Your request:

I am sure that we DO have problems with the numbers in the voting study. You have IATs, explicit attitude measures, voting intention, and self-reported vote for each of the 5 parties. What I could use for the meta-analysis are the five sets of correlations among the four measures (i.e., one set of six correlations for each party). There is no need for the importance measure or for any logistic or multiple regressions. (The correlations with the dichotomous measures could be simple point biserial (which is to say, standard product-moment r.)

Results:

CDU

	1	2	3	4
1) SC-IAT		.50	.42	.41
2) Explicit			.69	.69
3) Intention				.85
4) Voted for CDU?				

SPD

	1	2	3	4
1) SC-IAT		.38	.28	.26
2) Explicit			.52	.51
3) Intention				.78
4) Voted for SPD?				

GREEN

	1	2	3	4
1) SC-IAT		.43	.30	.29
2) Explicit			.56	.55
3) Intention				.79
4) Voted for GREEN?				

FDP

	1	2	3	4
1) SC-IAT		.37	.26	.28
2) Explicit			.58	.54
3) Intention				.81
4) Voted for FDP?				

PDS

	1	2	3	4
1) SC-IAT		.36	.24	.27
2) Explicit			.46	.44
3) Intention				.81
4) Voted for PDS?				