislav Petrasek John Perez-Garcia Bruce Lippke B. Bruce Bare

School of Forest Resources University of Washington, Seattle, WA

Third International Faustmann Symposium, 2009 Darmstadt, Germany

Model Formulation Illustrative Example Summary

Outline



Model Formulation

- Problem
- Assumptions
- Valuation Methodology



- Parameter Values
- Results

★ E ► ★ E ► E E < 2000</p>

< 一型

Model Formulation	Problem
Illustrative Example	
Summary	Valuation Methodolog

Problem Statement

• Properties:

• Classical Faustmann problem: Choose rotation length to maximize bare land value over multiple harvest cycles subject to silvicultural and economic constraints.

- Modification: Introduce uncertainty via prices of timber and carbon.
- Objectives:
 - Determine the value of bare land value under uncertainty in prices of timber and carbon.
 - Determine optimal harvest strategy in stochastic settings.
 - Determine the impact of carbon sequestration on optimal time of stand harvest.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ●□□ ◇◇◇



Stochastic Faustmann Problem as Real Option

IF Land ownership is viewed as the right to exchange timber for harvest cost and sell it in the market at prevailing price.

THEN Valuation of bare land value under price uncertainty parallels the valuation of a multi-period American call option.

American Call	Bare Land Value
Underlying Asset	Timber and Carbon
Strike Price	Harvest Cost
Contract Length	Planning Horizon
Exercise Time	Harvest Time

Model Formulation	Problem
Illustrative Example	Assumptions
Summary	Valuation Methodo

Solution Algorithm I

- Many techniques have been developed for valuation of American options
- Ibáñez and Zapatero algorithm is a good example
 - Allows multiple sources of uncertainty of asset prices
 - Explicitly calculates optimal exercise policy
- Ibáñez and Zapatero algorithm can be applied to the calculation of bare land value
 - Allows timber and CO₂ prices as sources of uncertainty
 - Calculates optimal harvest policy as function of prices and age



Solution Algorithm II

- Unmodified, Ibáñez and Zapatero algorithm would have limited application for bare land valuation:
 - Assumes American option with one exercise opportunity
 - Equivalent to assuming a single harvest cycle
- Extending the algorithm enables broader application:
 - Allows multiple exercise opportunities
 - Equivalent to harvest cycles characteristic of the Faustmann problem
- The extension produces results that are both more realistic and accurate

Model Formulation Problem Illustrative Example Assumpti Summary Valuation	ions Methodology
---	---------------------

Basic Assumptions

- Bare Land Value: Calculated in USD per acre
- Price Models: Timber and carbon prices assumed to follow a logarithmic mean reverting process
- Harvest Cost: Fixed and known at all times
- Discount Rate: Fixed and known at all times
- Silviculture: Douglas fir regime with planting followed by a regeneration clear cut final harvest.
- Yield Function: Assumed high yield site in Western Washington State (No risk due to fire, disease or wind.)

■ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ■ ■ ■ ● ● ●

Model Formulation Illustrative Example Summary Problem Assumptions Valuation Methodology

Stochastic Price Model

- Many models for price behavior are available
- The model used for this example is a log mean-reverting stochastic process:

$$dS_t = \kappa(\mu - \ln S_t) S_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t, \qquad (1)$$

◆□▶ ◆冊▶ ★ヨ▶ ★目★ 少々⊙

Where: S_t is the price at time t, κ is the rate of mean reversion, μ is the log of long term price, σ represents price volatility and dW_t is an increment of the Wiener process

• The price model in equation 1 was used to model both timber and carbon prices



Three basic carbon pools are considered in this study:

- Forest Pool: All carbon contained in a standing forest.
- Product Pool: All carbon contained in harvested wood products.
- Substitution Pool: All carbon not released into the atmosphere when harvested wood products displace fossil-based alternatives. (Avoided emissions)

Model Formulation	Problem
Illustrative Example	Assumptions
Summary	Valuation Methodology

Profit at time t:

Cash Flows

$$\pi_t = \max[CF_T^t + d_t \mathcal{FH}; CF_C^t + \mathbb{E}[d_t \pi_{t+1}]]$$
(2)

• Cash flow if harvest does not occur at time t:

$$CF_C^t = \Delta Q_t P_C \tag{3}$$

• Cash flow if harvest does occur at time t:

$$CF_{T}^{t} = Q_{t} \left(P_{T}^{t} - \gamma \left(\alpha_{F} - \alpha_{P} - \alpha_{S} \right) P_{C}^{t} - C \right)$$
(4)

• Where: Q_t = timber volume; C = fixed harvest cost; α_F , α_P , α_S = fractions of carbon in forest, product and substitution pools; and γ = scaling parameter that converts carbon in wood to atmospheric CO_2 .

Model Formulation	Problem
Illustrative Example	Assumptions
Summary	Valuation Methodology
rhan Seenariaa	

- Carbon Scenarios
 - Scenarios constructed from three sets of values of *α_i* in equation 4:

$$CF_{T}^{t} = Q_{t} \left(P_{T}^{t} - \gamma \left(\alpha_{F} - \alpha_{P} - \alpha_{S} \right) P_{C}^{t} - C \right)$$
(4)

▲□ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Scenario	α_{F}	α_{P}	α_{S}
No. 1	0.80	0.2	0.2
No. 2	0.80	0.25	1.0
No. 3	0.80	0.35	2.0

- No. 1: $\alpha_F > \alpha_P + \alpha_S \Rightarrow$ Increased total harvest cost
- No. 2: $\alpha_F < \alpha_P + \alpha_S \Rightarrow$ Increased harvest revenue
- No. 3: $\alpha_F \ll \alpha_P + \alpha_S \Rightarrow$ Increased harvest revenue

Model Formulation Illustrative Example Summary

Parameter Values Results

Parameter Values

These parameter values were used in all simulations unless stated otherwise.

Parameter	Unit	Timber	Carbon
Initial Price S ₀		400 (\$/MBF)	25 (\$/ton)
Long-term Price	\$	665	33
Reversion Rate κ	%/year	0.33	4.0
Volatility σ	%/year	0.25	0.5
Correlation ρ	%	10	
Harvest Cost C	\$/MBF	100	
Discount Rate r	%/year	5	
Simulation Horizon T	years	100	
Harvest Time	year	Anytime before T	

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★ □▶ ★ □▶ ● □ ● ● ●

Harvest Cycle Contribution



Convergence in Number of Harvest Cycles Scenario 2

◆□▶ <団▶ < 目▶ < 目▶ < 回▶ < 回▶ < ○</p>

Decision Boundaries - Carbon



Optimal Harvest Boundaries for Ages 30-60 Years - Scenario 2

Timber Price - Fixed (\$/MBF)

▲ロト ▲母ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三国市 のへで

Decision Boundaries - Timber



Optimal Harvest Boundaries for Ages 30-60 Years - Scenario 2

CO2 Price - Fixed (\$/ton)

Harvest Age Frequency: Scenarios 1 - 3



Harvest Age Frequency for Carbon Scenarios 1 – 3

Stand Age (Years)

Bare Land Value: Carbon Pool Sensitivity

Bare Land Value Sensitivity to Changes in $\alpha_P + \alpha_S$



Value of $\alpha_P + \alpha_S$ (%)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ 三回日 ののの

Harvest Time Frequency: CO₂ Price Sensitivity



Stand Age (Years)

Harvest Time Frequency: Timber Price Sensitivity



Stand Age (Years)

Timber Price Volatility Sensitivity



Stand Age (Years)

Harvest Time Frequency: Faustmann



Harvest Times for 13 Values of Long-Term Timber Price

Stand Age (Years)

▲ロト ▲母ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三国市 のへで

Bare Land Value: Long Term Timber Price





Long Term Timber Price (\$/MBF)



- Modified Ibáñez and Zapatero algorithm provides a practical methodology for determining expected bare land value under stochastic timber and CO₂ prices.
- Future harvest cycles make a significant contribution to expected bare land value under stochastic timber and CO₂ prices.
- Profitability of carbon sequestration determined jointly by CO₂ price and credit policy.
- Outlook
 - More realistic price models
 - Additional sources of uncertainty
 - Faster, more efficient computation

ヨト イヨト ヨヨ のへの

References I

Alfredo Ibáñez and Fernando Zapatero. Monte Carlo valuation of American options through computation of the optimal exercise frontier. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 39(2):253-275, 2004.

John Perez-Garcia, Bruce Lippke, Jeffrey Comnick and Carolina Manriquez.

An Assessment Of Carbon Pools, Storage, and Wood Products Market Substitution Using Life-Cycle Analysis Results.

Wood and Fiber Science, 37 CORRIM Special Issue:140-148, 2005.