PRESENTERS: _____ GRADE: _____ / 50

TOPIC: _____ DATE: _____ GRADER: _____

I.   Did the talk convey the objectives, the data gathered, analysis performed, significant findings, conclusions, and recommendations?

1. Organization: logical, complete, clear, and concise?	/6
2. Content: brief, but adequate, explanation of experimental detail & theory, correct analysis of data and error analysis	/14
3. Well founded conlusions and recommendations	/5
4. Response to questions: demonstrated command of the underlying knowledge and the significance of the results, direct answers to questions, and team members involved in responding to questions, as appropriate?	/5

II.   Was it a good presentation?

1. Delivery (poised, without distracting mannerisms; audible and lively speech, not monotone; and smooth, rehearsed speaking style) and appearance of presenter?	/8
2. Visual Aids: legible, clear, and effectively used? Schematics and other graphics high quality (not hand-sketched)?	/8
3. Teamwork. Presentation well coordinated. All members contribute.	/4

Comments: